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GENERAL EDITORS’ PREFACE

The Arden Shakespeare is now one hundred years old. The earliest volume in the series, Edward Dowden’s *Hamlet*, was published in 1899. Since then the Arden Shakespeare has become internationally recognized and respected. It is now widely acknowledged as the pre-eminent Shakespeare series, valued by scholars, students, actors, and ‘the great variety of readers’ alike for its readable and reliable texts, its full annotations and its richly informative introductions.

We have aimed in the third Arden edition to maintain the quality and general character of its predecessors, preserving the commitment to presenting the play as it has been shaped in history. While each individual volume will necessarily have its own emphasis in the light of the unique possibilities and problems posed by the play, the series as a whole, like the earlier Ardens, insists upon the highest standards of scholarship and upon attractive and accessible presentation.

Newly edited from the original quarto and folio editions, the texts are presented in fully modernized form, with a textual apparatus that records all substantial divergences from those early printings. The notes and introductions focus on the conditions and possibilities of meaning that editors, critics and performers (on stage and screen) have discovered in the play. While building upon the rich history of scholarly and theatrical activity that has long shaped our understanding of the texts of Shakespeare’s plays, this third series of the Arden Shakespeare is made necessary and possible by a new generation’s encounter with Shakespeare, engaging with the plays and their complex relation to the culture in which they were—and continue to be—produced.
THE TEXT

On each page of the work itself, readers will find a passage of text followed by commentary and, finally, textual notes. Act and scene divisions (seldom present in the early editions and often the product of eighteenth-century or later scholarship) have been retained for ease of reference, but have been given less prominence than in the previous series. Editorial indications of location of the action have been removed to the textual notes or commentary.

In the text itself, unfamiliar typographic conventions have been avoided in order to minimize obstacles to the reader. Elided forms in the early texts are spelt out in full in verse lines wherever they indicate a usual late twentieth-century pronunciation that requires no special indication and wherever they occur in prose (except when they indicate non-standard pronunciation). In verse speeches, marks of elision are retained where they are necessary guides to the scansion and pronunciation of the line. Final -ed in past tense and participial forms of verbs is always printed as -ed without accent, never as -'d, but wherever the required pronunciation diverges from modern usage a note in the commentary draws attention to the fact. Where the final -ed should be given syllabic value contrary to modern usage, e.g.

Doth Silvia know that I am banished?

(TGV 3.1.221)

the note will take the form

221 banished banishèd

Conventional lineation of divided verse lines shared by two or more speakers has been reconsidered and sometimes rearranged. Except for the familiar Exit and Exeunt, Latin forms in stage directions and speech prefixes have been translated into English and the original Latin forms recorded in the textual notes.
COMMENTARY AND TEXTUAL NOTES

Notes in the commentary, for which a major source will be the *Oxford English Dictionary*, offer glossarial and other explication of verbal difficulties; they may also include discussion of points of theatrical interpretation and, in relevant cases, substantial extracts from Shakespeare's source material. Editors will not usually offer glossarial notes for words adequately defined in the latest edition of *The Concise Oxford Dictionary* or Merriam-Webster's *Collegiate Dictionary*, but in cases of doubt they will include notes. Attention, however, will be drawn to places where more than one likely interpretation can be proposed and to significant verbal and syntactic complexity. Notes preceded by * involve editorial emendations or readings in which the rival textual claims of competing early editions (Quarto and Folio) are in dispute.

Headnotes to acts or scenes discuss, where appropriate, questions of scene location, Shakespeare's handling of his source materials, and major difficulties of staging. The list of roles (so headed to emphasize the play's status for performance) is also considered in commentary notes. These may include comment on plausible patterns of casting with the resources of an Elizabethan or Jacobean acting company, and also on any variation in the description of roles in their speech prefixes in the early editions.

The textual notes are designed to let readers know when the edited text diverges from the early edition(s) on which it is based. Wherever this happens the note will record the rejected reading of the early edition(s), in original spelling, and the source of the reading adopted in this edition. Other forms from the early edition(s) recorded in these notes will include some spellings of particular interest or significance and original forms of translated stage directions. Where two early editions are involved, for instance with *Othello*, the notes will also record all important differences between them. The textual notes take a form that has been in use since the nineteenth century. This comprises, first: line reference, reading adopted in the text and closing...
square bracket; then: abbreviated reference, in italic, to the ear­liest edition to adopt the accepted reading, italic semicolon and noteworthy alternate reading(s), beginning with the rejected original reading, each with abbreviated italic reference to its source.

Conventions used in these textual notes include the following. The solidus / is used, in notes quoting verse or discussing verse lining, to indicate line endings. Distinctive spellings of the basic text (Q or F) follow the square bracket without indication of source and are enclosed in italic brackets. Names enclosed in italic brackets indicate originators of conjectural emendations when these did not originate in an edition of the text. Stage directions (SDs) are referred to by the number of the line within or immediately after which they are placed. Line numbers with a decimal point relate to entry SDs and to SDs more than one line long, with the number after the point indicating the line within the SD: e.g. 78.4 refers to the fourth line of the SD following line 78. Lines of SDs at the start of a scene are numbered 0.1, 0.2, etc. Where only a line number and SD precede the square bracket, e.g. 128 SD], the note relates to the whole of a SD within or immediately fol­lowing the line. Speech prefixes (SPs) follow similar conventions, 203 SP] referring to the speaker’s name for line 203. Where a SP reference takes the form e.g. 38 + SP, it relates to all subsequent speeches assigned to that speaker in the scene in question.

Where, as with King Henry V, one of the early editions is a so-called ‘bad quarto’ (that is, a text either heavily adapted, or reconstructed from memory, or both), the divergences from the present edition are too great to be recorded in full in the notes. In these cases the editions will include a reduced photographic facsimile of the ‘bad quarto’ in an appendix.

INTRODUCTION

Both the introduction and the commentary are designed to pre­sent the plays as texts for performance, and make appropriate
reference to stage, film and television versions, as well as introducing the reader to the range of critical approaches to the plays. They discuss the history of the reception of the texts within the theatre and scholarship and beyond, investigating the interdependency of the literary text and the surrounding ‘cultural text’ both at the time of the original reproduction of Shakespeare’s works and during their long and rich afterlife.
PREFACE

This edition of The Tempest is based on the play’s first known printing, the Folio of 1623. We have modernized the spelling and punctuation according to the ground rules laid out in the General Editors’ Preface and in the Text section of our Introduction. Because the precise orthography of the Folio is sometimes crucial to the points we make in our Introduction and commentary notes, we have reproduced all quotations from the Folio as closely as possible to the original; in quotations from other early modern texts we have, for the reader’s convenience, adhered to present-day usage of ‘i’, ‘j’, ‘u’ and ‘v’, reduced the long ‘s’ and substituted ‘th’ for the thorn. Quotations and citations of other Shakespeare dramas are from The Riverside Shakespeare, 2nd edn (Boston, 1997), ed. G. Blakemore Evans and John J.M. Tobin.

Editing a Shakespearean play is in many ways a cumulative process, begun in the author’s own day and layered by generation after generation of editors from whom the next generation learns. Sometimes the lessons are unintentionally negative: not every edition improves unfailingly on its predecessors. Most editions nonetheless make a few contributions, and some make a great many, to the better understanding of a document written nearly four hundred years ago in a context that can only partly be reconstructed and in a language that has changed immeasurably. Today’s editors accordingly owe a lasting debt to all previous editors for suggesting ways to (or not to) modernize, to gloss, to interpret, and to introduce the text at hand.

In the preparation of this edition of The Tempest, we have perused dozens of editions and read very carefully the major scholarly versions. We are especially appreciative of Morton Luce’s path-breaking first Arden edition (1901) and appreciate
even more Frank Kermode's second Arden edition (1954). We gladly acknowledge also our indebtedness to the perceptive recent editions by Anne Barton (1968), Stephen Orgel (1987), David Bevington (1988) and Barbara Mowat (1994); readers will find frequent references to their contributions throughout this edition. And, reaching back more than a century, we are thankful for the indefatigable H.H. Furness's variorum edition of 1892, which is still useful and edifying on many specific points. Of course the Arden 3 edition of The Tempest reflects our own preferences — with essential help from the many scholars cited below — on all the editorial matters, large and small, that collectively distinguish an edition from a reprint.

The dedication of this volume to the staff of the Folger Shakespeare Library in Washington, D.C., underscores our profound indebtedness to the institution in which we conducted most of the research for this edition of The Tempest. Especially during the academic year 1996–7, when a sabbatical leave from Clark University enabled Virginia Vaughan to be released from teaching duties, but also on frequent visits over a six-year span, the Reading Room staff, ably headed by Elizabeth Walsh, assisted us with unfailing expertise, efficiency, patience and courtesy. Georgianna Ziegler, Reference Librarian, tracked down the arcane information editors need, while Julie Ainsworth, head of Photographic Services, and Theresa Helein assisted with illustrations. Librarian Richard Kuhta always took a friendly interest in our progress, and daily conversations with fellow readers spurred us on. For us, as for countless other scholars, the Folger Library provides a wealth of information about Shakespeare and early modern Europe in a supportive, attractive environment.

We are also grateful to other libraries and librarians. At our home base, the Reference staff of the Robert Hutchings Goddard Library of Clark University (Mary Hartman and Irene Walsh, in particular) provided vital assistance. On less frequent forays to the Library of Congress, the Harvard Theatre...
Preface

Collection and the British Library, we also encountered efficient and helpful staff. Our thanks to all.

Just as we could not have conducted our research without access to libraries, we could not have modernized the play’s text and written our introduction and commentary without the friends and colleagues who critiqued our work. The greatest debt is to our general editors, Richard Proudfoot, Ann Thompson and David Kastan, who read repeated drafts of the edition. Any mistakes that escaped their eagle eyes are, of course, our responsibility. James Bulman and R.A. Foakes, fellow Arden editors, each read a draft of our introduction with friendly but forthright candour; the final version is not quite what either of them would wish for, perhaps, but it is substantially improved by their comments.

We are also grateful to Shakespeareans who contributed their particular expertise: Peter Blayney on printing, Leslie Thomson on stage directions, Susan Snyder on genre, Barbara Mowat on magic and on editing in general, Peggy Simonds on alchemy, Dympna Callaghan on Ireland, Naomi Liebler on Richard Johnson’s *The Most Famous History of the Seven Champions of Christendom*, Jonathan Bate on ‘water with berries’, Richard Levin on Stephano’s ‘bald jerkin’, T.W. Craik on ‘telling the clock’, Reginald Rampone on Derek Jarman, A.R. Braunmuller on early Shakespeare editions, George Wright on metre and Katherine Duncan-Jones and Valerie Wayne on the ‘wise’/ ‘wife’ crux. Ann and John Thompson alerted us to the bas-relief at Burford Church.

During six years of intensive work on *The Tempest*, we were privileged to talk about our evolving edition with a variety of audiences whose questions and comments stimulated our thinking. We are especially grateful to the colleagues who organized our presentations: Lois Potter at the University of Delaware, James Bulman at Allegheny College, William Sherman and Peter Hulme at the University of Maryland, and Robert Madison at the U.S. Naval Academy.

xix
Essential to any scholarly endeavour is the tedious business of production and publication. We owe a special thanks to the ‘Ariel’ of this edition, the stage manager who co-ordinated all the actors and timed the production: Jessica Hodge of Thomas Nelson and Sons Ltd. Our copy-editor, Judith Ravenscroft, paid meticulous attention to detail, saving us many embarrassments. We are also grateful to the policy-makers at Thomas Nelson and Sons Ltd for maintaining the high standards that have been associated with the Arden Shakespeare for the past one hundred years; we feel privileged to be part of such a professional enterprise.

On the home front in Worcester, Massachusetts, we owe thanks to Felice Bochman for a transcription of F1, our basic text, and to Jacquelyn Bessell for valuable assistance and good cheer. Discussions with Virginia Vaughan’s students and colleagues at Clark University frequently stimulated fresh insights. We also thank our own canine ‘Ariel’ (better known as Becca) and her playmate Caliban for love and support through the entire project. Though their wistful eyes often wondered ‘Is there more toil?’ – especially on fine days we spent at the library instead of walking in the countryside – they always greeted us joyfully when we returned. And last, but not least, we thank each other, for patience, good humour, and love that ‘frees all faults’. In that spirit, we remind our readers of Prospero’s final request: although we accept responsibility for any shortcomings they find in this edition, ‘As you from crimes would pardoned be, / Let your indulgence set [us] free’.

Virginia Mason Vaughan
and Alden T. Vaughan
Worcester, Massachusetts
INTRODUCTION

First performed in 1611 and first printed as the opening play in Shakespeare’s collected works of 1623, *The Tempest* has long dazzled readers and audiences with its intricate blend of magic, music, humour, intrigue and tenderness. It charmed Jacobean audiences, played (in substantially altered form) to packed houses from the Restoration through the eighteenth century, emerged (in its original form) as a focal point in nineteenth-century European debates about the nature of humanity, and served disparate symbolic roles in twentieth-century writings on western imperialism and its demise. *The Tempest* has been a play for all eras, all continents and many ideologies.

What several centuries of readers, watchers and critics have found so fascinating in Shakespeare’s last solo play is perhaps less the story of the shipwreck, island refuge, murderous cabals and happy ending than it is *The Tempest*’s vibrant but ambiguous central characters: the admirable or detestable Prospero (who, some critics contend, reflects the author himself), the bestial or noble Caliban, the loyal or resentful Ariel, the demure or resilient Miranda. Such antithetical extremes and their many intermediate positions exemplify *The Tempest*’s endlessly arguable nature. Even the play’s narrative context is disputable. Some critics, for example, champion *The Tempest*’s likely New World sources, claim Bermuda or some other colonial setting as its island and find in Caliban the personification of American Indians. Other critics, with equal urgency, insist that the play’s most meaningful analogues, its geographical context and its major characters are emphatically European.

Controversy has marked *The Tempest* almost from the outset.
Beginning with Ben Jonson's quip in 1614 about a 'Servant-monster' (clearly Caliban), through centuries of changing interpretations by legions of scholars – whether from a Romantic, Christian, Darwinian, Freudian, allegorical, autobiographical, cultural materialist or post-colonial perspective – *The Tempest* has resonated with unusual power and variety. It has also appealed diversely to a wide range of visual artists, including William Hogarth, Henry Fuseli, Walter Crane and Arthur Rackham; to musicians Henry Purcell, Michael Tippett, and (too late in life) Verdi and Mozart; and to such disparate poets and novelists as Robert Browning, Herman Melville, T.S. Eliot, W.H. Auden, George Lamming and Edward Kamau Brathwaite. Sociopolitical writers like Ernest Renan, José Enrique Rodó, Octave Mannoni and Roberto Fernández Retamar have employed *The Tempest* metaphorically to epitomize their sometimes antithetical cultural perceptions. And although other Shakespearean plays enjoy worldwide recognition in the aftermath of the British Empire, *The Tempest* has been uniquely adopted by formerly colonized nations in refashioning their post-colonial identities.

This Introduction reviews the necessary backgrounds from which a reader or viewer of *The Tempest* may assess its multiplicity of interpretive perspectives and appreciate its appeal to diverse eras and cultures. The first section discusses the play itself, addressing formal elements such as structure, plot, language and characterization. The second section examines the various historical, literary and dramatic contexts that may have shaped the play's plot and characters. The third section surveys the play's afterlife – four centuries of critical interpretations, theatrical and literary adaptations, and metaphoric appropriations in Britain and North America especially, but also throughout the world in response to the rise and fall of colonialism. The Introduction's final section summarizes current knowledge about the printing of the Folio text, its typographical peculiarities and most problematic textual cruxes, and this edition's editorial practices.
THE PLAY

In Act 5 of the Folio Tempest, a stage direction instructs: ‘Here Prospero discouers Ferdinand and Miranda playing at chess’ (5.1.171.1), whereupon the actor playing Prospero pulls aside the arras from the ‘discovery space’ – the alcove at the back of the stage – so that Ferdinand and Miranda can suddenly be seen. That action, The Tempest’s final spectacle of discovery, provokes open-mouthed wonder in the onstage spectators, most of whom thought Ferdinand had drowned. Gonzalo attributes this joyous discovery to deities who have ‘chalked forth the way/Which brought us hither’, and marvels that

in one voyage
Did Claribel her husband find at Tunis,
And Ferdinand, her brother, found a wife
Where he himself was lost; Prospero his dukedom
In a poor isle; and all of us ourselves,
When no man was his own.

(5.1.203–4, 208–13)

Gonzalo’s wonder at discovering what had been unknown or, if known, what was assumed to be irretrievably lost, epitomizes The Tempest’s enduring power, for to audiences and readers alike the play prompts us to ‘rejoice/Beyond a common joy’ (5.1.206–7) at unexpected discoveries of people, places and events. The play is a theatrical wonder cabinet, a collection of exotic sights and sounds that parallels in many respects the gatherings of natural and man-made rarities from around the world that fascinated Shakespeare’s contemporaries.

Wonder and discovery are, of course, no strangers to Shakespeare’s late plays. Pericles offers two scenes in which the hero first finds his long-lost daughter and then his wife; both reappear almost miraculously after being lost for about fifteen years. In The Winter’s Tale Perdita’s discovery evokes ‘a notable passion of wonder’ (5.2.15–16), and Hermione’s sudden
resurrection after sixteen years stupefies the audience as well as the onstage characters. But as wonderful as these moments are, they pale beside the manifold surprises of *The Tempest*, where shipwrecked Stephano and Trinculo encounter a monstrous islander, strange shapes produce a banquet and then make it disappear, a terrible figure of a harpy maddens the shipwrecked Neapolitans, and the beauteous masque of celestial deities performed for his betrothal amazes young Ferdinand.

Despite the play’s unique panoply of visual wonders, very little happens on Prospero’s enchanted island. *The Tempest’s* spectacular opening storm ostensibly splits a ship and all its passengers drown, but we soon learn that the storm was only an illusion crafted by Prospero and that the castaways are all safe. For the remainder of the play, the shipwrecked Europeans and the savage Caliban wander in clusters around the island, while Ariel flits from one group to another; Prospero and Miranda barely budge. The last scene brings everyone to Prospero’s cell for a final revelation, but they were always nearby.

A sense of newness, of wonder, of exciting discovery nonetheless pervades the play, transcending its restricted geography and paucity of action. Those limitations notwithstanding, the island to some degree epitomizes Europe’s age of discovery. Gonzalo’s amazement at Ferdinand and Miranda’s sudden appearance, as well as Miranda’s joyous surprise at a ‘brave new world’ with ‘such people in’t’ (5.1.183–4), echo the response of European explorers to exotic peoples, fauna and flora in a remote new world. While *The Tempest* is not primarily about America (despite many attempts to Americanize it reductively (see pp. 98–108)), the play’s wondrous discoveries link the drama thematically to the travellers’ tales that so delighted readers of Richard Hakluyt’s *Principal Navigations* (1589, 1598–1600) and, a bit later, of Samuel Purchas’s *Purchas his Pilgrimage* (1613) and, later still, *Purchas his Pilgrimes* (1625). Caliban’s / Prospero’s island lies literally in the Mediterranean between Tunis and Naples, but its geographical location is less important
than the fact that it is nameless, uncharted and largely unexplored. This enchanted island harbours two Milanese castaways (Prospero and Miranda), two remarkable natives (Caliban and Ariel) and assorted spirits unlike anything the Europeans (and we, the audience) have ever seen. Our sojourn on this enchanted island is akin to a trip to a distant planet, where we find a world dramatically unlike our own.

Gonzalo’s assertion that all the Neapolitans have ‘found’ themselves during their afternoon on this enchanted island is overly optimistic, but he correctly judges that most of them were radically changed by the experience. Ferdinand, heir to the throne of Naples, has undergone a sea-change by falling in love with Miranda and finding a father-in-law in Prospero, the deposed Duke of Milan. His father Alonso has also been transformed; shipwreck, despair at the presumed loss of his son and pangs of guilt have brought repentance for his part in the conspiracy against Prospero twelve years earlier. Whether Alonso’s brother Sebastian and Prospero’s brother Antonio have also changed is uncertain, but at least their lust for kingly office has been contained by Prospero’s surveillance. And the play’s final scene previews further transformations. Ariel will be free as the wind; Caliban will be wise, seek for grace and (probably) reinherit the island; Miranda will become a wife and the mother of future kings; and Prospero will be restored to his dukedom in Milan.

Whether Prospero has indeed found himself during the play’s course is a matter of intense critical debate. At the beginning of Act 5, Ariel instructs him to forgive his enemies, which he professes to do. His willingness to relinquish magical powers so great that he could raise the dead signals, perhaps, an acceptance of his limited humanity, but this determination might also stem from a recognition that magic distracts from the political power he must wield as Duke.

Despite *The Tempest*’s exploitation of the conventional comic ending in the betrothal of a young couple and the reconciliation of their fathers, its conclusion is remarkably open-ended. From
Introduction

the opening storm to the closing epilogue, the play challenges the boundaries between illusion and reality. Actors and audiences alike are 'such stuff / As dreams are made on' (4.1.156–7), their lives transient, their aspirations ephemeral. In his final words Prospero erases the distinction between actor and audience, island world and our world:

As you from crimes would pardoned be,
Let your indulgence set me free.

(Epilogue, 19–20)

Prospero, the wronged Duke of Milan who forgives his enemies, however reluctantly, is now the one seeking forgiveness from the audience. And all of us, on the stage and off it, need the ‘Mercy itself’ that ‘frees all faults’ (Epilogue, 18).

Genesis and early performances

In the summer of 1609 an English ship smashed against the uninhabited Bermuda islands; several narratives of that accident and its fortunate aftermath reached London in the later months of 1610. Shakespeare probably wrote The Tempest between the arrival of those accounts and the play’s first recorded performance about a year later. According to a rare surviving record of performances on 1 November 1611 (‘Hallomas nyght’), Shakespeare’s acting company ‘presented att Whithall before the kinges Majestie a play Called the Tempest’.¹ During the winter of 1612–13, The Tempest had a second royal performance as part of the festivities celebrating Princess Elizabeth’s betrothal to the Elector Palatine (Chambers, 1.490–4).

Like the other thirteen plays selected for the wedding ceremonies, including Othello, The Winter’s Tale and Much Ado About Nothing, The Tempest was almost surely written originally for performance at one of the King’s Company’s

¹ The sparse surviving evidence of early performances is reproduced in the appendices to E. K. Chambers’s biography of Shakespeare. See especially Chambers, 2.342–3.
playhouses\textsuperscript{1} – probably the indoor Blackfriars Theatre, though it could easily have been mounted at the open-air Globe (Sturgess).\textsuperscript{2} And while there is no evidence of textual changes during its early years, \textit{The Tempest} may, like other plays, have been modified by the author between its initial, probably pre-Whitehall, performance in 1611 and Shakespeare's death in 1616 or by other hands from then until the printing of the Folio in 1623.\textsuperscript{3}

\textit{The Tempest} appeared at an exciting and prosperous time for the King's Company. They played in the summer months at the Globe Theatre, a public playhouse that could accommodate up to 3,000 spectators and, from October to May, at the Blackfriars, an intimate indoor theatre for a smaller, more aristocratic and relatively homogeneous audience (Fig. 1). Among the King's Company's many productions in the years following 1610-11, \textit{The Tempest} appears to have been popular. John Dryden claimed in the Preface to the fanciful adaptation that he and William Davenant produced in 1667 that its precursor 'had formerly been acted with success in the Black-fryers' (Dryden & Davenant, sig. A2\textsuperscript{v}). Ben Jonson, though no fan of the play, judging from his (perhaps tongue-in-cheek) Induction to \textit{Bartholomew Fair} (1614), implied that Shakespeare's play was well known, bragging of his own play: 'If there bee never a Servant-monster i'the Fayre; who can helpe it? he sayes; nor a nest of Antiques? Hee is loth to make Nature afraid in his \textit{Playes}, like those that beget

\textsuperscript{1} In 1603, Shakespeare's acting company came under the patronage of King James; thereafter it was known as the King's Company or the King's Men.

\textsuperscript{2} In an intriguing case against the accepted wisdom that \textit{The Tempest} was intended for performance at the Blackfriars Theatre and was produced only incidentally at court, Demaray contends that Shakespeare had a court performance in mind while writing, responding 'to a fascination at court with staged spectacles that embraced all of the theatrical arts – song, speech, scenery, dance and costume iconography – and that featured strange or unusual character-types in exotic locales' (16). But \textit{The Winter's Tale} was also performed at court on 5 November 1611; since Simon Forman recorded seeing this play at the Globe on 11 May of the same year, it seems more likely that the court performances at Whitehall were special productions of plays already in the public repertoire (Chambers, 1.489).

\textsuperscript{3} For a discussion of several arguments in favour of a much earlier date of composition that have been almost wholly rejected by modern scholarship, and for suggestions about possible early alterations to the play, see Ard\textsuperscript{2}, xv–xxiv.
Tales, Tempests, and such like Drolleries’ (Jonson, Works, 6.16). Unfortunately, Jonson’s contemporaneous reference provides no information about The Tempest’s early staging.

If early performances of The Tempest conformed closely to the Folio text, they required thirteen men and four boys; because all the adult actors assemble at the finale, there is little opportunity for doubling. The stage directions also call for spirits, nymphs, reapers and hunting dogs, functions that could have been filled by extras, or perhaps by child singers attached to the royal household. The staging itself – most notably the tempest, the disappearing banquet and the masque – could easily have been
created on the non-scenic, platform stage of the Blackfriars (Sturgess). Because Ariel obeys Prospero’s direction to ‘make thyself like a nymph o’th’ sea’ (1.2.302, 317.1), there is no need for the actor to fly, though in modern productions he\(^1\) often appears to, either by the use of wires or, more often, by graceful movements aloft or up and down ladders.

The play also calls for an assortment of sound effects: thunder, confused noises, soft music, solemn music, a noise of hunters, dogs barking. Drums or rolling cannonballs probably evoked the thunder, squibs (an early variety of fireworks) may have created the effect of lightning, and a small orchestra most likely supplied the music. At the Blackfriars, an organ could have added the island’s eerie music. Except for the opening storm scene, which could be recreated with appropriate sounds on a platform stage, Shakespeare limits the scene of his action to one fictional island, where characters can readily come and go without changes of scenery. The resulting simple staging could easily have been transferred to the Banqueting House at Whitehall for performances before King James.

**Genre**

The editors of the First Folio grouped *The Tempest*, along with *The Winter’s Tale*, with Shakespeare’s earlier comedies, which must have satisfied most seventeenth-century readers. Comedies typically traced the trials of young lovers at the hands of a blocking agent (usually her father) and ended with the celebration of their engagement and a parental blessing. The main plot was often paralleled in the comic subplot, for example the antics of Launce and Speed in *The Two Gentlemen of Verona*, Dogberry and Verges in *Much Ado About Nothing*, Sir Toby and Maria in *Twelfth Night*. *The Tempest* at first glance follows this pattern: Prospero pretends to block Ferdinand’s courtship of Miranda

---

\(^1\) We employ male pronouns when referring to Ariel, although the character is essentially without sexual identity. The Folio’s sole relevant pronoun is ‘his’ (1.2.193); and, of course, the role was originally played by a boy or young man.
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but finally blesses their marriage; the lovers’ wooing is juxtaposed with scenes of clowning by the drunken servants Stephano, Trinculo and Caliban. But in other respects, *The Tempest* is quite different from Shakespeare’s earlier comedies. The audience’s attention is consistently focused on the father, not the young lovers, and their betrothal is planned before they even meet. The comic clowning threatens the very life of the play’s protagonist, and the peril of assassination permeates the plot. The darker themes of Shakespeare’s tragedies – regicide, usurpation and vengeance – are always near this comedy’s surface. To most twentieth-century critics, *The Tempest* (along with *Pericles, The Winter’s Tale, Cymbeline* and *The Two Noble Kinsmen*) has seemed qualitatively different from Shakespeare’s earlier comic works, and many have sought to explain the change.

*The Winter’s Tale, Cymbeline, The Tempest* and the collaborative *Two Noble Kinsmen* were written in a changing theatrical climate; the more affluent audiences of the Blackfriars called for a different kind of drama than had long been customary at the Globe and other playhouses. By 1610–11, Francis Beaumont and John Fletcher had begun a productive literary collaboration, specializing in mixed-mode plays that were often labelled ‘tragicomedies’. Fletcher, influenced by Giovanni Battista Guarini’s defence of tragicomedy in *Il compendio della poesia tragicomica* (1601), adapted the Italian’s experimental drama, *Il Pastor Fido*, to the English stage as *The Faithful Shepherdess* (1609–10). In a preface ‘To the Reader’, Fletcher defined the new genre:

> A tragie-comedie is not so called in respect of mirth and killing, but in respect it wants deaths, which is inough to make it no tragedie, yet brings some neere it, which is inough to make it no comedie.

(Beaumont & Fletcher, 3.497)

Shakespeare’s last plays generally adhere to this definition: several major characters suffer grievously yet eventually enjoy
familial reunions and reconciliations. Still, the deaths of Cloten (Cymbeline), Mamillius and Antigonus (The Winter’s Tale) contradict the Guarini / Fletcher notion that such a play ‘wants deaths’; and, as a recent critic contends, *ex post facto* readings of Fletcher’s preface (in a play that attracted meagre audiences) can distort our understanding of a popular dramatic form which appeared in many variations (McMullan & Hope, 4).

That Shakespeare was experimenting with a mixed mode of drama before Fletcher came on the scene seems apparent from Pericles (1608), which includes all the plot elements Shakespeare exploited later:

- A royal child is lost and rediscovered; sea journeys change men’s lives; scenes occur in different countries, most of them remote; the main characters struggle against adversity and are rewarded in the end; characters thought dead are miraculously resurrected; and the final reconciliation is achieved through the agency of young people.

(Hartwig, 4)

These narrative components are the stuff of romance, so it is unsurprising that although the dramatic category was unknown in Shakespeare’s era, for most of the twentieth century Pericles, Cymbeline, The Winter’s Tale and The Tempest have been regrouped as ‘Shakespeare’s romances’.

A standard definition of ‘romance’ is ‘a fictitious narrative in prose of which the scene and incidents are very remote from those of ordinary life, *esp.* one of the class prevalent in the 16th and 17th centuries, in which the story is often overlaid with long disquisitions and digressions’ (*OED* 3). Drawing on third-century AD Greek narratives about the extraordinary adventures of lost and wandering lovers, interlaced with strange monsters, savage beasts and supernatural apparitions, Elizabethan writers – John Lyly, Thomas Lodge, Robert Greene and, in the posthumously published Arcadia, Philip Sidney – brought such stories
to the reading public. Dramatists combed the narratives for new plots. Shakespeare, for one, used Sidney’s story of the Paphlagonian king for the Gloucester subplot in *King Lear* and in the process, according to Hallett Smith, began a period of experimentation with dramatizations of romances that culminated in *The Tempest* (H. Smith, 55).

The *commedia dell'arte* of continental Europe was well known in England and may also have influenced Shakespeare’s plot and characters. In 1934 Kathleen M. Lea made a case for his use of a scenario from the *commedia dell'arte*, arguing that

the favourite setting is either the coast of Arcadia or a lost island; the dramatis personae consists of a magician who has a somewhat malicious interest in the love-affairs of a group of nymphs and shepherds among whom one may be his daughter and another the lost son of the Magnifico or the Doctor who are shipwrecked onto the coast with the Zanni. The magician’s attendants are satyrs, demons, or rustics of the cruder sort . . . At the denouement the magician discovers the relationship between himself, the lovers, and the strangers, ends the play by renouncing his magic and sometimes agrees to leave the island and return to civic life.

(Lea, 2.444–5)

The similarity to Prospero (the magician), Miranda (his daughter), Ferdinand (the son of a duke instead of a magnifico), Ariel (a benign satyr) and Caliban (a demonic and rustic attendant) is clear. Though Stephano and Trinculo obviously share the comic qualities of the *zanni* – Trinculo is a court jester, Stephano a comic drunk – the extent of Shakespeare’s indebtedness to this continental scenario is necessarily hypothetical (see Fig. 2).

Categories of dramatic works are useful but not essential. Whether *The Tempest* is labelled a tragicomedy (Hartwig) or a romance (Edwards, H. Smith, Felperin), neither typology tells us whether Shakespeare initiated a change in theatrical offer-
A. Younge as Stephano and H. Nye as Trinculo, with the latter wearing the customary jester’s costume, uniform of the zanni
ings or seized upon contemporary fashions, or effectively did both. In any case, the play’s content and structure are characteristic of the last years of the dramatist’s career and of a highly popular Jacobean dramatic form.

**Structure**

Except for *The Comedy of Errors*, *The Tempest* is Shakespeare’s shortest play; and like *The Comedy of Errors*, it roughly conforms to the unities of time, place and action. As Prospero’s instructions to Ariel make clear (1.2.240–1; 5.1.4–5), the plot consumes the hours between 2 p.m. and 6 p.m.¹ (the events of twelve years ago and earlier are recounted rather than enacted in 1.2), and, aside from the initial storm scene, the events occur on one mysterious island. The plot’s various strands are Prospero’s interventions in the other characters’ lives and psyches.

*The Tempest* may indeed be Shakespeare’s most tightly structured play, an appropriate characteristic for a story in which the central character is so concerned with disciplining his minions. Composed of nine separate scenes, the play begins with a shipwreck and ends with the restoration of the ship that had seemed earlier to split. The rest of the play is comparably symmetrical. Scenes 2 (1.2) and 8 (4.1) involve Prospero, Miranda and Ferdinand; in scene 2 Ferdinand thinks he has lost his father forever; in scene 8 he assumes a new father in Prospero through marriage to Miranda. Scenes 3 (2.1) and 7 (3.3) develop Antonio and Sebastian’s plan to kill Alonso and usurp his throne; in both scenes their conspiracy is postponed, in scene 3 by Ariel’s intervention and in scene 7 by the mysterious arrival of a banquet. Scenes 4 (2.2) and 6 (3.2) display the drunken antics of Caliban, Stephano and Trinculo, and their plot to kill Prospero and take

¹ With musical interludes between the acts (see Taylor, 30), *The Tempest* would have lasted approximately four hours – the same amount of time that Prospero suggests the action takes. But cf. Alonso’s ‘three hours since / Were wrecked upon this shore’ (5.1.136–7), and the Boatswain’s ‘but three glasses since we gave out split’ (5.1.223); perhaps Prospero allows an hour for the storm.
over the island (foiled in the last lines of 4.1). The central scene 5 (3.1) showcases Ferdinand and Miranda’s betrothal.¹

Within this tight pattern, several roles and events are parallel; *The Tempest*’s ‘symmetric structure of correspondences gives it the multiplicity of a hall of mirrors, in which everything reflects and re-reflects everything else’ (Brooks, 37). Prospero’s overthrow in Milan twelve years earlier is nearly repeated, first in Antonio and Sebastian’s plot to murder Alonso, and second in Caliban, Stephano and Trinculo’s plan to assassinate Prospero. Each of these plot strands leads to a seemingly miraculous spectacle. Prospero’s ultimate goals, the restoration of his rightful place and a proper marriage for his daughter, are celebrated in the masque he stages for Ferdinand and Miranda in 4.1. Alonso, Sebastian and Antonio are stunned by a disappearing banquet and Ariel’s sudden appearance as a harpy in 3.3. Trinculo and Stephano are diverted from their murderous intent at the sight of Prospero’s ‘trumpery’ hanging on a line; their appearance near the end of the play in stolen finery provides an antimasque which parodies Ceres and Juno’s formal personations in 4.1. And, as the Epilogue reminds us, the play is itself a spectacle that will soon disappear.

Shakespeare’s adherence to the unity of time is particularly problematic. Instead of evolving his plot across the vasts of time and space so common in Greek romance, the dramatist insists that his characters merely *remember* the events of the twelve years preceding. Although Miranda cannot recall enough to challenge Prospero’s account, Caliban and Ariel do remember early events on the island; Caliban’s recollections, in some particulars, challenge his master’s, leaving the audience to speculate as to what really happened.²

² For further discussion of memory in *The Tempest*, see especially Günter Walch, ‘Metatheatrical memory and transculturation in *The Tempest*’, in Maquerlot and Willems, 223–38.
The compression of events to one afternoon also leaves many loose ends. Anne Barton observes that ‘a surprising amount of *The Tempest* depends upon the suppressed and the unspoken’ (Penguin, 16). The introduction of Antonio’s son in 1.2.439, a figure who is not mentioned elsewhere in the play, is a case in point. Audiences may also wonder about the absence of Prospero’s wife, the fate of Claribel in Tunis, Sycorax’s life before she was banished to the island, Antonio’s silence in the final scene and other gaps in the narrative. Antonio’s son may be a loose end, an omission in the heat of composition; but these other conundrums appear to be deliberate silences on Shakespeare’s part. *The Tempest* provokes dozens of questions for which the text provides no certain answers.

It also provokes questions about place. *The Tempest*, like *The Winter’s Tale*, is a pastoral romance, but its opposition of court and country is affected by Shakespeare’s adherence to the unity of place. We never see the courts of Milan and Naples (as we do Leontes’ Sicily); rather they come to the island in the persons of Alonso, Antonio, Sebastian and others. These courtiers do not find themselves in a stylized world of sonnet-citing shepherds and shepherdesses, but discover instead an island replete with brine pits, hissing adders and stinging urchins. Alonso is mortified by his encounter with Ariel the harpy, but Antonio, and perhaps Sebastian, seem psychologically unchanged by their sojourn in the natural world. Shakespeare uses the pattern of pastoral, but as with other patterns in the play, unanticipated events disrupt expectations.

More than a simple setting, the island takes on a life of its own. Caliban creates its mythic resonances with his evocations of exotic flora and fauna: ‘berries’, ‘clustr’ing filberts’, ‘pignuts’, ‘the nim­ble marmoset’ and the ambiguous ‘scamels’ (2.2.157–69). His perspective is shaped by physical responses to night and day, moon and stars, emptiness and fullness, silence and music. The Neapolitans see the island differently. To Gonzalo it has possibilities as a golden-age plantation. To Sebastian and Antonio it
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evokes travellers’ tales of unicorns and the phoenix. To Prospero it is a temporary if unwanted haven from the cares of office. To the audience it is the stuff that dreams are made on, an imaginative world of words and music.

The action on this island, as we suggested earlier, is mainly geographic movement writ small. The first four acts conclude with an invitation to move on: ‘Come, follow’ (1.2.502); ‘Lead the way’ (2.2.183); ‘Follow, I pray you’ (3.3.110); ‘Follow and do me service’ (4.1.266) (see Aercke, 148). The characters perambulate in small groups from one part of the island to another; only at Prospero’s final invitation, ‘Please you, draw near’ (5.1.319), do they join in one place. Although their physical and psychological journeys through the island’s maze have ended, the play concludes with plans for a sea journey back to Milan that roughly parallels the journeys that brought all the Europeans to the island.

This sense of continual movement contributes to The Tempest’s elusiveness. Within its tightly organized scenes it switches from one view of human nature to another; each can be said to be ‘true’. Stanley Wells observes that ‘The Tempest is a romance containing built-in criticism of romance; not a rejection of it, but an appreciation of both its glories and its limitations’ (Wells, 76). This tension is most apparent in the counterpoint among Gonzalo, Antonio and Sebastian in 2.1.52–106. Gonzalo sees grass that is green and lusty; the cynical courtiers find only docks and nettles. Prospero’s wry response to Miranda’s discovery of a ‘brave new world’ – ‘’Tis new to thee’ (5.1.183–4) – frames a similar opposition. Such contradictory visions are characteristic of Shakespeare’s late plays. By yoking tragic themes and comic resolutions, realistic characterizations and exotic tales, the romances highlight the paradoxes of human experience.

Music

The atmosphere of The Tempest’s enchanted island is created largely through sound. The stage directions call for a variety of auditory effects, including Ariel playing on a tabor and pipe
(3.2.124SD), ‘Solemn and strange music’ (3.3.17.1), ‘soft music’ (3.3.82.1 and 4.1.58SD), ‘a strange hollow and confused noise’ (4.1.138.3–4) and ‘Solemn music’ (5.1.57SD). Moreover, Prospero manifests his power in music throughout The Tempest; akin in many ways to Orpheus, Prospero employs music to civilize his island’s discordant elements (Simonds, ‘Music’).

Ariel must have originally been performed by an adept musician, for in addition to playing on his tabor and pipe, he sings four songs. Throughout the play, his songs are a vehicle for Prospero’s magic: they guide Ferdinand to his meeting with Miranda in 1.2, waken Gonzalo in time to prevent regicide in 2.1 and lead the drunken conspirators into the horse pond in 4.1. Ariel’s final tune, ‘Where the bee sucks’, accompanies Prospero’s donning of ducal robes while the airy spirit exults in the merry life he will lead in liberty.

The two settings of Ariel’s songs that survive, ‘Full fathom five’ and ‘Where the Bee sucks’ (reproduced in Figs 3 and 4), were composed by Robert Johnson, a lutenist attached to James I’s court and in the service of Prince Henry.1 Compared to Ariel’s songs, the rest of the music in The Tempest is entertaining but of scant importance to the plot. Stephano enters singing a scurvy tune, Caliban chants a freedom catch, and the three drunks join in a round, ‘Flout ’em and scout ’em’. In a more sober vein, music accompanies the masque, parts of it are sung, and it is followed by a dance of Reapers and Nymphs.

Of greater import than the individual songs announced by the stage directions is the pervasiveness of music conveyed in the text. Caliban assures his companions that the island is full of ‘Sounds and sweet airs’ (3.2.136). When he hears Ariel’s song, Ferdinand wonders, ‘Where should this music be? I’th’ air, or th’earth?’ (1.2.388). Few of Shakespeare’s plays require so much music, ‘and none of them puts so much emphasis on “dis-
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Robert Johnson’s musical setting for ‘Full fathom five’, from *Cheerfull Ayres or Ballads*, 1660

persed” music, performed as if it came from all over the stage’ (Seng, 252). At the Blackfriars, Shakespeare had access to instrumentalists and boy singers who could create a magical island out of sheer sound.
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Gentus Primus.

Here the Bee sucks there suck I, in a Cowlips Bell I lye there I couch

When Owtes doe cry, on the Batts Back I doe fly, after Summer merrily.

Merrily Merrily shall I live now under the Blossome that hangs on the Bough

Merrily Merrily shall I live now, under the Blossome that Hangs on the Bough,

4 Robert Johnson’s musical setting for ‘Where the Bee sucks’, from Cheerfull Ayres or Ballads, 1660

Language

The Tempest’s language is no less elusive than the island and its music. Its poetry ‘seduces the audience into a state of stylistic suspension, an intuitive zone between sleep and wake, . . . a marginal condition between expectation and understanding,
affirmation and skepticism, comedy and tragedy' (McDonald, 27). The language itself creates the island’s dreamlike effect, contributing to the audience’s sense of suspension from time and space.

Like the play’s action, the verse is often elliptical. Apostrophes are used to omit syllables from words, not simply to suit the iambic pentameter line but in all likelihood to compress the language and reveal the emotions boiling beneath. Prospero’s speeches to Miranda in 1.2, as he recounts his past, repeatedly use elisions, such as ‘hearts i’th’ state’ (84), ‘out o’th’ substitution’ (103) and ‘in lieu o’th’ premises’ (123). Words are also conspicuously omitted, leaving the observer to make the line coherent by supplying an all-important noun, pronoun, verb or adverb. Consider, for example, ‘there is no soul –’ (1.2.29), short for ‘there is no soul perished’; ‘and his only heir’ (1.2.58), short for ‘and you his only heir’; ‘urchins / Shall forth’ (1.2.327–8), short for ‘urchins shall go forth’. Sometimes key words are delayed, the flow of thought interrupted by a complex dependent clause, as in Prospero’s description of Sycorax:

This damned witch Sycorax,
For mischiefs manifold and sorceries terrible
To enter human hearing, from Algiers,
Thou knowst, was banished.

(1.2.263–6)

Audiences and readers automatically supply ‘sorceries too terrible’ and then wait for the final verb. This compression gives the poetry a ‘stripped-down quality, more extreme than anything in Shakespeare’s previous work. . . . [T]he verse achieves an uncanny eloquence by way of what it omits or pares away’ (Penguin, 13).

1 The following analysis of Shakespeare’s verse draws heavily on an unpublished paper by Russ McDonald, ‘Shakespeare’s late line’, which was delivered at the March 1997 meeting of the Shakespeare Association of America, and on Anne Barton’s introduction to The New Penguin Tempest.
Editors of *The Tempest* frequently note its unusual reliance on compound words such as ‘sight-outrunning’, ‘sea-change’, ‘bemocked-at stabs’, ‘sea-marge’, ‘pole-clipped’, ‘cloud-capped’, ‘weather-fends’, ‘spell-stopped’, to name just several. The words are joined without syntactical relationships, as if they have been ‘left to work out their complex and unstable union within the reader’s mind’ (Penguin, 14). They add to our sense of the play’s compression, collapsing several sentences of meaning into two or three words.

Other passages rely on repetition for effect. Miranda’s ‘I have suffered / With those that I saw suffer’ (1.2.5–6) underscores her compassion, much as Prospero’s ‘Twelve year since, Miranda, twelve year since’ (1.2.53) bespeaks his growing agitation. Like a musical theme with variations, the verse replicates the play’s structural repetitions and variations – usurpation, killing the king, spectacle.

Despite the text’s suggestions of movement, most of *The Tempest*’s scenes are static expositions of the past or plans for the future, a quality that makes Shakespeare’s use of shared and run-on lines all the more important. The play’s longest scene (1.2), consisting almost entirely of recollections, would be tedious indeed were it not for the frequent give and take between the central narrator, Prospero, and his listeners. One-fifth of *The Tempest*’s verse lines are short or shared, an effect that promotes tension and highlights interpersonal conflicts (G. Wright, 119, Appendix C).

*The Tempest*’s high proportion of irregular lines and the frequent use of an extra unaccented syllable at the end of lines repeat at the linguistic level the plot’s underlying tension between harmony and disruption, between utopian longings and the chaos caused by human nature. That chaos is perhaps best typified by Caliban, and discussions of the play’s language often focus on his speeches. Miranda (with Prospero’s assistance, surely) taught him their language, so it is not surprising that his major speeches – even in scenes with Trinculo and Stephano,
who use the characteristic prose of clowns and jesters — are in blank verse. Caliban’s diction differs, however, from Miranda’s and Prospero’s; his words express unique apprehension of the natural world, gleaned from his physical experience of island life in the sound of storms, the sting of porcupines, the hiss of adders and the music of the wind. The other characters have little, if anything, to say about Caliban’s world; the function of much of their ‘civilization’ (clothing, utensils, cells) is to protect them from its rigours. Caliban’s rhetoric invests the island with reality.

Characters

Like the location of the enchanted island, the origins of the play’s characters are elusive. There are, to be sure, links to Shakespeare’s earlier endeavours: Prospero has often been compared to Measure for Measure’s Vincentio, Miranda to the late romances’ Marina, Imogen and Perdita. Despite the echoes of past creations, the characters in The Tempest are as much sui generis as the play’s structure and language.

Ben Jonson included a Prospero and a Stephano in the first version of Every Man in his Humour (1598), which makes it tempting to imagine that Shakespeare, who appears in Every Man’s cast list, once performed Jonson’s Prospero. But the resemblance between the two characters is in name only. Prospero, ironically enough, means ‘fortunate’ or ‘prosperous’ but, like Shakespeare’s magician, the name has often belied reality. For example, William Thomas’s Historie of Italie (1549), sometimes suggested as a direct source for The Tempest (Bullough, 8.249–50), describes the fate of Prospero Adorno, who was established by Ferdinando, Duke of Milan, as the Governor of Genoa. According to Thomas, Prospero was deposed; the citizens ‘(remembryng how thei were best in quiet, whan they were subjectes to the Duke of Millaine) returned of newe to be under the Milanese dominion: and than was Antony Adorno made governour of the citee for the Duke’ (Thomas,
Whether or not Shakespeare took the names of Ferdinand and the brothers Prospero and Antonio from Thomas, the latter’s account of a brother’s treachery provides an intriguing analogue.

Prospero is ‘fortunate’ in that after twelve years of suffering on a lonely island he sees his daughter happily betrothed and is at long last restored to his dukedom. He is clearly the play’s central character; he has far more lines than anyone else and manipulates the other characters throughout. One’s reaction to Prospero almost inevitably determines one’s response to the entire play. In the eighteenth century, when the magus was perceived as an enlightened and benign philosophe, the play seemed a magical comedy; by the late twentieth century, when Prospero had come to be viewed as a tetchy, if not tyrannical, imperialist, the play itself seemed more problematic (see pp. 103–8).

Congruent with these changing interpretations were different physical images of the magus. From the eighteenth century into the twentieth, he was customarily depicted on stage and in visual representations as an old, grey-bearded sage; in many late twentieth-century commentaries, he is presented as middle-aged, which reflects partly a better knowledge of Renaissance royal culture and partly the influence of Freudian theories. Renaissance princes usually married early. Since Miranda is apparently his only offspring (whose mother presumably died giving birth) and is now approximately 15, Prospero could be as young as 35. The range of his emotions attests to a nature still in development, and his comment at the play’s finale that ‘Every third thought shall be my grave’ is most likely the mature reflection of middle age that time is not limitless. When Richard Burbage (1567–1619) performed Prospero’s role in 1611, he was 44 (Shakespeare was 47), which reinforces our impression of Prospero as between 40 and 45, but no older. If this is indeed the

1 Prospero has nearly 30 per cent of the lines; the next highest figure is Caliban’s at less than 9 per cent. See Marvin Spevack, *A Complete and Systematic Concordance to the Works of Shakespeare*, 9 vols (Hildesheim, Germany, 1968–80), 1.36–62.
case, an underlying motive for his urgency for the match with Ferdinand may be incestuous feelings for his own daughter. As some recent critics and performances have emphasized, he needs to get her off the island and married, for his own sake as well as hers (see pp. 118, 123).

Throughout the play Prospero displays ‘a superb combination of power and control’ in his relations to others (Kahn, 239). His stance throughout is authoritarian, which may explain the changing reaction to his role over the centuries. As Duke, he was responsible for the health of his duchy; his inattention to politics invited Antonio’s coup d’état twelve years before the play begins; when Prospero resumes his ducal robes at the play’s conclusion, there is some question as to what kind of ruler he will be now. His willingness to relinquish his books, the source of his earlier distraction, suggests that he will take a more ‘hands-on’ approach, perhaps replacing the information gathered by Ariel by using his own surveillance techniques to monitor Antonio and Sebastian.

Prospero is also, as briefly discussed above, a magician. He wears magic robes, uses a magic staff and refers to his books on magic. Magic is his technology, a means to the end of getting what he wants. But a central ambiguity in the play is what he wants. Does he plan a spectacular revenge against his enemies? His disjointed language and palpable anguish in 1.2.66–132 suggest the rage that has festered for twelve years, but his plan for Miranda’s marriage to Ferdinand makes it less likely that he intends real harm to her future father-in-law. Prospero’s angry outburst in the midst of the masquers’ festive dance in 4.1 reveals a mind distempered by crimes he cannot forgive, yet he claims to have forgiven the courtiers at the play’s conclusion, partly in response to Ariel’s remonstrance and partly because he must if Miranda’s union with Ferdinand is to succeed. Prospero’s darker side, moreover, is emphasized by his being the mirror image to Sycorax. Like Prospero, she arrived with a child, though hers (Caliban) was still in the womb; like him, she
used her magic (witchcraft) to control the elements. But Sycorax’s powers are presented as demonic, and until he echoes the sorceress Medea’s invocation in 5.1.33–50, Prospero construes his own magic as benign: ‘There’s no harm done’ (1.2.15). Still, the parallel underlies the play and casts an ambiguous shadow on the magician.

Perhaps Prospero’s most controversial role is that of master. In his service are Ariel, who serves under oral contract for an unstated period (1.2.245–50), and Caliban, enslaved by Prospero a year or two earlier, the text implies, for his sexual assault on a recently pubescent Miranda. Although Prospero handles both subordinates with threats of confinement and bodily pain, and although he is, in many modern interpretations, unduly strict and often petulant towards them, at the end he sets Ariel free ahead of schedule and, perhaps, leaves Caliban to fend for himself when the Europeans return to Italy. Prospero is equally impatient with Ferdinand, whom he temporarily forces to do manual labour. Ferdinand’s service is short-lived, however, and he is rewarded with Miranda as a bride.¹

In the effort to control his fellows, Prospero also seeks to monopolize the narrative. He burdens Miranda in 1.2 with one of the lengthiest expositions in all Shakespearean drama, and at his concluding invitation to the courtiers to pass the night in his cave, he promises to recount the events of his twelve-year exile. His anger at the plot devised by Caliban, Stephano and Trinculo may result in part from their threat to set up a competing narrative; Caliban wants to get his island back (even if Stephano is king), just as Prospero wants to get his dukedom back, and Caliban’s plot to kill Prospero would, if successful, destroy the magus’s plans. Caliban’s and Prospero’s conflicting perspectives produce contrary accounts of key events.

If Prospero can be said to ‘prosper’, Miranda is also aptly named with the feminine form of the gerundive of the Latin verb

¹ The Tempest’s master–servant relations are explored in Andrew Gurr, ‘Industrious Ariel and idle Caliban’, in Maquerlot and Willems, 193–208.
‘wonder’. Ferdinand exclaims, ‘O, you wonder!’ when he first meets her, and her response to her newly discovered relatives in the famous line, ‘O wonder! . . . O brave new world!’ (5.1.181–3), bespeaks her own amazement at a world now opening before her.

Miranda’s role within *The Tempest*’s authoritarian framework is first as a daughter and then as a future wife. But even though she conveniently (or magically) falls in love with the man of her father’s choice, Miranda is not as meek and submissive as she is often portrayed. She clandestinely (she thinks) meets Ferdinand without permission and then disobeys her father’s command not to reveal her name. Earlier, her stinging rebuke of Caliban (1.2.352–63) reveals an assertive young woman. Still, despite occasional disobedience and outspokenness, Miranda remains the chaste ideal of early modern womanhood. Central to Prospero’s ‘obsession with themes of chastity and fertility’ (Thompson, 47), Miranda is his raison d’être, her marriage and future children his promise of immortality.

Although Miranda is central to *The Tempest*’s story line, Prospero’s two servants play more vocal and dynamic roles; both have problematic names. ‘Ariel’ must have had rich resonances for a Jacobean audience: ‘Uriel’, the name of an angel in the Jewish cabala, was John Dee’s spirit-communicant during his ill-fated experiments with magic (French, 111–17). Even richer are the biblical nuances. Although the Bishops’ Bible equates Ariel with the city of Jerusalem, marginalia to Isaiah, 29, of the Geneva Bible observe that ‘The Ebrewe worde Ariel signifieth the lyon of God, & signifieth the altar, because the altar semed to devoure the sacrifice that was offred to God’. Ariel is thus an appropriate appellation for the powerful magus’s agent who contrives a storm and a disappearing banquet. In the Bishops’ Bible, the prophet declares that the altar of Jerusalem ‘shall be visited of the Lord of hostes with thundre, and shaking, and a great

---

1 For the debate over the assignment of this speech, see pp. 135–6.
noyse, a whirlwinde, and a tempest, and a flame of devouring fyre'. Ariel describes his activity in the storm:

Now in the waist, the deck, in every cabin
I flamed amazement. Sometime I'd divide
And burn in many places – on the topmast,
The yards and bowsprit would I flame distinctly,
Then meet and join.

(1.2.197–201)

The prophet Isaiah continues, 'And it shalbe like as an hungrie man dreameth, and beholde, he eateth: and when he awaketh, his soule is emptie . . . For the Lord hath covered you with a spirit of slomber and hath shut up your eyes' – metaphors that are reified in 2.1 when a 'strange drowsiness' possesses the Neapolitans and in 3.3 when 'the banquet vanishes'. By 1610 Shakespeare probably had heard Isaiah, 29, expounded in church and perhaps had read it at home; whether he turned directly to the Bible or drew on subconscious recollections while he wrote, the image of Ariel as the 'lyon of God' speaking through flood and fire reverberates in The Tempest.

Prospero describes Ariel as 'quaint', 'delicate', 'dainty', and 'tricksy' (1.2.318; 4.1.49; 5.1.95, 226). Although Prospero is angered by the sprite's momentary rebellion in 1.2, usually master and servant seem fond of each other, and for most of the play Ariel gladly and expeditiously complies with his master's requests. (In some recent performances, however, such as Simon Russell Beale's in the 1993–4 production by the Royal Shakespeare Company, Ariel is palpably resentful of Prospero (see Fig. 5.).) As an airy spirit, Ariel can be seen as one pole in a neo-Platonic dualism: Air as opposed to Caliban's Earth. Thus Ariel is usually portrayed in illustrations as airborne, sometimes with wings (see Fig. 6), and is often attached to ropes or wires in stage performances. Caliban, in stark contrast, is usually hunched and close to the earth, often, in illustrations and stage productions, emerging from a rocky or subterranean cave. Ariel
is also associated with water: the spirit implements the tempest and is disguised as a ‘nymph o’th’ sea’ (1.2.302). Air and water connote lightness, fluidity and grace of movement. Accordingly, Ariel is often enacted by performers trained to be dancers; Caliban is contrasting awkward, often impeded by fins, or a hunched back, or even, as in the Trinity Repertory production of 1982, in Providence, Rhode Island, with his feet strapped to the tops of stools three feet high.

Although *The Tempest*’s cast of characters and the text itself identify Ariel as a non-human, though rational, spirit, he has independent thoughts and feelings. He refused, says Prospero, to enact Sycorax’s ‘earthy and abhorred commands’ (1.2.272–4), and he urges Prospero to choose forgiveness over vengeance (5.1.16–19). Still, Ariel once served the sorceress and is the main
Priscilla Reed Horton, a popular nineteenth-century Ariel, sporting wings for the role.

Instrument of Prospero’s illusionistic power. The magician even calls Ariel a ‘malignant thing’ (1.2.257), though admittedly in a moment of pique. In sum, he should not be seen simply as the ‘Virtue’ to Caliban’s ‘Vice’, but as a complex character who asks for Prospero’s affection: ‘Do you love me, master? No?’ (4.1.48)

Caliban’s nature and history are more controversial than his fel-
low servant’s, as is the source of his name. A rough consensus has long prevailed that because Caliban is an anagram for ‘cannibal’, Shakespeare thereby identified the ‘savage’ in some way with anthropophagism. Cannibals were topical in Shakespeare’s day (though probably less than in the previous century), partly because reports from the New World insisted that some natives consumed human flesh and partly because simultaneous reports from sub-Saharan Africa, often drawing on ancient myths, made similar claims. In America, the association of anthropophagism with the Carib Indians provided the etymological source for ‘cannibal’, a term that in the sixteenth century gradually replaced the classical ‘anthropophagi’. Simultaneously, ‘Caribana’ soon became a common geographical label, widely used by cartographers for the northern region of South America, while other forms of ‘Carib’ were associated with various New World peoples and locations.1 Shakespeare might have borrowed ‘cannibal’ or one of its many variants from narratives of New World travel, or from contemporary maps, or, as has often been proposed, from the title and text of Montaigne’s ‘Of the Caniballes’, to fashion an imprecise but readily recognizable anagram. The necessity of dropping a superfluous ‘n’ or ‘e’ and of substituting ‘l’ for ‘r’ – the latter was frequent in transliterations of native languages – would not, perhaps, have interfered with an audience’s awareness of the anagram.

If Shakespeare intended an anagrammatic name for his deformed savage, it was too obvious or too cryptic for printed comment until 1778, when the second edition of Samuel Johnson and George Steeven’s Tempest attributed to Richard Farmer, a prominent Cambridge University scholar, the notion that Caliban was ‘cannibal’ in verbal disguise. Although adherents to Farmer’s exegesis have increased markedly in the succeeding two centuries, sceptics continue to challenge the anagram’s theatrical feasibility. As Horace Howard Furness asked in the 1892 Variorum edition:

1 The best discussion of cannibalism and The Tempest is in the first two chapters of P. Hulme.
When *The Tempest* was acted before the motley audience of the Globe Theatre, [was] there a single auditor who, on hearing Prospero speak of Caliban, bethought him of the Caribbean Sea, and instantly surmised that the name was a metathesis of Cannibal? Under this impression, the appearance of the monster without a trace of his bloodthirsty characteristic must have been disappointing.

(Var, 5)

The usual retort is that Shakespeare meant not a literal cannibal but a morally and socially deficient savage. Nonetheless, the evidence of authorial intentionality is at best inferential.

Several alternative etymologies have been offered. Among them is the African placename ‘Calibia’, which appeared near the Mediterranean coast on some sixteenth-century maps and is mentioned in Richard Knolles’s *Generall Historie of the Turks* (1603), a book that Shakespeare unquestionably plumbed for *Othello* only a few years before he wrote *The Tempest*. A classical possibility is the ‘Chalybes’, a people mentioned by Virgil (*Aeneid*, Bk 10, 174). Still other proposed sources for Caliban’s name are an Arabic word for ‘vile dog’, *Kalebon*; the Hindi word for a satyr of Kalee, *Kalee-ban*; and, more plausibly, the Romany (Gypsy) word for black or dark things, *caulibon*. Gypsies were a major social concern throughout sixteenth- and seventeenth-century England, as numerous laws, plays, tracts and sermons attest; ‘caulibon’ may have been an effective theatrical signifier of unruliness, darkness and licentiousness (Vaughan, *Caliban*, 33–6). But the Gypsy word, like the other proposed etymologies—including cannibal, Carib and Caribana—has no contemporary corroboration.¹

The Folio’s ‘Names of the Actors’ describes Caliban as a ‘saluage and deformed slaue’, words that may not be Shakespeare’s but which do set rough parameters for his characteriza-

---

¹ Another etymological possibility is *Kalyb*, a female character in Richard Johnson’s *Most Famous History of the Seven Champions of Christendom* (1596–7), a widely disseminated book and a likely influence on *Coriolanus*. 
tion though not for his poetic language. Surely in Prospero’s and Miranda’s eyes, Caliban is a savage, as she specifically calls him (1.2.356); to Prospero he is a creature ‘Whom stripes may move, not kindness’ (1.2.346). Prospero accuses Caliban of being the son of a witch (Sycorax)\(^1\) and ‘the devil’ (1.2.263, 320–1; 5.1.269), but the magus’s angry words, especially about Caliban’s paternity, are not necessarily true; Caliban was conceived before Sycorax’s exile from Algiers. Her ‘freckled whelp’ (1.2.283), an islander by birth, grew for his first twelve or so years without the benefits of European culture, religion and language; to Prospero he resembles the bestial wild man of medieval lore – unkempt, uneducated and thoroughly uncivilized. His ‘savagery’ is thus opposed to the ‘civility’ brought to the island by Europeans (see Vaughan, \textit{Caliban}, 7–8).

The extent of Caliban’s ‘deformity’ is woefully imprecise. Prospero describes him as ‘Filth’, ‘Hag-seed’, ‘beast’ and ‘misshapen knave’ (1.2.347, 366; 4.1.140; 5.1.268) and claims that ‘with age his body uglier grows’ (4.1.191), but these vituperative terms are doubtless coloured by the magician’s anger at Caliban’s attempted rape of Miranda and his subsequent rebelliousness. Trinculo initially mistakes Caliban for a fish and later labels him a ‘deboshed fish’ and ‘half a fish and half a monster’ (3.2.25, 28), epithets that may reflect Caliban’s smell instead of his shape, which may also be the case when Antonio calls him a ‘plain fish’ (5.1.266). Stephano and Trinculo persistently demean Caliban as ‘monster’, combining the term with various qualifiers: ‘shallow’, ‘weak’, ‘scurvy’, ‘most perfidious and drunken’, ‘howling’, ‘puppy-headed’, ‘abominable’, ‘ridiculous’ and, in a more positive (but surely sarcastic) vein, ‘brave’. More suggestive of grotesqueness is Alonso’s quip that Caliban is ‘a strange thing as e’er I looked on’ (5.1.290). But Caliban is nonetheless of human form and, in most respects, of human qualities. Prospero reports that except for Caliban, the island was ‘not honoured with / A

\(^1\) Prospero’s insistence that Sycorax is a witch (1.2.258, 263, 275–9, 289–91) is confirmed by Caliban (1.2.322–4, 340–1).
human shape’ (1.2.283–4) when he and Miranda arrived; and she includes Caliban in her list of three human males when she calls Ferdinand ‘the third man that e’er I saw’ (1.2.446), although she implicitly modifies that comparison when she later attests that she ‘may call men’ only Ferdinand and her father (3.1.50–2).

Once again The Tempest is indeterminate, yet the bulk of the evidence points to a Caliban who is, despite his possibly demonic parentage and unspecified deformity, essentially human.

Throughout The Tempest’s long history, Caliban has nonetheless been burdened with a wide variety of physical aberrations, sometimes in eclectic combination, including fins, fish scales, tortoise shells, fur, skin diseases, floppy puppy ears and apelike brows, to name just a few. The common thread here is, of course, difference. The simple fact of aboriginal nakedness in Africa and America, and to some extent in Ireland, contrasted with early modern Europe’s obsession with ornate clothing and reinforced English notions of the natives’ inherent otherness. In Prospero’s and Miranda’s eyes, Caliban was unalterably ‘other’, probably from the beginning but surely after the attempted rape, and the numerous pejorative epithets hurled at him by all the Europeans throughout the play reflect their assessment of his form and character as fundamentally opposite to their own.¹

That Caliban is a slave for the play’s duration is indisputable, by Caliban’s testimony as well as Prospero’s. The slave’s resentment of his master is also indisputable, as evidenced by Caliban’s curses, by his reluctant service (according to Prospero and Miranda), and by his plot with Stephano and Trinculo to kill Prospero and take over the island. Yet this slave seems more determined to gain liberation from his current master than from

¹ We calculate Caliban’s age to have been 24 at the time of the play’s action, based on the following clues: Sycorax was pregnant with Caliban when she arrived at the island; sometime after, she pinioned Ariel in a tree, where he was confined for twelve years before Prospero arrived and set him free, which in turn was twelve years before the play’s action begins (1.2.263–93). Only if there was a lengthy gap, not implied in the text, between Caliban’s birth and his mother’s imprisonment of Ariel can he be appreciably older than 24.
servitude in general. He shows no reluctance until the denouement in 5.1 to serve ‘King’ Stephano, and even Caliban’s ‘Freedom high-day’ song is deeply ambivalent:

Ban’ ban’ Ca-caliban,
Has a new master, get a new man.

(2.2.179–80)

Such clues to Caliban’s ingrained dependency have encouraged some actors and artists to portray him as a wistful re-inheritor of the island\(^1\) and have reinforced theories of a native dependency syndrome, most notably in Octave Mannoni’s emblematic Caliban (see pp.103–5, and Appendix 2.3).

The Tempest offers only shorthand sketches of the remaining \textit{dramatis personae}. Ferdinand, the handsome prince who deserves the heroine not just by birth but by merit, is descended from a long line of heroes from Orlando through to Florizel. The court party comprises similarly recognizable types, representative of early modern political discourse. Gonzalo, like Polonius, is a garrulous counsellor whose moral platitudes are often ignored, but there the similarity ends. Gonzalo never resorts to Polonius’s Machiavellian intrigues but speaks his mind openly and honestly to whoever will listen. Antonio,\(^2\) the ambitious Machiavel, tries to corrupt Sebastian into murder in a scene remarkably akin to Lady Macbeth’s temptation of her husband; Sebastian is Antonio’s less imaginative partner in crime. Both are reminiscent of Cleon and Dionyza in \textit{Pericles}. Alonso, like Leontes, is a ruler of mixed qualities – guilty of conspiracy against Prospero but capable of repenting and wishing he had acted differently.

The court party is parodied by its servants: Stephano, the drunken butler, and Trinculo, the court jester. Trinculo’s name

---

1 The text is silent about Caliban’s fate, suggesting neither that he is left behind nor that he accompanies Prospero to Milan. Both scenarios have figured prominently in imaginative extensions of \textit{The Tempest}.

2 \textit{The Tempest’s} Antonio was Shakespeare’s fourth. They are fully discussed in Cynthia Lewis, \textit{Particular Saints: Shakespeare’s Four Antonios, Their Contexts, and Their Plays} (Newark, Del., 1997).
aptly comes from the Italian verb, *trincare*, to drink greedily, while Stephano is a more generic Italian name that may, in this instance, derive from a slang word (*stefano*) for stomach or belly. His ‘celestial liquor’ roughly parallels Prospero’s magic – it mysteriously transforms people and provides visions of delight. When the two clowns join Caliban in a conspiracy to kill Prospero and take over the island, they parody Antonio’s actions of twelve years earlier, not to mention his current plot to kill Alonso. More important, their stupidity in dawdling over Prospero’s fancy robes instead of murdering him contrasts with Caliban’s superior knowledge that the clothes are ‘but trash’.

The two pairs of disreputable Europeans – Antonio and Sebastian, Stephano and Trinculo – differ in many respects from Caliban to illustrate the issues Montaigne contemplated in his famous essay on Brazilian Indians (see Appendix 1.2): which is more barbarous, the educated European who makes a sham of his Christian upbringing, or the ‘savage’ who responds honestly to his natural instincts? Does civilization uplift or corrupt? In contrast to Antonio, Caliban finally learns from his experience to ‘seek for grace’; in contrast to Stephano and Trinculo, he seems to have an innate understanding of nature, of music and of how to achieve his goals.

**THE CONTEXT**

*The Tempest*’s historical debut (1610–23) – the time of its composition, initial performances and first publication – is, like most aspects of the play, open to conflicting assessments, especially as scholarly understanding of the period expands. In this section we place *The Tempest* within its historical context, as best we can reconstruct it – a reconstruction that must include more than the obvious imperial and political discourses. Aesthetic, scientific, social and philosophical texts (to the extent that such documents can be meaningfully categorized) formed essential parts of Shakespeare’s world and often resonate in the play.
While issues of state surely influenced those other concerns, they are not one and the same; *The Tempest* ‘is structured around . . . oppositions between courtly discourse and wider linguistic contexts’ (Norbrook, 21). To set *The Tempest* in its comprehensive context is to work spatially from Shakespeare’s personal milieu (the King’s Company at the Globe and Blackfriars theatres) outward to Jacobean London, to the rest of the British islands, to continental Europe and on to the outer perimeter – the global framework of North Africa, Bermuda, Jamestown and the Virginia Company’s explorations. The Jacobean milieu of *The Tempest* also includes literary influences far removed in time from Shakespeare’s immediate era: a vast intellectual tradition – classical and medieval, and more often of continental than English origin – that shaped the work of playwrights and poets, as did past and present scientific and philosophical treatises, iconographic traditions and religious controversies.

No one knows why and how the dramatist drew, consciously or unconsciously, from such rich resources. Scholars can, however, indicate likely connections between *The Tempest*’s language and characters and the political, social and intellectual climates in which Shakespeare lived and worked. Such connections mitigate our human tendency to see only the present era’s concerns mirrored in Shakespeare’s text. When *The Tempest* is situated within its early seventeenth-century historical framework, cultural and literary resonances that were transparent to Shakespeare’s original audiences but opaque to subsequent generations are often clarified.

*Domestic politics*

Court performances were routine. As we mentioned earlier, *The Tempest*’s inclusion in the celebration of Princess Elizabeth’s wedding did not necessarily carry any political significance, but there are nevertheless suggestive parallels between the play and events at court. While Shakespeare was crafting *The Tempest*, negotiations were under way for the marriages of both Prince
Henry and Princess Elizabeth; the political problems of royal marriages and dynastic arrangements were on the public’s mind. James hoped to establish his reputation as a peacekeeper by balancing a Catholic marriage for Henry with a Protestant alliance for Elizabeth. Negotiations for the Princess’s marriage were well along by the autumn of 1611 and reached their final stage the following summer. In late October 1612, Prince Henry suddenly took ill; his death on 6 November sent England into profound mourning for the popular royal heir. In the wake of Henry’s funeral, Elizabeth’s wedding to the Elector Palatine was postponed until Valentine’s Day (14 February) the following year. As David Scott Kastan observes, ‘Alonso’s sadness at having apparently lost his son and married his daughter to a foreign prince might well have seemed a virtual mirror of the [royal] situation’ (Kastan, 96–7).

The poignant history of Elizabeth, ‘the winter Queen’, could not have been foreseen by Shakespeare or his audience, but it has affected responses from later critics (New Cambridge Shakespeare, xlvi–xlvii). Instead of flourishing and building a new dynasty – as Miranda promises at The Tempest’s conclusion – Elizabeth’s fate was to be like Claribel’s, far from her father’s protection and lost to England. As she prepared to leave for Bohemia, Elizabeth lamented: ‘I shall perhaps never see again the flower of princes, the king of fathers, the best and most amicable father, that the sun will ever see’ (quoted in Bergeron, 117). Events proved her right. Elizabeth spent the rest of her life embroiled in the politics of the Habsburg empire. But other aspects of Bohemian history may have influenced Shakespeare. The plight of Rudolph II, Holy Roman Emperor and King of Bohemia, parallels Prospero’s story. In 1608 Rudolph’s brother usurped from him the crowns of Austria, Hungary and Moravia; and in April 1611 Rudolph also lost the throne of Bohemia. His interest in the occult was widely known; in the 1580s the English magus John Dee had briefly enlisted his support in a quest for the philosopher’s stone. Beset by political troubles, Rudolph,
much like Prospero, retreated to his palace library and consoled himself with books (Kastan, 98).

In addition to these contemporary European analogues, The Tempest has often been viewed as a mirror image of the Jacobean court, with Prospero partly reflecting James. In the Basilikon Doron (published in Latin in 1599, in English in 1603), James had warned his son:

> it is necessarie yee delight in reading, and seeking the knowledge of all lawfull things; but with these two restrictions: first, that ye choose idle hours for it, not interrupting therewith the discharge of your office: and next, that yee studie not for knowledge nakedly, but that your principall ende be, to make you able thereby to use your office.

(James I, Political, 38)

Yet James seems not to have practised much of what he preached, often neglecting his kingly duties for hunting. Like Prospero, he was concerned with the marriage of his children, the future of his dynasty and the management of his people.¹

**Brave new world**

Although continental and domestic politics provide important historical contexts for The Tempest, there may be more relevance in Tudor and Stuart England’s incipient empire. The extensive and varied discourses of colonialism, many critics argue, are deeply embedded in the drama’s language and events. Prospero commandeers a distant island and imposes his superior technology (books, magic) and his language as tools of conquest and domination. Ariel wants his ‘liberty’ from the servitude Prospero imposes as his price for liberating the sprite from an earlier thraldom, but the best Ariel can expect is that his master

¹ An alternative model for Prospero is Sir Robert Dudley, whose case is vigorously argued in Richard Wilson, ‘Voyages to Tunis: new history and the Old World of The Tempest’, English Literary History, 64 (1997), 333–57.
will ‘bate [him] a full year’ (1.2.249–50). Caliban, ‘Which first was mine own king’ (1.2.343), is initially well treated by the newcomers but is later enslaved and his island appropriated for his attempted rape of Miranda (1.2.332–63). Thereafter, Europeans control the land, its resources, its inhabitants: a theatrical micro-cosm of the imperial paradigm.¹

Shakespeare’s and his audiences’ familiarity with American colonization was not restricted to England’s toeholds on the North American coast. For more than a century, reports of discoveries and settlements to the west by Spanish, Portuguese, French, Dutch and eventually English chroniclers produced a growing flood of fact and myth – some of it in print, much of it oral – that formed a huge ‘linguistic and narrative force-field’, to borrow Charles Frey’s apt expression (Frey, ‘New World’, 33). One early account on which Shakespeare perhaps drew for incidental items in The Tempest was Antonio Pigafetta’s short account of the Magellan expedition’s circumnavigation in 1519–22, originally published on the Continent but subsequently translated into English in Richard Eden’s travel anthologies of 1555 and 1577.²

The Patagonians of lower South America, Pigafetta reported, worshipped a ‘greate devyll Setebos’ (mentioned several times) – the first known precursor of Sycorax’s deity. Pigafetta also described St Elmo’s fire, great tempests and (perhaps partial prototypes of Caliban and his name) assorted giants and ‘Canibales’ (Eden, 216v–21r). Commentators since the late eighteenth century have generally agreed that The Tempest reveals Shakespeare’s incidental indebtedness to this highly accessible source. But as Walter Alexander Raleigh observed in 1904, and Frey argued more recently and extensively, much of that detail could have

¹ For disparately focused interpretations of The Tempest’s colonial themes, see especially Greenblatt; P. Hulme; Gillies; Halpern; Cheyfitz; Brown; Knapp; and Leo Salingar, ‘The New World in “The Tempest”’, in Maquerlot and Willems, 209–22. Several of these works are critically analysed in Skura’s essay.

² A few English narratives appear in Eden’s first anthology (1555) and far more in his second (1577). Similarly, but on a far grander scale, Hakluyt’s collection of 1589 is greatly expanded in his three-volume edition of 1598–1600, although the latter omits a few important documents that appeared in its predecessor.
come instead from a later English source: Francis Fletcher’s journal of Sir Francis Drake’s circumnavigation of 1577–80. In a manuscript that must have circulated widely, Fletcher described (perhaps derivatively from Eden), ‘a most deadly tempest’, a deity called ‘Settaboth’ or ‘Settaboh’, a native suddenly addicted to European wine, and other events and phrases that may be reflected in *The Tempest*. In any case, the voluminous literature of European exploration was rife with tempests, wrecks, miracles, monsters, devils and wondrous natives. Although many of Shakespeare’s contemporary playwrights drew on that literature more overtly than he did, *The Tempest* may nonetheless be his oblique dramatization of Europe’s age of discovery.

Shakespeare’s borrowings from several sixteenth-century travel narratives are overshadowed by his almost certain familiarity with William Strachey’s ‘True Reportory of the Wracke, and Redemption of Sir Thomas Gates’ on Bermuda in July 1609. Strachey had been aboard Admiral George Somers’s *Sea Venture*, flagship of a relief expedition en route to the English outpost in Virginia, when a hurricane scattered the fleet, sank one ship and drove *Sea Venture* on to Bermuda’s rocky coast. All passengers and crew reached shore safely. Despite a disgruntled faction’s abortive revolt, the survivors flourished for nine months in Bermuda’s salubrious climate and on its abundant provisions before sailing to Virginia in two newly constructed vessels. In early September 1610, Sir Thomas Gates arrived back in England with Strachey’s epistolary ‘True Reportory’, written during and immediately after the events. Although it was not published until 1625 in Samuel Purchas’s *Hakluytus Posthumus, or, Purchas his Pilgrimes*, the manuscript was probably read by many of London’s cultural and political leaders. If, as

1 For the texts and their contexts, see Raleigh, 112; Frey, ‘New World’, 35–7; and Fletcher, 41, 48–9.
modern scholars generally believe, Shakespeare was acquainted with several prominent members of the Virginia Company of London (most notably the Earl of Southampton) and other dignitaries, perhaps with Strachey as well, the ‘True Reportory’ very likely came to the playwright’s hands in the autumn of 1610 or soon thereafter.¹

Among the Strachey letter’s linguistic echoes are ‘A most dreadfull Tempest’ (‘tempest’, of course, was a common term in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries for a violent storm, and Shakespeare had used it in several earlier plays), a long and vivid description of St Elmo’s fire, names that suggest Gonzalo and Ferdinand, and some specific words or phrases evocative of The Tempest. More thematically significant are the seemingly miraculous survival of the mariners and passengers, their almost magical rejuvenation on the enchanted island’s bounteous flora and fauna, and their governance by a dominant and resourceful leader who overcame ‘divers mutinies’ (see Appendix 1.1).

About the time Shakespeare was probably reading Strachey’s ‘True Reportory’, Sylvester Jourdain’s A Discovery of the Barrmudas, otherwise Called the Ile of Divels and Richard Rich’s Newes from Virginia: The Lost Flock Triumphant were published in London. The former, a thin narrative, adds a few details to Strachey’s account – most relevant perhaps, its report that some on board sought solace in alcohol during the storm (cf. 1.1.55–6: ‘We are merely cheated of our lives by drunkards’, and, of course, Stephano’s ‘celestial liquor’ in 2.2 and elsewhere) – but is otherwise undistinguished, although Malone gave it a privileged position among the Virginia tracts. Rich’s text, a brief

¹ The late publication date of Strachey’s manuscript misled scholars before the twentieth century into overlooking its probable influence on The Tempest. Early in the nineteenth century, Edmond Malone recognized the contextual affinity between the play and several pamphlets on the English settlement in Virginia and the shipwreck on Bermuda, but even he missed ‘True Reportory’s’ probable importance. Twentieth-century editors and critics beginning with Ard¹ are almost unanimous in assigning Strachey’s letter a major role in inspiring The Tempest.
tetrameter poem about the shipwreck, spells the island ‘Bermoothawes’, which approximates the Folio spelling, but has few other affinities with *The Tempest*. Both texts, however, reinforce the timeliness of a dramatic performance in 1611 that included shipwreck, miraculous survival and an enchanted island refuge.

Still other London publications of 1608–10 heightened *The Tempest*’s topicality and could have provided additional dramatic details. *A True Relation of Such Occurrences and Accidents of Noate as Hath Hapned in Virginia* (1608), an eyewitness account by Captain John Smith, describes the struggling Jamestown settlement’s first year, emphasizing disruptive colonists, inept aristocratic leaders and resentful natives. The next year (1609), a Virginia Company of London pamphlet lamented *Sea Venture*’s loss in ‘The Tempest’ (the survival of its passengers and crew was not yet known) and gave further particulars of the Jamestown colony’s troubles. In late 1610 another Company pamphlet hailed the remarkable deliverance of Admiral Somers’s party and, attempting to put a happy face on Jamestown’s continuing misfortunes, called the episode ‘this tragicall Comaedie’ – a phrase that might have jogged the dramatist’s imagination (*True Declaration*, 11).

From these assorted New World texts may have come the play’s title, its storm scene, its exotic island setting, its unruly factions, its beleaguered natives, and a multitude of details of plot, character and dialogue. Yet the bulk of information in the Bermuda and early Virginia tracts is not directly relevant to *The Tempest*, and there is little scholarly consensus on Shakespeare’s indebtedness to any specific text or passage. Nor is there agreement about Caliban’s affinity to portrayals of American natives in the extensive writings about the New World. Indians abound in English and continental publications, and Shakespeare, like any literate Londoner of his day, must have been familiar with many of those texts and, very likely, had seen – perhaps even conversed with – one or more of the approximately 25 American
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natives who lived for a time in early seventeenth-century England.¹

The temptation to see Caliban as an American Indian stems partly from The Tempest’s ambiguous geography: if the play is set in America or is metaphorically about New World colonization, Caliban must be to some degree an American native. Separate from this assumption, but sometimes offered to reinforce it, is a reading of 2.2 in which Trinculo (often) and Stephano (occasionally) are said initially to have identified Caliban as an Indian. But in fact, Trinculo guesses first that the creature under the gaberdine is ‘A strange fish!’ — either a true fish of the monstrous kind or, figuratively, an odd, odoriferous creature. ‘Were I in England . . . and had but this fish painted’, Trinculo surmises, he could earn a small fortune, for while its people ‘will not give a doit to relieve a lame beggar, they will lay out ten to see a dead Indian’ (2.2.24–33) — a comment on tightfisted English folk and their attraction to exotic exhibits rather than a description of the gaberdine-covered creature. Two lines later, after inspecting the creature’s limbs and touching its skin, Trinculo concludes that ‘this is no fish, but an islander that hath lately suffered by a thunderbolt’. When Stephano stumbles upon the gaberdine a moment later, Trinculo is under it too. The drunken butler wonders if the creature is a devil or perhaps a trick put on by ‘savages and men of Ind’; but that too is more a speculation about Trinculo (probably on top) than about Caliban, and is primarily about a hazily perceived ‘monster of the isle, with four legs’ (2.2.56–65).

If Shakespeare nonetheless had American Indians in mind when he fashioned Caliban, the range of contemporaneous verbal and visual representations was immense, from near-beasts, ugly and immoral, at one extreme, to golden-age innocents, handsome and virtuous, at the other. To the extent that Caliban is barbarous, lustful and prone to intoxication, Shakespeare may

have mined sixteenth-century images, both continental and English, such as André Thevet’s description of American natives of the far north as ‘wild and brutish people, without Fayth, without Lawe, without Religion, and without any civility: but living like brute beasts’ (Thevet, 43) (see Fig. 7). To the extent that Caliban is in tune with nature and lord of the island until overthrown by Prospero and later corrupted by Stephano and Trinculo, Shakespeare may have borrowed from Montaigne’s description of Brazilians, in John Florio’s translation of 1603, who ‘are yet neere their originall naturalitie. The lawes of nature do yet commaund them, which are but little bastardized by ours’ (Montaigne, 102) (see Fig. 8). Several English reports preceded and reinforced Montaigne’s relatively benign assessment of New World natives. Captain Arthur

7 A bearded European (upper right) watches American cannibals carve and cook their victims; engraving from Theodor de Bry’s *America*, Volume I, 1590
Barlow’s narrative of 1584, for example, describes the natives of Roanoke Island and vicinity as ‘most gentle, loving, and faithful, voide of all guile and treason, and such as live after the manner of the Golden age’ (Hakluyt, 1598–1600, 8.305); a few years later, Thomas Hariot’s account of Roanoke Island and its
accompanying vivid illustrations by John White conveyed a similar message.¹

Neither the deeply pejorative nor the completely laudable descriptions of American natives could have been the sole model for Caliban’s complex form and character. More likely – if Shakespeare indeed had American ‘savages’ in mind – was what Sidney Lee, the prolific English biographer and ambivalent admirer of American Indians, would describe three centuries later as an imaginative composite of various geographical and cultural types that formed ‘a full length portrait of the aboriginal inhabitant of the New World’ (Lee, ‘Caliban’, 341). But like Caliban’s name, his physical and social prototype remains unproven and endlessly arguable. And Caliban aside, The Tempest unquestionably has American overtones. It may not be Shakespeare’s American play, as some have proposed, but it nevertheless reflects to an indefinable extent the issues and events that had captured European imaginations since the late fifteenth century and had recently acquired new significance for England.

Africa and Ireland

Two other geopolitical contexts and their abundant literary reflections may also have influenced Shakespeare’s writing of The Tempest. Encroachments in Africa by various European nations, including England, in the second half of the sixteenth century and the early years of the seventeenth, and, simultaneously, a resurgence of English efforts to subdue and govern Ireland, made the history of both places highly topical and wholly compatible with themes of colonization, appropriation and resistance. Africa appears explicitly several times in the play; Ireland is never mentioned but may have been implied in

¹ White’s paintings, now in the British Museum, may have circulated as early as the late 1580s; engraved versions by Theodor de Bry appeared in the second edition of Hariot’s book A Briefe and True Report of the New Found Land of Virginia (Frankfurt, 1590), which was Part 1 of de Bry’s America, published that year in English, French, German and Latin editions.
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some of The Tempest’s themes and perhaps in one or more of its characters and specific references.

The play’s most obvious African connection is the island’s location: if plotted literally, it must have been within a hundred or so miles from a line between Naples and Tunis. Although its precise location is unspecified – ‘an vn-inhabited Island’ says the Folio – several nineteenth-century literary critics debated the most likely Mediterranean isle, based on the imaginary intersection point of a drifting ‘rotten carcass of a butt’ (1.2.146) from the coast near Milan and, twelve years later, of a tempest-tossed ship en route from Tunis to Naples.¹ To further identify the location, critics culled The Tempest for topographical clues: ‘fresh springs, brine pits, barren place and fertile’ (1.2.339), ‘clust’ring filberts’ (2.2.168) and the like (see Elze; Hunter; Var, 1–4). Whatever such sleuthing uncovers – Corfu, perhaps, or Pantalaria, or Lampedusa – it is (if one reads the play literally) not very far from the African coast. Helping to underscore the notion of Africa’s proximity are the courtiers’ banter in 2.1 about the recent marriage of Alonso’s daughter Claribel to an African king, and their extended repartee about widow Dido, all prompted by the court party’s recent departure from Tunis.

More significant is Caliban’s African genesis. Sycorax, Prospero reports, was an Algerian witch, who conceived the ‘freckled whelp’ before her banishment to the island. (Her voyage from Algiers to the island is, of course, another suggestion of proximity to the African continent.) The play never describes Caliban’s complexion, but ‘this thing of darkness’ (5.1.275) may refer to a dusky skin; his enslavement by the European intruder reinforces Caliban’s thematic tie to Africa; his name, if derived from the town of Calibia, is emphatically African, and if ‘Caliban’ is instead a purposeful anagram of cannibal, it is as symptomatic of English perceptions of Africans as of Native

¹ Jerry Brotton contends that colonialist readings of The Tempest have under-emphasized the text’s Mediterranean setting.
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Americans. Some critics have accordingly seen Caliban as wholly or partly African. Morton Luce, for example, in the first Arden edition of *The Tempest* (1901) saw him as, among other things, ‘an African of some kind’, probably ‘a (negro) slave’ (Ard1, xxxv).

The topicality of a south Mediterranean setting and characters of African origin would not have been lost on *The Tempest*’s early audiences. Information was abundant about western Europe’s ongoing exploration of Africa and its brazen enslavement of African people, some to labour in European nations, most in overseas colonies. And for more than half a century before 1611, Englishmen had travelled intermittently to the Barbary coast and increasingly to sub-Saharan regions, where they seized and carried to England small numbers of natives as early as 1555 and where they joined in the transatlantic slave trade as early as 1562.

English commentators in Shakespeare’s day were almost wholly indifferent to the plight of captured Africans but not to the fate of captured English sailors. In the late sixteenth and early seventeenth centuries, several North African nations became notorious for their enslavement of Europeans, including hundreds, perhaps thousands, of Englishmen; viewers of a play that was ostensibly set near the African coast would have recognized some topicality in an exiled Sycorax and an embryonic Caliban from Algiers, as well as a widow Dido (anciently) and a Claribel (recently) from Tunis. Some in the audience – perhaps the author himself – might have read John Evesham’s brief account of a voyage to North Africa in 1586, published in Hakluyt’s *Principal Navigations* twelve years before *The Tempest*, which mentioned within a few lines ‘the great Citie of Carthage where Hannibel and Queen Dido dwelt’, and the ‘Towne of Argier . . . inhabited with Turkes, Moores, and Jewes’ and ‘a great number of Christian captives, wherof there are of Englishmen [now] onely fifteene’ (Hakluyt, 1598–1600, 6.38). In order to have ignited a spark of interest in an English audience, the association
of *The Tempest* need not, in sum, have been with Africa’s sub-Saharan regions nor with dark-hued natives.

Yet many of the travel narratives available to English readers as individual tracts, or in the compendia by Richard Eden and later by Hakluyt, did describe sub-Saharan areas such as Guinea, Nubia and the Congo. (Perhaps the best-known text, Leo Africanus’ *Geographical Historie of Africa*, translated into English in 1600 and culled by Shakespeare for *Othello*, addressed nearly the whole continent.) Some of the literature was indifferent towards sub-Saharan Africans and some of it was ambivalent, but many English assessments, especially of Africans with dark skin, were decidedly pejorative and some were vituperative — ‘fiends more fierce then those in hell’, one Englishman insisted after a voyage to Guinea (Hakluyt, 1589, 134). More ambiguous but largely derogatory representations of Africans appeared on the Elizabethan stage in George Peele’s *Battle of Alcazar*, the anonymous *Lust’s Dominion* and Shakespeare’s *Titus Andronicus*. Nor was the evidence of England’s widespread contempt for Africans confined to books and the stage. Britain harboured only a small fraction of the black Africans who were enslaved elsewhere in Europe, on the islands to the west of Africa, and in the Iberian nations’ American colonies; nevertheless, the presence in England, especially in London, of scores, perhaps hundreds, of sub-Saharan Africans was well known and sometimes deplored. By the end of the sixteenth century, an economic slump spurred complaints about the ‘great number of negars and Blackamoores’; they had become a ‘great annoyance’, said the Privy Council, to the English people. Royal proclamations at the turn of the century called for the Africans’ owners to relinquish them to a crown-appointed agent for expulsion from the realm.1 Most owners apparently evaded the decree.

---

In light of government policy and the portrayal of Africa and its people in many literary and dramatic works, an English audience would have understood Sebastian’s disapproval of Claribel’s marriage to an African and Prospero’s contempt for ‘The foul witch Sycorax’ from Algiers (1.2.258), and would have readily seen in Caliban’s ethnic origin, his physical and social monstrosity, and possibly in his name, the source of his moral shortcomings. Africans, an English author had sneered during Elizabeth’s reign, were ‘blacke, Savage, Monstrous, & rude’ (Cunningham, fol. 185).

But one need not leave the British isles to find pejorative prototypes for Caliban, or an example of English imperialism, or an array of Tempest themes and tropes. In the same year that Shakespeare’s play opened, the historian-cartographer John Speed’s comprehensive study of the ‘British Empire’ described profusely the regions ‘now in actuall possession’, including England, Scotland, Wales, Ireland and even the Isle of Man, but barely mentioned the fledgling colony in Virginia. For it was in Ireland, of course, not Virginia, that England’s major efforts at ‘plantation’ had long been invested. Not for several decades would England’s New World outposts command the financial and literary attention that by 1611 had been lavished on the island across the Irish Sea.

English descriptions of the Irish were almost always defamatory. In the absence of any clear-cut prototypes for Sycorax and especially for Caliban, those characters may partly reflect the invective that Edmund Spenser, Barnabe Rich and many of their literary contemporaries heaped on the inhabitants of the island that England sought vigorously but unsuccessfully to subdue, culturally as well as militarily. English writers in the late six-

1 Speed, 1.1; 2.157. On the emerging concept of the British Empire, see David Armitage, ‘Literature and Empire’, in Canny, 99–123. Speed seems to foreshadow a later usage of ‘empire’ by including the American colonies, however briefly, in his editions of 1611 and 1627.
teenth and early seventeenth centuries castigated 'the wilde Irish' as thoroughly as they did the Africans and (less consistently) the American natives. *A New Description of Ireland* by Barnabe Rich (who earlier had influenced *Twelfth Night*), published the same year that Shakespeare probably concluded *The Tempest*, is symptomatic. Rich complained that the Irish were 'rude, uncleanlie, and uncivill, . . . cruell, bloudie minded, apt and ready to commit any kind of mischiefe', even 'to rebel against their [English] princes; . . . [N]either may age nor honour so protect any [person], that Rape be not mingled with murder, nor murder with Rape' (B. Rich, 15–16, 19). And while the Irish people epitomized English notions of incivility, unruliness and political disorder, the Irish island provided a real-life stage for Elizabethans and Jacobeans of various social strata to vent their imperial ambitions and to suppress indigenous plots and rebellions. Ireland may well have served Shakespeare as a topical example of the complex issues of overseas settlement, political legitimacy, revenge and repentance. Caliban's suitability for English perceptions of Irish men as uncouth, unlettered, rebellious and intoxicated is readily apparent (see Fig. 9).

Although Ireland as an analogue for Caliban's/Prospero's island may have been readily apparent in 1611, the case was not articulated until the late twentieth century. The most comprehensive account is offered by Dympna Callaghan, who posits several specific and significant affinities between the play and English accounts of Ireland, besides the general circumstances—an overseas island, dispossession, exploitation of the natives, and their profound resentment and resistance. Some specifics, according to Callaghan, reflect the imperialists' vision of Caliban: their fear of his attempted miscegenation; their contempt for his language ('gabble', a word of Irish provenance, first appears in a sixteenth-century Anglo-Irish description of Irish speech); their efforts to displace his culture; their curtailments of his freedom and territory. Some English descriptive literature even accused the Irish of cannibalism. More significant are sev-
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9 A ‘wilde Irish man’ as depicted in a border illustration to the map of Ireland in John Speed, The Theatre of the Empire of Great Britaine, 1611

Several persistent parallels between Ireland and The Tempest: the importance of music in Irish folk life and in Shakespeare’s most musical play; the English imperialists’ efforts to control memory and to reshape the narrative to reflect their (in The Tempest, Prospero’s) perceptions; and the patriarchal quality of the imposed colonial rule on Ireland and on Shakespeare’s imaginary island. Ireland, in sum, ‘might be understood as the

1 On an even more specific level, a possible source for the elusive ‘Young scamels’ (2.2.169) may be the Irish scallachan (‘an unfledged bird’), and a possible analogue for Sycorax may be County Mayo’s Granuaile (Grace O’Malley) – a notorious troubler of English colonial authorities encountered by Sir Henry Sidney and Sir Philip Sidney (Callaghan).
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sublimated context for colonial relations in *The Tempest* (Callaghan). More likely, we believe, Ireland meshed eclectically in the playwright’s mind with colonial and other contextual concerns about Africa, America and Europe.

**Literary forerunners**

While the Virginia pamphlets, Montaigne’s essays and travel narratives by Pigafetta or Fletcher are probable if not certain New World sources for *The Tempest* (Bullough, 8.275–99), Shakespeare’s indebtedness to sixteenth- and early seventeenth-century Old World sources is less clear. Here again, what may have been obvious to Shakespeare’s contemporaries is generally obscure to later eras. Accordingly, when source-hunting was fashionable, especially during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, frustrated scholars scoured English and continental literature for the play’s prototype or, at the very least, for a text that might have inspired its basic structure. Two of their findings display sufficient resemblances to *The Tempest* to merit contemporary attention, but neither has been classified by twentieth-century editors as a direct source.

Shakespeare almost certainly knew the popular *Mirror of Knighthood*, an English translation of the lengthy Spanish romance *El espejo de principes y caballeros* (Bullough, 8.247). The first part, translated by Margaret Tyler in 1580, was soon followed by seven more parts, some translated by Robert Parry and some by Thomas Purfoot. Part I’s interwoven narrative includes the story of Palisteo, second son to the King of Phrygia. Because he was not born to inherit, Palisteo decides not ‘to trouble himselfe with the care of governing’ but to study the ‘Arte Magicke’ (*Mirror*¹, 148r). After his wife dies, Palisteo escapes with his infant son and daughter (Lindaraza) to a magic island. When Lindaraza falls in love with the picture of the

---

¹ In addition to Callaghan’s recent study, see the slightly older essays by Baker; Brown; and Andrew Hadfield, “The Naked and the Dead”; Elizabethan perceptions of Ireland’, in Maquerlot and Willems, 32–54.

54
Emperor Trebacio, her father’s magic brings him to her. For a time Lindaraza and Trebacio live blissfully on an enchanted island, a ‘paradise’ populated with deer, rabbits, squirrels, birds ‘and the faire Unicorne’ (Mirror$^1$, 137v), but after twenty years the Knight of the Sun arrives to rescue Trebacio and restore him to his lawful wife, Princess Briana. The third part of Book I, published in 1586, continues the Knight of the Sun’s adventures. After a storm so terrible ‘that the cunning of the marriners did not serve for the government of the ship’ (Mirror$^2$, 58v), he lands on the Island of the Devil, inhabited by the witch Artimaga and Fauno, a monster ‘that the divell was within’, and their offspring, ‘the divellish or possessed Fauno’. But the second-generation devilish monster is no Caliban. Without any semblance of human form, he is as big as an elephant and (like his father, but ‘much more horrible’) has the shape of a lion, the face of a man but with a huge horn in his forehead, and carries ‘a whole legion of divels within his bodie’ (Mirror$^2$, 60v–61v).

The Mirror of Knighthood is characteristic of the prose romances that circulated in early modern Europe; its heroes are precursors of Sidney’s Arcadian knights and Spenser’s allegorical champions. It provides an intriguing intertextual framework for Shakespeare’s Tempest, but the resemblances are too fleeting for it to be considered more than a tangential source.

Similar problems burden the case for Jacob Ayrer’s Die Schöne Sidea, published in Nuremberg in 1618, which may be an adaptation of an English play performed in Germany by English actors (Bullough, 8.248). Ayrer died in 1605, so the text of Die Schöne Sidea was unquestionably extant before Shakespeare composed The Tempest, although it is highly unlikely that Shakespeare used it. When H.H. Furness translated the entire play, he found nothing but chance resemblances (Var, 324–43). Die Schöne Sidea begins with a pitched battle between two German princes, Leudegast and Ludolff; Ludolff is defeated and escapes with his daughter Sidea to a distant island, where he dabbles in magic and conjures the devil to do his bidding. When
Leudegast's son Engelbrecht goes hunting, the vengeful Ludolff captures him, immobilizes his sword by means of a magic staff and sentences him to carry logs. Unlike Miranda, Sidea initially scorns the young man, but his pitiful plight eventually softens her heart and she agrees to marry him. The couple become separated in episodes that have no counterpart in *The Tempest*, but eventually they reunite and their love inspires a reconciliation between their feuding fathers. We concur with Bullough that 'Die Schöne Sidea throws little light upon Shakespeare's play', despite its intriguing parallels, and more generally with Frank Kermode's conclusion that 'Ultimately the source of *The Tempest* is an ancient motif, of almost universal occurrence, in saga, ballad, fairy tale and folk tale' (Bullough, 8.249; Ard², lxiii). *The Mirror of Knighthood* and *Die Schöne Sidea* do demonstrate, however, Renaissance Europe's fascination with exotic tales of magicians, wizards, strange beasts, enchanted islands and romantic love - a broad intertextual framework that underlies Shakespeare's play.¹

**Classical models**

English Renaissance humanism was founded in the early sixteenth century on the principle of teaching great classical authors to every schoolboy; the educated Blackfriars audience and many in the Globe audience would have recognized allusions to the prominent Latin texts of the sixteenth century, especially Virgil's *Aeneid* and Ovid's *Metamorphoses*. Both Roman poets are plausibly identified as sources for *The Tempest* because echoes of their major works are easily detected in the play's themes and patterns as well as in some specific words and phrases.

Like many other elements of *The Tempest*'s context, recognition of its classical sources that may have been obvious to early readers and audiences did not receive extensive critical attention

¹ See Chambers, 1.493–4, and Ard², lxiv–ix, for other analogous texts that, in those authorities' opinions and ours, had slight influence, if any, on *The Tempest*.
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until the twentieth century. Only in 1948 did J.M. Nosworthy identify the *Aeneid* as an unquestionable narrative source and 'pervasive influence' on *The Tempest* (Nosworthy, 288–93). The most direct allusion is, of course, Gonzalo's reference to 'widow Dido'; *Aeneid*, 1.343–52, describes Dido's marriage to Sychaeus, his murder by her brother Pygmalion, and Dido's escape to northern Africa, where she supervised the founding of Carthage. Despite Antonio's scepticism ('Widow? A pox o'that' (2.1.78)), she was indeed a widow, and in accounts other than Virgil's she lived in devotion to her dead husband and committed suicide lest a second marriage be forced upon her.

While many specific passages from the *Aeneid* have clear parallels in Shakespeare's language, several thematic similarities are more important (Nosworthy, 288–93). One critic terms the Virgilian presence '“spectral” — a half-seen image of death, or damnation, or despair at the back of an episode, a line, or even a single word' (Pitcher, 197). The Dido passage evokes the tragic story of lovers ruined by passion; Ferdinand and Miranda are imaginative reworkings of the ancient lovers, but their destiny is not tragic because their love is chaste and sanctioned by marriage. Another scholar deepens the case for Virgil's influence, arguing that Shakespeare's play is an imitation of the main patterns of Virgil's epic in its beginning, middle and end. Both stories commence with a tempest in which ships are lost and heroes wrecked, yet the narratives later reveal supernatural agency at work — Venus in the *Aeneid*, Prospero's magic in *The Tempest*. In the storm's aftermath the heroes are hopelessly lost, confused and subject to strange visions. Aeneas suffers the pain of the underworld; Ferdinand is initially lost and until the end of the play is tormented by his father's presumed death, though Ferdinand has the joy of finding and wooing Miranda. In the final section of each text a new society is founded, new bonds are established. Presiding over both works is the idea of a metaphorical tempest — humanity buffeted by forces it does not understand and cannot control (Wiltenburg).
Shakespeare’s imitation of Virgil may be reflected in the issues of power and colonial domination highlighted in the historical contexts outlined above. Donna B. Hamilton describes *The Tempest* as a ‘formal and rigorous rhetorical imitation of the major narrative kernels of *Aeneid*, 1–6’ (Hamilton, x). In addition to the analogy between Aeneas the private man and Ferdinand the lover, Hamilton finds a parallel between Aeneas the nation-builder and Prospero, Duke of Milan (though Prospero’s nation-building consists merely of finding the right husband – the future King of Naples – for his daughter). Both protagonists are analogous to James I, whose struggles to manage Parliament were as difficult as Prospero’s efforts to dominate Caliban and reform Antonio. That there are Virgilian resonances in *The Tempest* should come as no surprise, nor should such echoes be over-schematized.

Shakespeare and a large portion of his audience also knew Ovid’s *Metamorphoses*, many in the original Latin, still more in Arthur Golding’s English translation. Shakespeare drew frequently on Ovid throughout his career, and *The Tempest* is no exception. Prospero’s farewell to his magic (5.1.33–57) is a fairly direct translation of Medea’s invocation to Hecate in Ovid, through Golding’s mediation:

Ye airs and winds; ye elves of hills, of brooks, of woods alone,  
Of standing lakes, and of the night, approach ye every one,  
Through help of whom (the crooked banks much wondr’ing at the thing)  
I have compelled streams to run clean backward in their spring.  

(Ovid, 7.265–8)

Shakespeare repeats the ‘elves of hills’ and ‘standing lakes’ from Golding but, as Jonathan Bate notes, his ‘rifted Jove’s stout oak’ comes directly from Ovid’s ‘convulsaque robora’ (Bate, Ovid, 8). This familiar Ovidian passage recurs in Thomas Heywood’s reworking of Roman myth in *The Brazen Age*, a play performed by Queen Anne’s Company in the same period as *The Tempest*.
was first performed. Heywood’s Medea exclaims:

The night growes on, and now to my black Arts,
Goddess of witchcraft and darke ceremony
To whom the elves of Hils, of Brookes, of Groves,
Of standing lakes, and cavernes vaulted deepe
Are ministers.

(Heywood, sig. G1v)

Medea’s invocation to Hecate was associated with witchcraft in the popular imagination. In the scene described by Ovid (and Heywood), the sorceress uses her magic to invert the forces of nature and destroy her enemies. Prospero appropriates her language but ultimately renounces magic altogether, choosing virtue over vengeance.

Aside from these famous lines, The Tempest has no direct borrowings from Ovid, yet Bate’s contention that metamorphosis is a recurring theme in the drama is surely correct. During their stay on the island, nearly all of the characters undergo some sort of ‘sea-change’. The play’s episodic construction, focusing first on one set of characters, then another, is akin to Ovid’s storytelling technique. Depictions of the penalties for greed and passion are Ovidian indeed.

_The ‘salvage man’_

The Tempest’s exploration of what makes us civilized and free is characteristic not only of the greatest texts of the ancient world but also of medieval folklore and legend.

The contrast between ‘civility’ and ‘barbarism’ had been reified in tapestry, wood-carvings, paintings, poetry and pageants from the middle ages into the Renaissance, throughout Europe, in the (usually) ominous figure of the wild man. In Germany he was the Wildeman, in France l’homme sauvage, in Italy _huomo selveggio_ and in England the wodewose or green man, but wherever he appeared this man–beast, clad in animal skins or vines and bearing a huge club, represented forces of raw
nature that threatened civilized society. The wild man lived in the borderlands – the forests or mountains; his brutish behaviour contrasted sharply with prescribed standards of human morality and decorum. As Hayden White observes, ‘in whatever way he is envisaged, the Wild Man almost always represents the image of the man released from social control, the man in whom the libidinal impulses have gained full ascendancy’ (White, 21). He could, however, be tamed by a beautiful maiden or taught ‘civilized’ language.

The wild man (Spenser’s ‘Salvage Man’) appeared frequently in English Renaissance pageantry and drama. As late as 1610 he was staged as Bremo in Mucedorus, an anonymous play printed many times, beginning in 1598, and revived at court by the King’s Company (Vaughan, Caliban, 69). Bremo carries a club, lives in the forest and savagely attacks all who come within his reach. He is a cannibal, but when smitten by the fair Amadine he refuses to devour her. Eventually the forces of civility reassert themselves; a valiant knight, Mucedorus, slays Bremo and rescues the maiden.

Although Caliban differs in many ways from this figure, they share some qualities. On the admirable side, Caliban knows the ‘qualities of the isle’ and is attuned to its music; he has also learned from Prospero and Miranda a European language and some rudimentary science. But he cannot subdue his ferocity, for he is (according to Prospero’s hostile account) the son of a witch and a devil ‘on whose nature / Nurture can never stick’ (4.1.188–9). The lustful savage tries to ravish Miranda and regrets only the failure. After Prospero enslaves him, Caliban lives in a cave, isolated from Prospero’s and Miranda’s domesticated space. Yet, like the wild man, he is essentially human, even while representing humankind’s most bestial qualities.

Caliban’s complex role was likely influenced by Montaigne’s ‘Of the Caniballes’, which challenges the prevailing binary opposition between ‘savages’ of the New World and ‘civilized’ peoples of Europe: ‘I thinke there is more barbarisme in
tively] eating men alive’, he muses, ‘than to feede upon them being dead’ (Montaigne, 104), and regrets that Europeans see the mote in the eye of Indian culture, ignoring the beam of greed and corruption in their own. In words that Shakespeare borrows almost verbatim for Gonzalo’s explanation of how he would organize a colony on Prospero’s island (2.1.148–65), Montaigne idealizes the indigenous culture of Brazil:

It is a nation . . . that hath no kinde of traffike, no knowledge of Letters, no intelligence of numbers, no name of magistrate, nor of politike superioritie; no use of service, of riches, or of poverty; no contracts, no successions, no dividences, no occupation but idle; no respect of kinred, but common, no apparrell but naturall, no manuring of lands, no use of wine, corne, or mettle. The very words that import lying, falshood, treason, dissimulation, covetousnes, envie, detraction, and pardon, were never heard of amongst them.

(Montaigne, 102)

Later in the essay, Montaigne contends that the Indians never fight to gain more lands because they have no need for extra territory; nature has supplied all their needs (see Appendix 1.2).

Shakespeare may have been influenced by more than the phrasing of a few passages; his rhetorical strategy of exploring different, often opposite, perspectives, never settling on a definitive view, also echoes Montaigne’s. In 2.1 Gonzalo’s idealism is a counterpoint to Antonio and Sebastian’s cynicism, yet neither attitude seems completely appropriate to the situation. Moreover, the principal thrust of Montaigne’s essay – that barbarousness is determined by behaviour, not ethnicity – is crucial to Shakespeare’s portrayal of the shipwrecked courtiers. Antonio and Sebastian’s cynical interruptions of Gonzalo’s reverie remind the audience of the European corruption Montaigne exposed. Antonio and Sebastian ‘eat men alive’ through usurpation and murder. Caliban may be a ‘salvage
man’, but as *The Tempest* unfolds, he proves to be more rational and sympathetic than the two Neapolitan conspirators or the two drunken servants who represent European culture’s corrupt underside.¹

**Magic**

The Folio capitalizes ‘Art’ when it denotes Prospero’s magic. Although some scholars argue that the upper case A was a deliberate signal that the word was used in a technical sense to denote Prospero’s magic (e.g. Berger), similar capitalizations were characteristic of manuscripts prepared for publication by scrivener Ralph Crane and therefore not necessarily significant (Howard-Hill, *Crane*, 109–10). Even without the capital A, Prospero’s strange powers have provoked emphatic critical opinions about their nature – benign, or evil, or a precarious balance of both.²

The roots of Prospero’s magic art may lie in the neo-Platonic authors translated by Marsilio Ficino: Plotinus, Porphyry and Iamblichus. Prospero is often described as a theurgist, a practitioner of ‘white magic’, a rigorous system of philosophy that allows the magician ‘to energize in the gods or to control other beneficent spiritual intelligences in the working of miraculous effects’. The antithesis of theurgy is ‘goety’ or ‘black magic’: ‘its evil practitioner produces magic results by disordering the sympathetic relationships of nature or by employing to wicked ends the powers of irrational spirits’ (Curry, 167). While practitioners such as Dr John Dee may have viewed themselves as theurgists, the Anglican Church and King James condemned magical studies as damnable (Pearson, 255). To James, witches and magicians

¹ Evidence of Shakespeare’s indebtedness to Montaigne has grown in recent years, and not only for ‘Of the Caniballes’ as an influence on *The Tempest*, several other essays also influenced it, and other plays too owe a great deal to Montaigne. See especially Arthur Kirsch, ‘Virtue, vice, and compassion in Montaigne and *The Tempest*, *Studies in English Literature*, 37 (1997), 337–52.

² There is an abundant literature on Prospero’s magic. Curry, Traister and Mebane see the magician’s role as essentially benign, while Pearson argues emphatically that Shakespeare’s audience would have condemned it. Mowat, ‘Hocus’, relates Prospero to the street magicians who frequented marketplaces and fairs.
served ‘both one Master, although in diverse fashions’ and both should be punished by death (James I, *Daemonologie*, 32).

Ben Jonson’s *The Alchemist*, performed by Shakespeare’s company a year before *The Tempest*, dramatizes the negative view of magic expressed by James I. This satiric comedy depicts the predatory shenanigans of Subtle, a con man, and his familiar, Face, the trusty servant who manipulates the gulls. Subtle was probably performed by the King’s Company’s leading actor, Richard Burbage, who most likely appeared as Prospero in *The Tempest*. Both plays tap the popular interest in alchemy and magic but, while Jonson ruthlessly exposes their practice as flim-flam, Shakespeare allows his magician abundant success before he renounces his art (see H. Levin). But *The Alchemist* was not just a biting satire on alchemy; it was also an attack on any form of occult learning (Yates, 119). Although Jonson’s protagonist is a charlatan rather than a consorter with diabolic spirits, the play strongly reveals the dramatist’s contempt for the occult. But even if Jonson’s view of magic was entirely negative, his fellow dramatist might have taken a different tack.

In creating *The Tempest*’s magical elements, Shakespeare might also have been influenced by the street wizard, a figure from legend and contemporary society. Street magicians, jugglers and conjurers were a frequent feature of Jacobean urban life and were often depicted on London stages. Shakespeare’s audience would have recognized the ubiquitous type in Prospero and would have expected him to renounce his magic eventually. As a combination of serious magician and carnival illusionist, Prospero manipulates with characteristic legerdemain what the audiences – and the characters on the island – observe (Mowat, ‘Hocus’). In that, of course, he is akin to the playwright.

*The Tempest* itself can be compared to one form of magic, the alchemical process. The title is the alchemical term for the boiling of the alembic to remove impurities and transform the base metal into purest gold (Mebane, 181); if we see Prospero’s goal as the transformation of fallen human nature – Caliban,
Antonio, Sebastian and Alonso – from a condition of sinfulness to a higher level of morality, the play’s episodes mirror the alchemical process. Note particularly Prospero’s alchemical language at the beginning of Act 5 (‘My charms crack not’) to describe his project; by ‘boiling’ his enemies’ brains (5.1.60), he attempts to transform their characters (Simonds, ‘Charms’).

Prospero bears the physical signifiers a Jacobean audience would have associated with power: books, staff and robe (see Fig. 10). In his first appearance he plucks off his magic garment and assures Miranda that the tempest she has just witnessed is really an illusion. He then explains how he lost the duchy of Milan. Reputed for his knowledge of the liberal arts, and

those being all my study,
The government I cast upon my brother
And to my state grew stranger, being transported
And rapt in secret studies.

(1.2.74–7)

The final line evokes Faustus’s exclamation: ‘’Tis magic, magic that hath ravished me’ (Marlowe, 110); more than simply being ‘deeply engaged or buried in’, ‘rapt’ also connoted rapture or ravishment, a state of being transported or carried away in spirit (OED ppl. 3, 4). In his treatise on demonology, James had warned how the love of ‘secret studies’ could lead to the diabolic:

For divers men having attained to a great perfection in learning, & yet remaining overbare (alas) of the spirit of regeneration and frutes thereof: finding all naturall thinges common, aswell to the stupide pedants as unto them, they assaie to vendicate unto them a greater name, by not onlie knowing the course of things heav-enlie, but likewise to clim to the knowledge of things to come thereby. Which, at the first face appearing lawfull unto them, in respect the ground thereof seemeth to proceed of naturall causes onelie; they are so allured
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thereby, that finding their practize to proove true in sundry things, they studie to know the cause thereof: and so mounting from degree to degree, upon the slip-perie and uncertaine scale of curiositie; they are at last entised, that where lawfull artes or sciences failes, to satisfie their restles mindes, even to seeke to that black and unlawfull science of Magic. (James I, Daemonologie, 10)

Here there is no distinction between theurgy and goety: from this point of view, Prospero’s ‘secret studies’, like Adam’s forbidden fruit, would eventually damn him.

Prospero nonetheless tries to make such a distinction by attributing the demonic power of magic to his enemy and alter ego, the witch Sycorax. Although she died before he arrived at the island, Prospero learned of Sycorax’s powers through Ariel, left behind in a cloven pine. Sycorax’s charms – ‘wicked dew’, ‘toads, beetles, bats’ (1.2.322, 341), according to Caliban – represent a more rudimentary form of magic than Prospero’s art. Though she was sufficiently powerful to trap Ariel in the tree where he languished for twelve years, she ‘Could not again undo’ the spell. Prospero arrogantly asserts that ‘It was mine art, /When I arrived and heard thee, that made gape/The pine and let thee out’ (1.2.291–3). Prospero believes that just as his art is more potent than Sycorax’s witchcraft, it is also morally superior.

The distinction between the two types of magic is erased, however, in Prospero’s speech of renunciation. As Jonathan Bate has argued, having his protagonist openly speak words that some in his audience would recognize from Medea’s speech in Ovid’s Metamorphoses was Shakespeare’s signal that the magician’s power is not really benign and must be rejected (Bate, Ovid, 254). Aside from the temptation to use his magic for vengeance, study of the occult had distracted Prospero from his princely duties twelve years earlier; if he is to return to Milan and resume his ducal powers, he must abandon it.
Introduction

Masque

Prospero’s magical art creates illusions (usually with Ariel as actor and producer) that repeatedly evoke awe and wonder. The magician’s art, like the dramatist’s, lies in the creation of illusions, particularly the audience’s belief that they have seen something that was apart from everyday life. John Dee, astrologer to Queen Elizabeth and believed by some critics to be Shakespeare’s model for Prospero, learned this analogy early in his career. When he produced Aristophanes’ *Pax* at Trinity College, Cambridge, the stage effect of ‘*Scarabaeus* his flying up to Jupiter’s pallace, with a man and his basket of victualls on his back’ caused ‘great wondering, and many vaine reportes spread abroad of the meanes how that was effected’.¹ For the rest of his life Dee attributed the reports that he was a conjurer and magician to his early career as a producer of stage spectacles.

Stage spectacle was the essence of the Jacobean court masque, a form embedded in *The Tempest* not just in the musical interlude of Iris, Ceres and Juno but in other scenes as well. Masques were the original multimedia event, requiring ‘painting, architecture, design, mechanics, lighting, music of both composer and performer, acting, choreography, and dancing both acrobatic and formal’ (Orgel, ‘Poetics’, 368). Staged at great expense for special court occasions – weddings, birthdays, investitures – masques treated the audience to a vision of court ladies and gentlemen dressed in lavish costumes within spectacular moving sets.

Because Ben Jonson, the leading librettist for court masques, was also writing for the King’s Company in 1611, Shakespeare must have been familiar with the form and its cultural impact. And, as Andrew Gurr contends, masques within plays ‘became a conspicuous feature of King’s Men’s plays after 1610’ (*Philaster*, xxxix–xl). Plays from that period frequently include elaborate choreography, such as the ‘dance of twelve satyrs’ in the sheepshearing scene of *The Winter’s Tale*. Jonson listed the

¹ Quoted in French, 24, from Dee’s *Compendious Rehearsal*.
King’s Company as performers in the 1612 masque *Love Restored*, and actors from Shakespeare’s company were probably also involved in Jonson’s earlier efforts.

As the masque form developed, the idealized figures of the court were grotesquely mirrored in ‘antimasques’ performed by professional actors. *The Masque of Queens* (1609), for example, began with ‘twelve women in the habit of hags or witches, sustaining the persons of Ignorance, Suspicion, Credulity, etc., the opposites to good Fame’; Queen Anne, portraying Lady Fame, then drove out the hags and witches, restoring good fame to the court. In *Oberon* (1611) satyrs played the opposing role, ending their dialogue with ‘an antic dance full of gesture and swift motion’; these antimasque figures dispersed with the entry of the court ladies and gentlemen, whose allegorical roles signalled the triumph of virtue, reason and grace over the forces of disorder (Jonson, *Masques*, 81, 109).

Jonson’s *Hymenaei*, performed at court in 1606 for the wedding of the Earl of Essex and Lady Francis Howard, contains many of the elements Shakespeare used later in *The Tempest*. Jonson’s stage directions describe the appearance of Juno:

sitting in a throne supported by two beautiful peacocks; her attire rich and like a queen, a white diadem on her head from whence descended a veil, and that bound with a fascia of several-colored silks, set with all sorts of jewels and raised in the top with lilies and roses; in her right hand she held a scepter, in the other a timbrel; at her golden feet the hide of a lion was placed; round about her sat the spirits of the air, in several colors, making music . . . [B]eneath her [was] the rainbow, Iris, and on the two sides, eight ladies, attired richly and alike in the most celestial colors, who represented her powers (as she is the governess of marriage).

(Jonson, *Masques*, 54–5, italics removed)
Prospero's masque, performed in celebration of Ferdinand and Miranda's betrothal, continues Jonson's hymeneal theme but with several important differences. Instead of Jonson's lengthy epithalamium exalting the joys of the marriage bed,
Shakespeare's masque is shaped by Prospero's insistence on continence: 'Do not give dalliance / Too much the rein . . . Be more abstemious' (4.1.51–3). His concern for his daughter's chastity is linked to his hopes for her fruitful marriage and the legitimacy of his dynasty. Ceres, in her insistence on the orderly processes of nature, echoes this theme.

Critics have sometimes dispraised the verse Shakespeare created for his masque, or even derided the entire episode as an interpolation by someone else (see Ard¹, xxii–iv; Oxf¹, 61–2). But the language of the court masque was highly stylized and artificial. Gods and goddesses, princes and queens, do not speak conversational blank verse; they are elevated high above the audience and speak an elite language (see Fig. 11). Prospero's masque serves *The Tempest* in the way that various cantos serve Spenser's books of *The Faerie Queene*, as an allegorical core that symbolizes ideas which pervade the play (see Lewis, 335). Ceres, Iris and Juno present a double image of the cosmic union of earth and air, fire and water, with a vision of the union of Ferdinand and Miranda as the return of universal harmony (Peyre, 54–5).

The threat to this harmony – lack of chastity or self-control – is represented mythologically by Ceres' inquiry about the whereabouts of Venus, goddess of sensual love, and her son Cupid, purveyor of passion:

Since they did plot
The means that dusky Dis¹ my daughter got,
Her and her blind boy's scandal'd company
I have forsworn.

(4.1.88–91)

¹ Dis's rape of Proserpina mirrors the theme of miscegenation that runs through the play: Claribel had been 'loose[d] . . . to an African' (2.1.126) and, had Caliban's assault on Miranda not been thwarted, he'd have 'peopled else/This isle with Calibans' (1.2.351–2). Stephano's desire to bed Miranda transgresses class lines as well. Miscegenation was thus a threat to Prospero's dynastic project.
Venus and Cupid are banished from the world of Prospero’s masque. Instead, the songs of Ceres and Juno celebrate chaste love, a temperate union that eschews extremes of passion (see Peyre, 57). If the earth is to bring forth ‘foison plenty’, it must be through cultivation and avoidance of extreme heat and cold. Ceres’ wish for the lovers is an eternal spring that arrives just as harvest ends. As in Spenser’s mythological Garden of Adonis, fertility flourishes without the killing blast of winter; the seasons of planting and reaping miraculously fuse. The dance of temperate nymphs and reapers signals this conflation, their graceful movement epitomizing concord and heavenly harmony. Through the union of Ferdinand and Miranda, Prospero hopes to see his dynasty continue in peace and prosperity, with his grandchildren as heirs to both Milan and Naples.

The mythological figures chosen for Prospero’s masque resonated richly for an audience steeped in classical lore. Iris, signified by the rainbow, was messenger to the gods (particularly Juno) and sister to the harpies. Vincenzo Cartari, an Italian commentator on the ancient myths, whose work was translated into English in 1599 as *The Fountain of Ancient Fiction*, described Iris as ‘the daughter of Thaumante, which signifieth admiration’. She is also responsible for ‘the changes and alterations of the aire, making it sometimes faire, sometimes tempestuous, rainie, and cloudie, and some other times sending down haile, snow, thunder, and lightening’ (Cartari, sigs Lii–Liii). Iris’s airy qualities and relation to the harpies associate her with Ariel, while the wonder evoked by her rainbow colours is reminiscent of Miranda.

The Roman goddess Ceres represented the fecundity of the cultivated earth. Wheat and barley were sacred to her. She presided over the labours of ploughing, tilling, planting and harvesting, and was known as a maternal fertility goddess. Her daughter, Proserpina, had been abducted by Pluto (Dis) and taken to the underworld. As a result – in the words of Golding’s translation of the *Metamorphoses* – ‘The worlde did want... She
marrde the seede, and eke forbade, the fieldes to yeelde their frute’ (Ovid, 5.578–97). The rest of the story was well known to the well-educated in Shakespeare’s audience: Juno allowed Ceres to rescue her daughter on the condition that Proserpina had not eaten anything during her sojourn in the underworld; but alas, she had consumed seven pomegranate seeds. Juno negotiated Pluto’s desire to keep his bride and Ceres’ wish to have her returned:

God Jove . . . parteth equally the yeare betweene them both;
And now the Goddesse Proserpine indifferently doth reign
Above and underneath the Earth: and so doth she remaine
One halfe yeare with hir mother and the resdue with hir Feere.

(Ovid, 5.700–3)

Thus is the year divided between seasons of barrenness and fruitfulness.

Juno, Jove’s sister and wife, was the goddess of light and childbirth. Cartari reports that ‘shee is also oftentimes pictured with a scepter in her hand, to shew that shee hath the bestowing of governements, authorities, & kingdomes’; the peacock is sacred to her ‘as the diverse-coloured fethers of this bird, enticeth the beholders eyes more and more to view & to gase upon them’ (Cartari, sig. Lii\(v\)). Most importantly, she represented the maternal side of marriage:

Some have depictured the Statue of Juno in Matrones habite, holding in one hand the head of the flower Poppie, and at her feet lying a yoke as it were, or a paire of fetters: by these was meant the marriage knot and linke which coupleth the man and wife together; and by the Poppie the innumerable issue of children, which in the world are conceaved & brought forth, alluded to in the numberlesse plentie of seed contained in the head of that flower.

(Cartari, sig. Mii\(r\))
Juno represents fecundity, the iconographic theme of the magician’s masque.

While the traditional court masque began with grotesque antimasque figures and ended with their dispersal by idealized images of virtue, Prospero’s masque inverts this order, ending abruptly with his recollection of Caliban’s conspiracy. In a parody of the formal masque in which actors assume the roles of goddesses, Stephano and Trinculo in Act 4 seize the magus’s clothing, prance about in borrowed robes and adopt an identity not their own. This parodic vision instantly disappears when spirits in the guise of dogs chase the conspirators from the stage.

In the absence of any clear-cut source for The Tempest as a whole, the precise literary and experiential influences on the play’s plot and characters must remain conjectural. That the dramatist studied Virgil’s Aeneid and Ovid’s Metamorphoses at school; that he heard the Bible in church; that he read other texts – Montaigne, Strachey, Pigafetta – seems virtually certain. Echoes within The Tempest of classical texts, contemporary concerns, as well as dramas and court masques (some performed by his own acting company) may be the result of deliberate borrowing or subconscious reference. The discourses that inform Shakespeare’s play remain part of a complex cultural milieu that we can probe, in part, but never wholly recuperate.

THE AFTERLIFE

The Tempest’s extensive afterlife across the centuries, around the globe, and in a wide variety of genres and media suggests that the play is uniquely adaptable. We have already touched on some of the reasons for its continuing vitality; here we expand that discussion before tracing the play’s multifaceted interpretive and adaptive post-history.

The Tempest’s indefinite setting in time and place lends it uncommon transportability. Although Milan and Naples are constructed as autocracies within the play, Shakespeare pro-
vides no specifics that tie the reigns of Prospero and Alonso to a particular era or location. The usurpation of Prospero’s throne – unlike that of Richard II, for example – could occur in any culture that has a hereditary ruler. Prospero’s enchanted island could be almost anywhere – and, indeed, in modern productions and appropriations has been set in several continents and even in outer space.

The play’s imprecise location attracts writers and artists to *The Tempest* for what science-fiction writers call a ‘second world’ structure, in which faraway islands, imaginary and often ‘enchanted’, are ideal. Isolated geographically or psychologically from the first world, and usually distanced as well by climate (tropical breezes, lush foliage) and way of life (holiday ease rather than daily toil), the island setting provides artists and writers with an opportunity to comment on human relations without reality’s constraints. Prospero’s island is already such an outpost, which Shakespeare used to full advantage; it also implicitly invites future utopian or dystopian reimaginings and reimaginings of the same or other islands.

*The Tempest’s* characters, moreover, embody the most basic human relationships: father and daughter, king and subject, master and servant. In all three interactions, the play emphasizes the dynamics of freedom and restraint, obedience and rebellion, authority and tyranny. These fundamental relationships and interactions, like the play’s imprecise location, encourage almost limitless artistic adaptation.

This is especially true of Ariel and Caliban. Although in most appropriations Prospero and the court party remain European white males whose roles resist broad reinscription, *The Tempest’s* two most original characters are endlessly malleable because Shakespeare described them so sparsely and ambiguously. Ariel is by definition a spirit but, unlike Puck, he is not tied to the woods or any specific mythological framework. Androgynous by nature, he (or she, or it) can fly from the Mediterranean to the Bermudas and back in a blink. When
invisible, he appears as a nymph of the sea – whatever that looks like. At other times, he might resemble anything or nothing at all. Earth-bound Caliban is almost as flexible. He can be portrayed as a reptile, an ape, an Indian (East or West), a black African, a European wild man or an eclectic combination. And both Ariel and Caliban have flexible histories, including their priority of occupancy of the island, their affinity for its environment and their resistance to Prospero’s control, qualities that invite a wide range of symbolic identifications. In sum, The Tempest’s central characters and their relationships to each other are simultaneously specific enough to form an effective story and vague enough to allow new formulations that are at once drastic deviations from Shakespeare’s play yet recognizably derivative.

And as we noted earlier, The Tempest’s action is elliptical, leaving readers and audiences to speculate about events that happened before the play begins and to wonder about what will happen after it ends. In implicit disagreement with the observation that Shakespeare begins The Tempest at nearly its end, in many adaptations the play is merely an interlude between the events of the previous twelve years and the time since Prospero sailed home. ‘What’s past is prologue’ (2.1.253).

The text’s loose ends also invite speculation. Antonio’s lack of response in the play’s final moments, for example, leaves the question of change – to his character at least – up in the air. And while all the Europeans will presumably leave the enchanted island and return to Italy after the play’s conclusion, Prospero’s epilogue asks the audience to use its imagination and applause to waft the Europeans homeward – almost an invitation to complete a story that seems naggingly unfinished. No wonder so many Tempest appropriations attempt to fill the narrative gaps by providing new information about Claribel or Sycorax, new adventures for the Europeans after their return to Italy, or future destinies for Ariel and Caliban, either on the island or as newcomers to Milan or elsewhere.

Finally, Shakespeare’s emphasis on art, spectacle, magic and
poetic language in *The Tempest* encourages artists to recreate the drama in their own terms through non-dramatic media. Many other Shakespearean plays have stimulated verbal and visual imitations, of course, but rarely, if ever, has a single play inspired so many painters and poets, musicians and film makers, novelists and political writers, to produce such a variety of representations. The following pages sample *The Tempest*'s rich and continuing afterlife.

**Restoration rewritings**

Davenant and Dryden's radical revamping of *The Tempest* in 1667 (published in 1670) retained the play's title but added *or, The Enchanted Island*. Seven years later, Thomas Shadwell created an operatic version of the Dryden–Davenant text with the same title. For the next century and a half, these rewritings of Shakespeare's play were performed frequently and dominated stagings and popular conceptions. Although textual editors like Nicholas Rowe early in the eighteenth century and Samuel Johnson later in the century reprinted the Folio text with minor editorial embellishments for the literary elite's enjoyment and edification, most English readers and audiences apparently assumed that the Dryden–Davenant–Shadwell versions were identical to Shakespeare's drama. Samuel Pepys, who attended numerous performances in the late 1660s, knew it as 'The Tempest, an old play of Shakespeares'.

Dryden and Davenant courted upper-class Restoration audiences by rewriting *The Tempest* to emphasize the royalist political and social ideals underlying Shakespeare's original: monarchy was presented as the natural form of government, patriarchal authority prevailed in matters of education and marriage, and patrilineality ruled the ownership and inheritance of property. But in addition to its ideological reflections, the Dryden–Davenant–Shadwell *Enchanted Island* tells much about

1 For Pepys's usually enthusiastic response to the play, see Pepys, 8.521–2 (quotation), 527, 576; 9.12, 48, 133, 179, 195, 422.
the acting traditions that, once established, affected its later stage history as well as popular perceptions of Shakespeare’s characters. Besides, audiences liked it. Pepys considered it ‘full of so good variety, that I cannot be more pleased almost in a comedy’, and several visits later, it ‘still pleases me mightily’. He memorized the words to ‘the Seamens dance’.¹

In his Preface to the first printed version of *The Enchanted Island*, Dryden claimed that Davenant ‘design’d the Counter-part to Shakespear’s Plot, namely that of a Man who had never seen a Woman; that by this means those two Characters of Innocence and Love might the more illustrate and commend each other’ (Dryden & Davenant, A2\textsuperscript{v}, italics removed). Miranda now has a sister, Dorinda, and Prospero a foster son, Hippolito, the rightful Duke of Mantua. Because of a prophetic vision that a woman would cause Hippolito’s downfall, Prospero has hidden him on the island, away from Miranda and Dorinda. Hippolito is naive both sexually and culturally.

Dryden and Davenant’s comic subplot satirizes Restoration concerns. In a parody of the sexual intrigue of the main plot, Trincalo (Shakespeare’s Trinculo, but here the boatswain instead of the jester) and Stephano (the ship’s master) seek to possess Sycorax (Caliban’s twin sister) and argue about who shall be duke on the island and who the viceroy. Their aspersions on the Commonwealth are palpable. Stephano proclaims, for example, ‘we will have no Civil war during our Reign; I do hereby appoint you both to be my Vice-Roys over the whole Island’ (Dryden & Davenant 20). The sailors’ plot ‘becomes chief instrument of the revisers to prove that all republican experiments are inevitably bound to fail’ (Auberlen, 77).² The mariners cannot govern because they were not born to it, and their drunken discourse exposes the futility of democratic impulses.

¹ Pepys, 8.527; 9.48, 179.
² Insightful discussions of *The Enchanted Island*, in addition to Auberlen, include Maus and Wikander.
Although the Restoration Ariel was generally true to Shakespeare’s original, the operatic version more obviously needed an actor, usually female, who could capably sing and dance. Contrary to the modern custom of casting male actors in the role, Ariel seems to have been performed often in the Restoration by Mary (Moll) Davis, who later became a mistress of King Charles II. Richard Flecknoe described her in 1669:

Who wou’d not think to see thee dance so light,
Thou wer’t all air? or else all soul and spirit... all men
must admire
To see thee move like air, and mount like fire.

(Highfill, 4.224, italics removed)

The operatic version of *The Tempest* concluded with a singing Ariel suspended over the stage.

While Dryden and Davenant’s Ariel is more important than the Folio’s, Caliban’s role is drastically reduced. Mainly because the issues surrounding the ‘salvage’ or natural man in Shakespeare’s original are displaced on to Hippolito, Caliban is merely a buffoonish monster. Coupled with his sluttish sister, he is humanity’s bestial side (see Vaughan, *Caliban*, 91–5).

Dryden and Davenant’s Prospero is also a different character from Shakespeare’s original. Eckhard Auberlen summarizes the changes:

In Shakespeare, Prospero firmly controls the outer events, but has to see the limits of his power in bringing about a moral regeneration on others and himself; in the adaptation, Prospero loses control over the outer events and is reduced to the status of a Polonius-like overbusy

---

1 The appearance of Aunjanue Ellis in the New York Shakespeare Festival’s 1995 production of *The Tempest* surprised many who were used to male Ariels. Ellis’s overt female sexuality added an extra dimension to her relationship with Patrick Stewart’s Prospero.

2 Orgel argues that Dryden and Davenant’s Ariel must have been enacted by a male since at the finale Ariel is accompanied by Milcha, a female spirit (Oxf1, 70). But given the entries in Pepys’s *Diary* praising Moll Davis’s dancing, many theatre historians believe she performed either a *travesti* Hippolyto or the fairy spirit’s role.
father, intent on protecting the chastity of his two sexually naive daughters while planning advantageous dynastic marriages for them.

(Auberlen, 74)

The Restoration Prospero is a moralist, bent on controlling events and people. He undergoes no change of heart. As the play's final scenes make clear, if Ariel's salves had been ineffective and Hippolito had died from the wounds inflicted by Ferdinand, Prospero would have executed Miranda's fiancé. Only the fairy's intervention ensures a happy ending.

Thomas Shadwell's operatic version of the Dryden-Davenant adaptation of *The Tempest* added more songs and spectacular scenery and became an extremely popular entertainment (see Van Lennep; Guffey, ix). According to John Downes in 1708, the opera provided tremendous variety, including:

Scenes, Machines; particularly, one Scene Painted with *Myriads of Ariel* Spirits; and another flying away, with a Table Furnisht out with Fruits, Sweetmeats, and all sorts of Viands; just when Duke *Trinculo* and his Companions were going to dinner; all was things perform'd in it so Admirably well, that not any succeeding Opera got more Money.

(Downes, 34–5)

Downes uses 'Opera' in the late seventeenth-century sense of a dramatic extravaganza rather like a modern musical comedy, with dialogue interrupted by carefully choreographed songs and dances. The published version of the operatic text offers descriptive stage directions that reveal the Restoration *Tempest*'s un-Shakespearean quality. The opening storm, for example, was accompanied by music:

The Front of the Stage is open'd, and the Band of 24 Violins, with the Harpsicals and Theorbo's which accompany the Voices, are plac'd between the Pit and
the Stage . . . [T]he Scene . . . represents a thick Cloudy Sky, a very Rocky Coast, and a Tempestuous Sea in perpetual Agitation. This Tempest (suppos’d to be rais’d by Magick) has many dreadful Objects in it, as several Spirits in horrid shapes flying down amongst the Sailers, then rising and crossing in the Air. And when the Ship is sinking, the whole House is darken’d, and a shower of Fire falls upon ’em. This is accompanied with Lightning, and several Claps of Thunder, to the end of the Storm.

(Shadwell, *Enchanted Island*, 1, in Guffey; italics removed) (see Fig. 12)

When the storm subsides and everyone is stranded on the island, the locale divides in two. The ‘Beautiful part of the Island’ where Prospero lives is ‘compos’d of three Walks of Cypress-trees, each Side-walk leads to a Cave, in one of which Prospero keeps his Daughters, in the other Hippolito: the Middle-Walk is of great depth, and leads to an open part of the Island’. In contrast to this cultivated space, Caliban and his maritime visitors appear in a ‘wilder part of the Island’, which is ‘compos’d of divers sorts of Trees, and barren places, with a prospect of the Sea at a great distance’ (Shadwell, *Enchanted Island*, 5, 14, in Guffey; italics removed). This visual opposition emphasizes the play’s careful distinctions between courtly and lower class, civilized and uncivil.

The Dryden–Davenant–Shadwell adaptation of *The Tempest* was so successful at the Duke’s Theatre that the rival King’s Company soon countered with a burlesque by Thomas Duffett. *The Mock Tempest* (1675) begins with what seems to be a storm but is actually a riot in a brothel. Prospero and Miranda appear at Bridewell, where he informs her that fifty years ago he was ‘Duke of my Lord Majors Dogg-kenne’. Alonso and Gonzalo are frightened by a pageant of devils who sing a parody of the opera’s ‘Arise, ye Subterranean winds’ – ‘Arise, ye Subterranean
The frontispiece to Nicholas Rowe’s 1709 edition of *The Tempest*, the first known illustration of the play, may suggest the visual impact of the Dryden and Davenant storm scene.
fiends!' Prospero successfully pairs Dorinda with Hypolito and Miranda with Quakero (Ferdinand), and the play concludes with a Chorus of pimps and bawds. Interlaced with Shakespearean allusions, this scabrous satire did nothing to deter the popularity of the Dryden–Davenant–Shadwell operatic version of *The Tempest*; rather, it added another pseudo-Shakespearean version that further deflected attention from the Folio text.

**Eighteenth-century ambivalence**

Eighteenth-century adaptations of *The Tempest* continued the Restoration’s spectacular tradition. On 6 January 1716, for example, the Drury Lane Theatre paid three shillings for ‘The Shower of Fire’, six pence for Lightning and three pence for ‘white wands’. Stephano and Trincalo also required bottles of white wine and a pint of sack (Van Lennep, 2: Pt 1, cvi). Eighteenth-century playbills advertised a *Tempest* with songs and dances, ‘Scenes, Machines, Habits, Flyings, Sinkings, and other Decorations proper to the play’ (24 January 1733, Drury Lane).

*The London Stage* consistently lists young actresses in the role of Ariel, except for two instances when a young male dancer or singer played the part.¹ Like Miss Lindar, who moved over to Dorinda in 1723, the actresses sometimes outgrew it. Ariel needed to have a superb voice and light and graceful movements. Caliban, by contrast, was usually a comedian’s part. Ben Johnson took the role in the early part of the century and was succeeded by Charles Macklin and Edward Berry, actors known for their awkward figures. The century ended with Charles Bannister, another huge man skilled at dramatic singing, as the savage monster. Prospero was performed by the lead actor of the moment; though his role was the most prominent, his lines were usually contracted to allow more music and spectacle.

¹ Master Woodward played Ariel on 2 June 1731, and Master Arne, presumably a son of the composer, took the role on 22 October 1734.
During the first half of the century, playgoers usually saw the Dryden–Davenant–Shadwell version of *The Tempest*. In 1756 actor–manager David Garrick countered with his own operatic *Tempest* at the Drury Lane Theatre. This three-act extravaganza with music by John Christopher Smith included the drunken seamen Mustacho and Ventoso but omitted the other Dryden–Davenant characters – Hippolito, Dorinda, Sycorax and Milcha. The text was cut to make room for thirty-two songs. When the early performances were not successful, Garrick dropped his adaptation from the repertory (see Stone).

The next year (1757) Garrick presented a restored (though heavily cut) Shakespearean *Tempest*, a revival that was profitably performed from time to time for the rest of the century. In 1806, John Philip Kemble reintroduced Dorinda and Hippolito to his acting version of *The Tempest*, but this was to be their last gasp on the English stage.

The eighteenth-century *Tempest* on stage and in artists’ renderings underlined a neoclassical emphasis on human rationality and morality in Shakespeare’s work. William Hogarth’s painting of a composite scene dating from the mid-1730s (see Fig. 13) demonstrates these themes at work: posed like Joseph behind the Virgin Mary, Prospero protectively shelters Miranda from the bestial Caliban to her left, while a cherubic Ariel hovers overhead. Hogarth’s Prospero is typical of eighteenth-century representations. Portrayed most commonly as a grey-bearded magus, Prospero controls the disorderly political forces in Antonio and Sebastian and the corrupt moral forces embodied in Caliban. Henry Fuseli’s 1789 painting, commissioned for the Boydell Shakespeare Gallery in London (see Fig. 14), depicts similar relationships. Prospero, his extended hand pointing to the devilish figure of Caliban, signifies patriarchal protection of his innocent daughter from the threats of a born devil. A spectator gazing at this picture, or attending a production at Drury Lane, would presumably have accepted Prospero’s wisdom and authority and interpreted the play
through his eyes. Throughout the eighteenth century, amidst operatic spectacles and comic distractions, *The Tempest* remained Prospero’s play.

**Romanticism**

*The Tempest*'s perceived focus changed significantly with the dawn of the nineteenth century. Partly under the impetus of lectures, letters and essays by Romantic poets such as Samuel Taylor Coleridge, William Wordsworth and John Keats, and partly from the French Revolution’s restructuring of social values, a new generation of writers rejected neoclassical rules and decorum. Wordsworth’s ‘Preface to the Lyrical Ballads’ (1800) called instead for poems about everyday life coloured by the poetic imagination. Poetry, declared Wordsworth, is the ‘spontaneous overflow of powerful feelings’, and the poet, by
An engraving of Henry Fuseli’s painting of *The Tempest*, commissioned for the Boydell Shakespeare Gallery (1789), featuring a magisterial Prospero, innocent Miranda, and devilish Caliban.

Definition, ‘has a greater knowledge of human nature, and a more comprehensive soul, than are supposed to be common among mankind’ (Wordsworth, 448, 453). To Wordsworth and the Romantics who followed him, creative imagination, genius and poetry were intimately associated.¹ Shakespeare had by the late eighteenth century been apotheosized as England’s greatest writer; the Romantics hailed his work as the ultimate example of creative imagination and the dramatist himself as the untutored genius who followed nature rather than the ancients’ rules.

Poetry thus changed from Samuel Johnson’s ‘just representations of general nature’ (S. Johnson, 491) to the individualized expression of the author’s soul. Shakespeare’s plays were no

longer considered as acting scripts for a public theatre but as expressions of his personal feelings. Accompanying this emphasis on the texts as poetry rather than drama was the assumption that Shakespeare’s genius could only be realized in the reader’s imagination. Charles Lamb contended that The Tempest, in particular, could not be embodied on stage:

It is one thing to read of an enchanter, and to believe the wondrous tale while we are reading it; but to have a conjuror brought before us in his conjuring-gown, with his spirits about him, which none but himself and some hundred of favoured spectators before the curtain are supposed to see, involves such a quantity of the hateful incredible that all our reverence for the author cannot hinder us from perceiving such gross attempts upon the senses to be in the highest degree childish and inefficient. Spirits and fairies cannot be represented, they cannot even be painted.

(Lamb, 191)

Thus began a split between the literary analysis of Shakespeare’s text and assessments of Shakespeare in performance, two distinct threads that, even at present, are usually separate in academic discourse and institutional structure. With some notable exceptions (William Hazlitt, for example, frequently attended the theatre and occasionally referred to performances he had seen), nineteenth-century writers who discussed The Tempest relied on their private readings, not on public performance.

Coleridge, for example, found The Tempest to be a ‘purely romantic drama’ that ‘addresses itself entirely to the imaginative faculty’ (Coleridge, 1.118). Hazlitt concurred: ‘the preternatural part has the air of reality, and almost haunts the imagination with the sense of truth’, while the ‘real characters and events partake of the wildness of a dream’. The enchanted island with its exotic furze, marmosets and water with berries appealed to
the Romantic affinity for stark yet beautiful natural landscapes. The characters of Ariel and Caliban seemed spun from imagination alone. To Hazlitt, Ariel was ‘imaginary power, the swiftness of thought personified’. Caliban, too, displayed the power and truth of the poet’s imagination; his character grows ‘out of the soil where it is rooted, uncontrolled, uncouth, and wild, uncramped by any of the meaneness of custom’ (Hazlitt, 82, 86, 84).

Ariel’s songs, which Samuel Johnson had criticized for expressing ‘nothing great, nor reveal[ing] any thing above mortal discovery’ (S. Johnson, 531), conveyed to Hazlitt a ‘peculiar charm’; they seemed ‘to sound in the air, and as if the person playing them were invisible’, sometimes resembling ‘snatches of half-forgotten music’ (Hazlitt, 86). Coleridge described Ariel in his ninth lecture: ‘in air he acts; and all his colours and properties seem to have been obtained from the rainbow and the skies . . . He is neither born of heaven, nor of earth; but, as it were, between both’ (Coleridge, 2.136–7). And in one of the earliest poems based on The Tempest, Percy Bysshe Shelley identified Ariel with the poet, the sprite’s songs with poetry. ‘With a Guitar, To Jane’ begins with Ariel speaking:

Ariel to Miranda: – Take
This slave of Music, for the sake
Of him who is the slave of thee,
And teach it all the harmony,
In which thou canst, and only thou,
Make the delighted spirit glow[.]

Instead of being imprisoned in Sycorax’s mighty oak, Shelley’s Ariel is caught inside the guitar he presents to Jane, where he sings the harmonies:

Of the plains and of the skies,
Of the forests and the mountains,
And the many-voicèd fountains;
The clearest echoes of the hills,
The softest notes of falling rills,
The melodies of birds and bees,
The murmuring of summer seas.
(Shelley, 428–30)

Shelley’s simple diction – the language of the common man that Wordsworth valued – here conveys Ariel’s oneness with nature and imitates the straightforward beauty of Shakespeare’s original songs.

Partly because the magus created Ariel’s music, the Romantics identified him with Shakespeare. Coleridge called Prospero a ‘mighty wizard, whose potent art could not only call up spirits of the deep, but the characters as they were and are and will be, [he] seems a portrait of the bard himself’ (Coleridge, 2.253). If Prospero speaks for Shakespeare, and if, as the Romantics believed, poetry is personal expression, it followed that Prospero’s feelings were Shakespeare’s. This identification between the magus and the dramatist persisted, culminating in the notorious claims of Edward Dowden in 1875 that the romances reveal biographical information about Shakespeare’s later life. ‘[T]he temper of Prosper’, Dowden declared, ‘the grave harmony of his character, his self-mastery, his calm validity of will, his sensitiveness to wrong, his unfaltering justice, and, with these, a certain abandonment, a remoteness from the common joys and sorrows of the world, are characteristic of Shakspere as discovered to us in all his latest plays’. By the end of The Tempest, Prospero / Shakespeare ‘has also reached an altitude of thought from which he can survey the whole of human life, and see how small and yet how great it is’ (Dowden, 371–2). Prospero, like Shakespeare, was a genius, an artist who understood the truths of human nature and whose words could arbitrate morality and wisdom.

Dowden’s biographical approach to The Tempest led many – not only in the late nineteenth century but throughout the twentieth – to interpret Prospero’s famous lines, ‘Our revels
Introduction

now are ended . . .' (4.1.148–63), as Shakespeare’s retirement speech and his ‘Ye elves’ passage (5.1.33–57) as his assessment of illusion’s power and danger. *The Tempest*, in sum, was often perceived as Shakespeare’s last and best expression of human reality.

But not everyone adopted Prospero’s viewpoint. Several early nineteenth-century writers re-examined Caliban and found some merit in his rebellious claims to ownership of the enchanted isle. In his ninth lecture, Coleridge had argued that ‘Caliban is in some respects a noble being: . . . a man in the sense of the imagination: all the images he uses are drawn from nature, and are highly poetical’ (Coleridge, 2.138). To Hazlitt, Caliban’s ‘deformity whether of body or mind is redeemed by the power and truth of the imagination displayed in it’ (Hazlitt, 83). Sympathy for Caliban became still more palpable after William Charles Macready produced *The Tempest* in Shakespeare’s original text at Drury Lane in 1838. George Bennett’s representation of the savage slave seems to have aroused his audience’s feelings, including those of Patrick MacDonnell, who saw Caliban as ‘maintaining in his mind, a strong resistance to that tyranny, which held him in the thraldom of slavery’. MacDonnell even defended Caliban’s morals, suggesting that he tried to rape Miranda only after Prospero imprudently lodged the two together. The ‘noble and generous character of Prospero, therefore, suffers, by this severe conduct to Caliban, and I confess, I have never read, or witnessed this scene, without experiencing a degree of pity for the poor, abject, and degraded slave’ (MacDonnell, 16–19) (see Fig. 15).

It was perhaps predictable that after Dryden and Davenant cut Caliban’s role so drastically, he should be revitalized in Macready’s uncut version. Although the abolition of slavery in England coincided with the year of Macready’s production, human bondage was still a sensitive topic in England and was, of course, legal through much of the world, including many of the United States. As a ‘salvage and deformed slave’, Caliban could
be cast as ‘hereditary bondsman’ in Robert and William Brough’s burlesque, _The Enchanted Isle_ (1848), or as an aggrieved slave in political cartoons and broadsides (see Vaughan, _Caliban_, 105–9).
Enter Darwin

Caliban’s deformity and incivility made him a useful symbol for mid-nineteenth-century challengers of traditional theology. Under the impact of Charles Darwin’s evolutionary theories, humankind’s place within the natural world and its relationship to God were newly debatable. Robert Browning’s poem ‘Caliban upon Setebos’ (1864) explored such issues.¹ In a long monologue based on the principle of analogy (as I do, so does Setebos), Caliban speculates on Setebos’s nature and motives. This god, according to Caliban,

\[
\text{doth His worst in this our life,}
\]
\[
\text{Giving just respite lest we die through pain,}
\]
\[
\text{Saving last pain for worst, – with which, an end.}
\]
\[
\text{Meanwhile, the best way to escape His ire}
\]
\[
\text{Is, not to seem too happy.}
\]

(Browning, 159; see Appendix 2.1)

Note that Caliban, not Prospero, is the speaker who ponders theological and philosophical questions. For the Victorian age, the slave was often more important than the master.

Caliban’s importance expanded further in Daniel Wilson’s *Caliban: The Missing Link* (1873), which identified him as Darwin’s ‘missing link’ and tied his (presumed) amphibious nature to the increasingly accepted view that human life had evolved from some sort of aquatic animal. Caliban’s form, however, remained essentially human, akin to early modern explorers’ accounts of New World inhabitants. At the same time, Wilson sympathized with Caliban: ‘We feel for the poor monster, so helplessly in the power of the stern Prospero, as for some caged wild beast pining in cruel captivity, and rejoice to think of him at last free to range in harmless mastery over his island solitude’ (D. Wilson, 91). Caliban’s struggle for knowledge and independence mirrors Victorian notions of progress, in

¹ See especially Ortwin de Graef’s essay in *Constellation*, 113–34.
which humankind inched towards nineteenth-century European civilization’s full flowering. Artistic representations of Caliban in this period assigned him aquatic or apelike features (see Vaughan, *Caliban*, 238–43).

Unlike English writers who focused almost exclusively on Caliban, the French philosopher Ernest Renan gave equal weight to Prospero and Ariel in his closet drama *Caliban: Suite de La Tempête* (1878). In this sequel to Shakespeare’s play, Ariel (‘role for a woman’) has followed Prospero to Milan and remains steadfastly loyal. When Caliban, who spends his time drinking in the palace wine cellar, rebels, Ariel protests that her master Prospero ‘believes that God is reason, and that one should work towards the means by which God . . . governs the world more and more’. When Caliban spearheads a palace coup and becomes the new ruler of Milan, Prospero ruefully declares, ‘Enlightened, little by little, through living in my house, he at last came to the power of thought and reflection, but all his thought was employed to plan my ruin . . . Oh! what a mistake it was to educate a brute who would turn my very instruction into a weapon against me’ (Renan, 14, 20, 57). Mistake or not, when Caliban becomes duke he actively imitates his former master’s virtues and even tries to save him from the Inquisition.

Lost in the era’s philosophical speculation is Miranda. Browning has her sleep through Caliban’s monologue and Renan drops her altogether. Mary Cowden Clarke also omitted Miranda from her description of *The Girlhood of Shakespeare’s Heroines* (1852). And although the nineteenth century had largely restored Shakespeare’s original text, Miranda’s most outspoken lines (1.2.352–63) continued to be assigned to Prospero on the grounds of decorum, and her remaining words and actions perhaps struck Victorian audiences as appropriately demure but uninteresting. John Forster praised Helen Faucit’s Miranda in the 1838 Macready production for her modest

1 See also Koenraad Geldof’s essay in *Constellation*, 85–94.
expression of love to Ferdinand: ‘She seemed to us to second the gentlemanly love of Mr. Anderson with just such tones of trusting impulse as peculiarly fitted her for Miranda’ (Forster, 71). Ariel, played by Priscilla Horton, was the wilful, interesting female. Miranda’s diminished roles on stage and her omission from the most prominent philosophical appropriations of The Tempest reflect the nineteenth century’s patriarchal perspective.

There were exceptions. Miranda appeared prominently in Anna Jameson’s compendium of Shakespeare’s Heroines: Characteristics of Women Moral, Poetical, and Historical, first published in 1832 under the title, Characteristics of Women. Classified along with Juliet, Helena, Perdita, Viola and Ophelia as a ‘Character of Passion and Imagination’ (in contrast to Portia’s intellect and Desdemona’s affection), Miranda was to Jameson a picture of ‘feminine beauty’, not only beautiful but ‘so perfectly unsophisticated, so delicately refined, that she is all but ethereal’ (see Fig. 16). In contrast to Ariel, she is a true human being with a woman’s heart yet distinguished by her upbringing without the trappings of civilization. All who behold her, Jameson proposed, are struck with wonder at her ‘soft simplicity, her virgin innocence, her total ignorance of the conventional forms and language of society’ (Jameson, 147-55). But even to so enthusiastic an admirer as Jameson, Miranda’s most salient feature is a void – a lack of experience, knowledge and sophistication.

With Miranda and Prospero in critical eclipse, Caliban dominated late nineteenth- and early twentieth-century stage productions. Leading actors selected the role for themselves and employed antic stage business to attract audiences to the deformed slave. The athletic Frank Benson played Caliban as an apish missing link by imitating monkeys and baboons he had observed at the zoo. On stage he climbed a tree, hung upside down and gibbered. Beerbohm Tree donned fur and seaweed and sported waist-length hair and an unkempt beard. In the play’s final tableau, he stood alone, watching Prospero’s ship
depart. Tree described the scene: as he stretches out his arms towards the empty horizon, ‘we feel that from the conception of sorrow in solitude may spring the birth of a higher civilization’ (Tree, xi). Tree’s apelike Caliban, part-animal, part-human,
symbolized primitive man before his evolution to a more civilized stage (see Fig. 17).

In the last major Darwinian appropriation of *The Tempest*, Caliban journeyed towards self-discovery in Percy MacKaye’s
community masque, *Caliban by the Yellow Sands*, at Lewisohn Stadium in New York City in 1916 and later at the Harvard University stadium in Massachusetts. MacKaye proclaimed that his goal was ‘to present Prospero’s art as the art of the theater culminating in Shakespeare and to lead Caliban step by step from his aboriginal path of brute force and ignorance to the realm of love, reason and self-discipline’ (Franck, 159). The theme, claimed MacKaye, was ‘Caliban seeking to learn the art of Prospero – . . . the slow education of mankind through the influences of cooperative art’ (MacKaye, xvii).

In addition to its huge cast of dancers and masquers, *Caliban by the Yellow Sands* foregrounded Ariel, Prospero and Miranda as the forces of civilization against Caliban, Lust, Death and War – the representations of evil (see Fig. 18). The pageant begins with Ariel and Caliban caught under Sycorax’s evil spell; Miranda discovers Ariel imprisoned by darkness. Prospero releases Ariel and his spirits, who help the magician to display a ‘pageant of his art’ – a sampling of Shakespearean drama, from the Roman plays’ portrayals of ancient Greece and Rome to the history plays’ depictions of early modern England. As Caliban watches the unfolding pageantry, he and Prospero discuss the action; but despite the magus’s educational efforts, Caliban’s rebellious spirit, inspired by a recitation of *Henry V*’s militaristic rhetoric, refuses to die. Shakespeare, looking much like Prospero, appears in a final procession of the world’s greatest dramatists and takes the magus’s cloak. As the pageant concludes, a repentant Caliban cries for more knowledge:

A little have I crawled, a little only
Out of mine ancient cave. All that I build
I botch; all that I do destroyeth my dream.
Yet – yet I yearn to build, to be thine Artist
And stablish this thine Earth among the stars –
Beautiful!

(MacKaye, 145)
The programme cover to Percy MacKaye’s *Caliban by the Yellow Sands*, 1916
Looking tenderly at Caliban, Shakespeare delivers the masque’s final speech, Prospero’s farewell to the stage from *The Tempest* (4.1.148–63).

MacKaye’s identification of Prospero with Shakespeare as a figure of omniscient wisdom epitomizes the nineteenth and early twentieth century’s romanticization of Shakespeare’s last play. In 1916 Caliban’s aspirations to build a new world of truth and beauty still seemed plausible to New York audiences, but like Prospero’s insubstantial pageant, they were a final vestige of Victorian belief in the inexorable progress of humankind.

**Perspectives on imperialism**

Caliban was often the key player in two other major interpretations of *The Tempest* which first appeared in the late nineteenth century and flourished in the twentieth: an insistence (1) that the play is essentially about the New World, and (2) that it symbolizes European or United States imperialism, or a related ideology – materialism, for example, or racism – wherever in the world it appears.

During the long span from 1611 to 1898, critical commentary on *The Tempest* rarely emphasized its possible American sources or resonances. Edmond Malone’s early nineteenth-century emphasis on the Virginia and Bermuda pamphlets is a notable exception. In 1892, Furness’s Variorum edition summarized more than a century of random musings about American connections but did not favour their importance. Until the eve of the twentieth century, *The Tempest* seemed only tangentially connected to Europe’s American ventures.

Emphatic identifications of *The Tempest* with the New World began suddenly and almost simultaneously in England and the Americas – North, Central and South. In the former Spanish colonies, the focus was almost entirely on Caliban, beginning with a Nicaraguan journalist, Rubén Darío, who in 1893 likened New York City’s crudity and materialism to Caliban’s. Five years later, Darío’s short essay on ‘The Triumph of Caliban’
berated what many Latin Americans considered the United States’ blatant aggression in the Spanish-American War of 1898; that same year an Argentine writer dubbed Anglo-Americans ‘Calibanesque’. Such metaphorical borrowing from The Tempest expanded appreciably in 1900, when the Uruguayan philosopher-statesman José Enrique Rodó’s short book, entitled simply Ariel, contrasted – cautiously but unmistakably – the noblest traits of Latin American civilization, symbolized by Shakespeare’s gentle sprite, with the most regrettable characteristics of Anglo-American civilization, epitomized in Caliban’s ‘brutal sensuality’ (see Appendix 2.2). Ariel quickly became a major socio-intellectual statement, hailed throughout Spanish-speaking America and attracting numerous disciples, many of whom exaggerated Rodó’s application of metaphors from The Tempest. In 1918, for example, the Venezuelan writer Jesús Semprúm encapsulated the Hispanic nations’ view of their northern neighbours as ‘rough and obtuse Calibans, swollen by brutal appetites, the enemies of all idealisms, furiously enamoured of the dollar, insatiable gulpers of whiskey and sausages – swift, overwhelming, fierce, clownish’ (Semprúm, 132).

While Latin American writers loosely applied symbols from The Tempest to the western hemisphere’s history and culture, Sidney Lee and a growing number of English and American scholars insisted, from a very different perspective, that the play was ‘a veritable document of early Anglo-American history’. Shakespeare, Lee argued in 1898 and for three decades thereafter in numerous editions of his Life of William Shakespeare and in several essays on American Indians, had intended The Tempest to reflect England’s early colonial experience and the play’s characters to epitomize colonization’s representative participants.1 The play, he contended, took place on an island along

---

1 More than a dozen editions of Lee’s biography of Shakespeare appeared between 1898 and the author’s death in 1926, and it remained a standard ‘life’ for many more years. Lee’s most relevant work on Indians appeared first in 1907 in Scribner’s Magazine, was largely incorporated in 1913 into an article in Cornhill Magazine and was reprinted in 1929 in a collection of his essays.
the North American coast or in a conflated English America, and Prospero, though probably modelled initially on one or more characters in European dramas, had unmistakable colonial elements. 'Every explorer', Lee assumed with undisguised Victorian pride, 'shared Prospero's pity for the aborigines' inability to make themselves intelligible in their crabbed agglutinative dialects, and offered them instruction in civilised speech'. Caliban, Lee concluded, was 'a creature stumbling over the first stepping-stones which lead from savagery to civilization' (Lee, 'Indian', 326–8).

Although Lee spearheaded the Americanization of *The Tempest* and was its most articulate and productive proponent, his numerous allies on both sides of the Atlantic reinforced and expanded the play's American connections. In the same year (1898) that Lee in England first linked characters from the play with early seventeenth-century ethnohistorical events, and Darío in Nicaragua proclaimed that Caliban personified the United States, an American cleric-scholar declared that *The Tempest* 'has an entirely American basis and character', and that Caliban 'is an American'. Also that year, Rudyard Kipling inaugurated a popular trend by insisting that Bermuda was, in fact, the play's location (Bristol, 51, 82; Kipling, 25–32).

The Americanization of *The Tempest* gained momentum in the early twentieth century. Morton Luce's Arden edition (1901) estimated that 'nine-tenths of the subjects touched upon by Shakespeare in *The Tempest* are suggested by the new enterprise of colonisation', and Caliban is to a considerable extent 'a dispossessed Indian' (Ard¹, xlii, xxxvi). A few years later, the British scholar Walter Alexander Raleigh declared in his introduction to a major reissue of Hakluyt's *Principal Navigations* that 'The Tempest is a fantasy of the New World', in which:

Shakespeare, almost alone, saw the problem of American settlement in a detached light . . . The drunken butler, accepting the worship and allegiance of
Caliban, and swearing him in by making him kiss the bottle, is a fair representative of the idle and dissolute men who were shipped to the Virginian colony. The situation of Miranda was perhaps suggested by the story of Virginia Dare, . . . the first child born in America of English parents . . . And the portrait of Caliban, with his affectionate loyalty to the drunkard, his adoration of valour, his love of natural beauty and feeling for music and poetry, his hatred and superstitious fear of his taskmaster, and the simple cunning and savagery of his attempts at revenge and escape – all this is a composition wrought from fragments of travellers’ tales, and shows a wonderfully accurate and sympathetic understanding of uncivilised man.

(Raleigh, 112–13)

In 1926 an American scholar, Robert Ralston Cawley, summarized the case for *The Tempest’s* essential Americanness by printing in sequential passages from the major travel accounts and Shakespeare’s play every plausible similarity of word, phrase or speech.

A few sceptics found the evidence fragmentary and inconsequential. The most outspoken was the American scholar Elmer Edgar Stoll, who complained to the annual meeting of the Modern Language Association in 1926 that ‘Spenser, Daniel, Drayton, and the rest [of Shakespeare’s contemporary writers] sing of the New World and Virginia, but not Shakespeare . . . There is not a word in *The Tempest* about America or Virginia, colonies or colonizing, Indians or tomahawks, maize, mocking-birds, or tobacco. Nothing but the Bermudas, once barely mentioned as a faraway place, like Tokio or Mandalay’ (Stoll, 212–13). Stoll notwithstanding, *The Tempest* seemed by overwhelming consensus to be Shakespeare’s American play. The occasional doubters during the first half of the twentieth century usually ignored the Americanist proponents rather than refuted them.
Until the middle of the twentieth century the Americanization of *The Tempest* remained bifurcated. The critical interpretations promulgated principally by Lee, Raleigh and Cawley held sway in Britain and the United States; the emblematic appropriations popularized by Darío, Rodó, and Semprúm had little opposition in Latin America. In the latter region, versions of noble Ariel in conflict with ignoble Caliban appeared in social, political and cultural statements but seldom in dramatic or literary interpretations of Shakespeare’s whole play; *The Tempest’s* usefulness was pragmatic and symbolic rather than aesthetic. Shakespeare specialists in Great Britain and the United States meanwhile worked from different assumptions. Ostensibly concerned with authorial intentions and the ‘true’ meaning of Shakespeare’s text, yet heavily influenced by the political and cultural climates that were creating, at long last, a rapprochement between the two most populous Anglophone democracies, British and North American scholars persuaded themselves and most (apparently) of their generation that *The Tempest* had an essentially American setting, predominantly American themes and, at least in Caliban, a truly American character. Yet, like their Latin American counterparts, English-language commentators usually stressed Caliban’s basest qualities: he was more savage than noble, more an aggressor than a victim.\(^1\) Although Prospero was virtually absent from Latin American symbolic appropriations, Anglo-American literary critics kept him near centre stage and implicitly praised his introduction of English culture to the western hemisphere. He was the benign imperialist, the conduit of language, learning, refinement and religion – the uplifter of ‘uncivilized man’.

Half a century after the emergence of separate Latin American and Anglo-American versions of *The Tempest’s* relevance to the

---

1 Two further examples of Caliban as an emphatically pejorative symbol in the first half of the twentieth century are Arnold Zweig, *Caliban, or Politics and Passions* (Potsdam, 1927), in which he personifies German antisemitism, and Leonard Barnes, *Caliban in Africa: An Impression of Colour-Madness* (London, 1930), in which Dutch South Africans are dubbed ‘Calibanesque’ for their racially exploitive ‘apartheid’.
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western hemisphere, new critical perspectives reversed the sym­
bolism of the first paradigm, sharply modified the second para­
digm and brought them closer to a consensus. Beginning in the
1950s and 1960s, Latin American appropriators of *The Tempest*
recast Caliban as the emblem of South and Central American
peoples and substituted Prospero as the imperialist, arrogant
United States. Anglo-American critics and appropriators soon
adopted a similar strategy. It differed from the earlier interpreta­
tion of Sidney Lee and his followers less in its realignment of the
play’s location or themes than in its recognition of new qualities
in the central characters. Prospero was still a colonist; Caliban
remained an American Indian or perhaps, now, an African–
American slave; Trinculo and Stephano continued to be (occa­
sionally) unruly settlers. But English and North American critics
and performers now chastised Prospero for seizing the natives’
land, enslaving their bodies and imposing an alien, unwanted cul­
ture. Caliban, by contrast, was ennobled and to some extent
empowered. The victim had emerged victorious.

The radical shift in Latin American and Anglo-American
readings of *The Tempest* emerged from different circumstances.
The former reflected to a considerable extent the rise in South
and Central America, and especially on the Caribbean islands, of
an intellectual class whose ethnic and cultural ties were less to
Spain or another continental nation than to a Native American
or African (or both) heritage. In England and the United States,
the new paradigm echoed an emerging scepticism about
European imperialism and its impact on colonized people (dis­
possession and often death) and on the colonizers (insensitivity
and often brutality). A major impetus towards paradigmatic
reassessment in both hemispheres also came from a French
social scientist’s analysis of his own nation’s administration of
the African island of Madagascar: Octave Mannoni’s *Psychologie
de la colonisation*, first published in 1950 and six years later trans­
lated into English, with a provocative new title – *Prospero and Caliban*. 
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Seldom has a work about non-literary matters so profoundly influenced actors, directors, critics and teachers. (For their impact on readings, stagings and artistic reflections of *The Tempest*, the only comparable texts are Darwin’s and Freud’s.) Mannoni examined the basic patterns of temperament and behaviour of Madagascar’s French colonizers and its indigenous population (Malagasies), which, as a trained psychoanalyst and experienced civil administrator on the island, he seemed uniquely qualified to render. Mannoni also had a keen eye for English literary symbols. In a brief but suggestive chapter on ‘Crusoe and Prospero’ he employed those fictional islanders to illustrate some of his major findings (see Appendix 2.3).

Although Mannoni’s book was a complex analysis of colonial interaction in Madagascar alone, its ultimate concern was with the personality types he believed to be generated by colonial contexts: on the one hand were domineering, callous, neurotic colonizers; on the other were submissive natives, racked by ambivalence over their acceptance of western values and their rejection of indigenous culture, and subconsciously resentful of their conquerors and even of themselves. Despite some heated criticism, especially of its portrayal of the Malagasies, social and political commentators eagerly applied *Prospero and Caliban* to modern colonial contexts in Africa, Asia and Latin America. Literary critics simultaneously applied Mannoni’s models to seventeenth-century Anglo-America. Prospero’s ‘inferiority complex’, a common phenomenon (according to Mannoni) among French colonists, explained his irritability, authoritarianism and manipulation; Caliban’s ‘dependency complex’, like that of the Malagasies, shaped his early devotion to Prospero and Miranda, his pandering to Stephano and Trinculo, and his eventual rebellion. Soon after 1950, post-colonial interpretations of *The Tempest*, often with acknowledgement of Mannoni’s influence, dominated stages and studies around the world.

1 Mannoni had been writing for several years before 1950 about the personality types he believed to be connected with colonialism, but he did not apply *The Tempest* metaphor until his book of that year.
Invigorated partly by *Prospero and Caliban* and partly by the social turbulence of the 1960s and 1970s, American scholars took renewed interest in *The Tempest*’s sociohistorical implications. Leslie Fiedler’s ‘prophetic’ interpretation reflects one type of response. Fiedler saw in Prospero’s rout of the Caliban–Trinculo–Stephano cabal ‘the whole history of imperialist America’, and in Caliban ‘a kind of subhuman freak imagined in Europe even before the discovery of red men in America: the *homme sauvage* or “savage man”, who in the nightmares of Mediterranean humanists, had been endowed with sexual powers vastly in excess of their own. Such monstrous virility Shakespeare attributes to Caliban, associating him not with cannibalism, after all, but with unbridled lust’ (Fiedler, 238, 234; see also Marx).

American and English scholars continue to incorporate the colonial paradigm, though seldom with Fiedler’s expansiveness and sometimes with as much indebtedness to Lee as to Mannoni. A few examples will illustrate the point. *The Tempest* is ‘about colonisation’, Philip Brockbank wrote in 1966, and Caliban is partly a personification of the anarchic colonists but partly too ‘the epitome of the primitive and uncivilised condition of the native American’ (Brockbank, 184, 192). Nearly a decade later, Gordon Zeeveld adjudged Caliban to be ‘Shakespeare’s sole representation of the human population of the New World’ (Zeeveld, 250). The influence of Mannoni and of prophetic readings are more palpably expressed by an American historian who suggested that ‘[i]n an uncanny way, America became a larger theater for *The Tempest* . . . As Englishmen made their “errand into the wilderness” of America, they took lands from red Calibans and made black Calibans work for them’. Caliban, however, need not be limited in time, place, or ethnicity; as a representative figure of America’s exploited peoples, he ‘could be African, Indian, or even Asian’ (Takaki, 12, 11).

The metaphoric appropriations of *The Tempest* in Latin
America after 1950 acknowledged Ariel’s and Caliban’s roles but emphatically altered them. The most prolific and influential advocate of the new model is a Cuban, Roberto Fernández Retamar, whose essay ‘Caliban’ initially appeared in Spanish and subsequently in several English editions. Speaking for Latin Americans generally but for Caribbean peoples especially, Fernández Retamar proposed in 1969 that:

Our symbol . . . is not Ariel, as Rodó thought, but Caliban. This is something that we, the *mestizo* inhabitants of these same isles where Caliban lived, see with particular clarity: Prospero invaded the islands, killed our ancestors, enslaved Caliban, and taught him his language to make himself understood. What else can Caliban do but use that same language – today he has no other – to curse him, to wish that the ‘red plague’ would fall on him? I know no other metaphor more expressive of our cultural situation, of our reality . . . [W]hat is our history, what is our culture, if not the history and culture of Caliban?

(Fernández Retamar, 24)

Elsewhere in the Caribbean, George Lamming of Barbados had already published a quasi-autobiographical novel, *The Pleasures of Exile* (1960), that drew abundantly on *The Tempest’s* plot and characters. Edward Kamau Brathwaite, also of Barbados, titled one poem ‘Caliban’, another ‘Letter Sycorax’ (an epistle to his own mother, thereby identifying himself with Caliban); in an essay on the Jamaican slave revolt of the 1830s, he expanded the customary colonialist metaphors to include Alonso as representative of the British parliament and Gonzalo of the well-meaning but misguided Christian missionaries (see Brathwaite, ‘Caliban’, *Islands*).¹ Aimé Césaire of Martinique recast Shakespeare’s play

¹ Brathwaite’s poems have many *Tempest* allusions, not only in his early works but also in his later publications, especially *Middle Passages* (Newcastle-upon-Tyne, 1992), which includes ‘Letter Sycorax’.
introduction into his own *Une Tempête*, with Caliban an African field hand and Ariel a mulatto house servant (Césaire; Vaughan, *Caliban*, 156).

In 1971 the *Massachusetts Review* celebrated the new Latin American appropriation of *The Tempest* in a special issue, subtitled ‘Caliban’. The journal contains a score of articles (including a reprint of Fernández Retamar’s essay), poems, short stories and reproductions of original art; all contributions, the editor proclaims, are ‘a contemporary echo of the rebellious Antillean slave in Shakespeare’s final play’, in which Caliban symbolizes ‘a struggle for liberation and cultural authenticity . . . [a]gainst the hegemonic, europocentric, vision of the universe’ (see Márquez).

*Tempest* metaphors, especially of Caliban and Prospero, also emerged in the 1970s in Africa. Taban lo Liyong of Uganda observed ironically that:

Bill Shakespeare
Did create a character called Caliban,
The unwilling servant of Prospero,
And this Caliban would have had Miranda
– She who is a marvel to behold – a girl
So much in need of love and for whom
Ferdinand was a wonder from a brave new world,
And who would have helped Caliban populate the island
With little Calibans smelling like fish
Had Prospero not fouled their plan.

The poet then identified his own writing with Caliban cursing in another culture’s language (Liyong, 41). Also within the decade, Lemuel Johnson of Sierra Leone titled a collection of his poems *Highlife for Caliban*; Ngugi Wa Thiong’o (James Ngugi) of Kenya invoked *Tempest* themes in his *Homecoming*; and a play by David Wallace of Zambia, *Do You Love Me, Master?*, incorporated *Tempest* characters and borrowed its title from Ariel’s query to Prospero in 4.1.48. The almost universal identification
of Caliban with dispossessed Native Americans or Africans has meant that he is often portrayed on stage by a black actor, that productions emphasize his anger and victimization, and that he often, by himself or in juxtaposition to Prospero, is *The Tempest*’s central symbol.  

Only in Anglophone Canada and occasionally in Australia and New Zealand did Caliban fail to dominate late twentieth-century symbolic borrowings from *The Tempest* or to share the spotlight with Prospero. Although some Canadian scholars, especially those of African, Caribbean or French ancestry, adopted Caliban as their emblem of colonial victimization, his role in late twentieth-century literary symbolism was often subordinated to Miranda’s.

**Re-enter Miranda**

In the conclusion to his 1987 analysis of post-colonial appropriations of *The Tempest*, Rob Nixon speculated that ‘the play’s declining pertinence to contemporary Africa and the Caribbean has been exacerbated by the difficulty of wrestling from it any role for female defiance or leadership’ (Nixon, 577). Miranda, after all, is the only female figure who actually appears in the text: Claribel and Sycorax are referred to but never materialize, while Ariel’s sex – if spirits have sexual identity – is ambiguous. Miranda is the dutiful daughter of the white colonizer; she eagerly agrees to marry the man he has selected for her and relishes her role as the foundress of Prospero’s future dynasty.

Yet for feminist writers, as for post-colonial adapters, *The Tempest* proved a rich resource for appropriations that revise, reshape and refocus. As early as 1949, the imagist poet H.D. (Hilda Doolittle) adopted Claribel as her spokeswoman in a two-part poem, *By Avon River*. Because Claribel’s marriage to the African King of Tunis precipitates the Neapolitans’ sea voyage...
and subsequent adventures, she is, for H.D., ‘the figure of the exiled, alienated woman’; abandoned in an alien world, Claribel represented the plight of the twentieth-century female artist (Chedgzoy, 109).

Several late twentieth-century Canadian novelists found in Miranda a model for their experience as Anglophone women. To Diana Brydon, Miranda’s situation is Canadian; she is ‘attempting to create a neo-Europe in an invaded land, torn between Old World fathers and suitors while unable to ignore the just grievances of those her culture is displacing’ (Brydon, ‘Sister’, 166). Morag, the Miranda-like heroine of Margaret Laurence’s *The Diviners*, never openly rebels, but bides her time instead. This temporizing, Brydon suggests, is how the Empire worked itself out in Anglophone Canada and New Zealand. In Constance Beresford-Howe’s *Prospero’s Daughter*, the Canadian-born Prospero figure has two daughters: Paulina (named perhaps for her outspoken counterpart in *The Winter’s Tale*) is the outgoing, wayward sibling, an actor with a botched personal life; her shy sister Nan acts as a servant, cooking meals and cleaning for her father. Prospero’s self-obsession is wrong-headed, arrogant and ultimately destructive. The figure of a resistant Miranda is also central to Sarah Murphy’s *The Measure of Miranda*, which describes the young Canadian Miranda’s sacrifice of her own life to blow up a Central American dictator after she discovers photographs of the tortures he had committed. In Brydon’s words, for ‘Murphy’s Miranda violent rebellion must entail self-destruction, because she is part of the system she rejects’ (Brydon, ‘Sister’, 176). All three Canadian Miranda figures remain trapped by the patriarchal structure.

Another way to make *The Tempest’s* narrative reflect the feminine voice is to create a human, female Ariel. In *Indigo*, by the British writer Marina Warner, Shakespeare’s sprite is an Arawak Indian who sleeps with the invading white enemy, Sir Christopher Everard (Warner’s Prospero figure), and bears his child. Ariel becomes complicit with the colonizer when she res-
cues Everard from the black slave Caliban’s armed rebellion. The novel’s conclusion shifts 350 years to the future; Ariel and Everard’s descendant, a mulatto Miranda, successfully finds a multiracial identity despite colonialism’s painful legacy.

Yet another tactic, adopted by the Indian-born poet Suniti Namjoshi, is to feminize Caliban. In her poetic sequence, ‘Snapshots of Caliban’, Namjoshi uses *The Tempest*’s characters to explore issues of gender identity. Namjoshi’s Miranda and Caliban, a female childhood playmate, grow through immature misunderstandings into lesbian lovers whom Prospero can never understand or acknowledge as his own.

Post-colonial authors such as Laurence, Beresford-Howe, Murphy, Warner and Namjoshi reminded their readers that appropriations of *The Tempest* need not be male-centred. For women as well as men, Shakespeare’s text can be a catalyst for imaginative reconsiderations of the role of formerly colonized peoples in a post-colonial world.¹

**Freudian influences**

Adaptations and appropriations of *The Tempest* have not been limited to the sociopolitical realm; the play is equally susceptible to modern conceptions of human psychology. Not surprisingly, then, as Freud’s theories about the subconscious mind seeped into twentieth-century culture, they were bound to reshape interpretations of Shakespeare’s characters. Ariel and Caliban came to be seen as embodiments of Prospero’s subconscious mind; in its most reductive form, Ariel is his superego, Caliban his libido.

W.H. Auden’s poetic commentary on *The Tempest*, composed during the dark days of World War II, focuses on Prospero’s relationship to the libidinous Caliban. He begins his poem with Prospero’s words to Ariel after the play’s finale; as he packs and

¹ The emergence of a feminist perspective on appropriations of *The Tempest* in Latin America is suggested by *Daughters of Caliban: Caribbean Women in the Twentieth Century*, ed. Consuelo López Springfield (Bloomington, Ind., 1998).
prepares to leave for Milan, Prospero concludes that ‘In all, things have turned out better / Than I once expected or ever deserved’. Now Prospero claims he knows what magic is: ‘the power to enchant / That comes from disillusion’. His only disappointment with the way things have sorted themselves out is with Caliban, his ‘impervious disgrace’. After Prospero says good-bye to Ariel, the poem shifts to the supporting actors who reflect sotto voce on their experiences. Antonio begins, resigned to his loss but determined never to yield, to remain ‘By choice myself alone’ (Auden, 312–14, 318). Caught up in their individual worlds, the other characters echo this theme. These dramatic monologues depend, of course, on the reader’s knowledge of Shakespeare’s original: Alonso advises Ferdinand how to be a good king; Ferdinand and Miranda profess their mutual devotion; the Boatswain extols the sailor’s life; Trinculo resolves to continue fooling; and so forth. Gone from Auden’s poetic commentary is MacKaye’s buoyant optimism; in its place are lowered expectations and the willingness to make do with the ‘darkness that we acknowledge ours’.

Auden’s representation of Caliban as Prospero’s mirrored face – the magus’s dark and secret self – embodies libidinous forces that are normally repressed behind veneers of civility. Caliban as ‘id’ became a palpable thread in twentieth-century psychoanalytic interpretations of The Tempest, a notion more dramatically presented in the 1956 science-fiction film, Forbidden Planet. Now a cult classic, this postwar film transports its Prospero figure to Altair–IV, a distant planet, where Professor Morbius (Walter Pidgeon) continues his scientific investigations, builds robots (Robby, the film’s Ariel) and raises his daughter Altaira (the Miranda figure played by Anne Francis). When a spaceship from Earth invades the planet, Altaira falls in love with its handsome captain (Leslie Nielson), but their romance is threatened by an invisible force that nearly

1 On Auden’s poem, see Herman Servotte’s essay in Constellation, 199–210.
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destroys the spaceship and kills several of its crew. The dramatic finale reveals that the mayhem is caused by the Professor’s own inner psyche, projected on to an electromagnetic force (Caliban), which implements Morbius’s repressed anger at the man who would take his daughter and jealousy at her love for another man. Only with the destruction of Professor Morbius can the Calibanic force be quelled (see Constellation, 211–29).

The most successful twentieth-century musical adaptation of The Tempest also adopted a Freudian theme. Mangus, the psychoanalyst in Michael Tippett’s 1971 opera, The Knot Garden, uses situations from The Tempest in his therapy sessions and pretends to be Prospero. Mel, the Caliban figure, represents sexual desire, while Dov, the opera’s Ariel, is a musician who is associated with imagination in the opera’s libretto. In the finale, Mangus abandons his therapy and addresses the audience:

Enough! Enough!
We look in the abyss.
Lust for Caliban will not save us.
Prospero’s a fake, we all know that.

(Tippett, 14)

Despite this disillusionment, Mangus’s therapeutic tempest succeeds, and the opera concludes with a harmonious scene between Faber and Thea, the patients he had been trying to help.

‘The Tempest’ on stage and film since 1900

The interpretive patterns outlined here were bound to affect stage interpretations if only by cultural osmosis. A brief survey of memorable performances of The Tempest in the twentieth century illustrates how directors and actors have been affected by the broad interpretive trends that shaped the era’s adaptations.

1 In the mid-1980s, Bob Carlton’s rock-and-roll musical, Return to the Forbidden Planet, capitalized on the film’s popularity, but also incorporated lines from several other Shakespeare plays (see Carlton).
For the first third of the century, the Darwinian approach suggested by Tree and MacKaye remained dominant. Robert Atkins's Caliban at the Old Vic (1920–5) was praised for showing 'with superlative art the malevolent brute nature with the dim, half-formed, human intellect just breaking through' (Crosse, 58). As late as 1957, Alec Clunes's Caliban for Peter Hall's *The Tempest* at the Royal Shakespeare Theatre in Stratford and later at Drury Lane was described variously as a 'gorilla', 'apish', 'anthropoid' and a 'missing-link'. The reviewer for the *Barnet Press* (21 December 1957) wondered 'why, when every reference to Caliban (Alec Clunes) is “Fishy,” should he be so ape-like?' Contrasting with missing-link Calibans was Prospero, the arch representative of European civilization. At the Old Vic in 1930, for instance, John Gielgud's costume for his first venture in the role included a turban; he later confessed that he tried to look like Dante (Hirst, 46).

As the Darwinian Caliban faded, the role opened to modern nuances. In 1934 Roger Livesey (opposite Charles Laughton's Prospero) was probably the first actor to use black makeup in the role, according to Trevor R. Griffiths, but 'this excited virtually no comment, except for complaints that the black came off on Trinculo and Stephano' (Griffiths, 175). In 1945 the African-American actor Canada Lee performed Caliban in Margaret Webster's *The Tempest* for the Theatre Guild, New York. Lee's wife described him: 'In a costume of fish scales and long fingernails, Lee first appeared onstage bent over in a hump back position akin to Richard III; the audience subsequently thought of him only in that curved position, even when he stood tall'. In 1960 Earle Hyman took the role at the American Shakespeare Festival in Connecticut, dressed with padded legs and torso, and with a grotesque headdress; in 1962 James Earl Jones played the monster as a lizard with darting red tongue.

While the presence of black actors in Caliban’s role, however
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grotesque their costuming, subtly implied black–white power relations in the play, not until 1970 did *The Tempest’s* colonial themes fully emerge on stage. Director Jonathan Miller read Mannoni’s *Prospero and Caliban* before preparing his production; Miller’s goal, reported by David Hirst, was to represent ‘the tragic and inevitable disintegration of a more primitive culture as the result of European invasion and colonisation’ (Hirst, 50). Prospero was the colonial governor, Ariel his mulatto house servant and Caliban his darker field hand. Graham Crowden’s Prospero showed the magus’s dictatorial side. In a final scene reminiscent of Tree but laden with new meaning, Caliban shook his fist at the departing ship as Ariel lifted Prospero’s bent staff and began to straighten it: one native rejected western technology, the other sought to appropriate it.

The RSC’s 1978 *The Tempest*, directed by Clifford Williams, broadened the colonial concept by making David Suchet’s Caliban into a generic third-world ‘primitive’, with characteristics of both West Indian and sub-Saharan Africans. *The Times* of London (3 May 1978) saw Caliban as ‘a sympathetic emblem of imperialistic exploitation, . . . a noble black . . . speaking the language with the too-perfect precision of an alien’. Michael Hordern’s Prospero wore an academic gown and exuded a ‘schoolmasterly’ manner but, in contrast to Prosperos of the previous century, his control of the island’s inhabitants was tenuous.

As these productions demonstrate, modern directors have found *The Tempest’s* colonial overtones appealing, and they are still evident in some directors’ political perspectives. More difficult to translate to the stage are twentieth-century psychoanalytic readings of the play influenced by Freud. Director Gerald Freedman attempted one in 1981 at the American Shakespeare Theatre. In the programme notes he professed to:

see Caliban and Ariel . . . as aspects of Prospero’s character. Some of the libidinal aspects of his feelings are
embodied in Caliban, and it breaks Prospero’s heart that he cannot control them. . . . Ariel represents the best aspects of the artist – the creative muse – the part that takes wing at thought.

(Review in *SQ*, 31 (1980), 190–1)

Freedman depicted this psychic opposition by having Ariel performed by a white actor in silver, Caliban by a black actor in brown. But as the reviewer for *Shakespeare Quarterly* noted, the allegorical meaning was not clear to an audience that had not been alerted to it in advance.

The RSC’s 1982 *The Tempest*, directed by Ron Daniels, suggested a similar psychological approach. Mark Rylance’s Ariel – punk-haired and clad in a rainbow-hued body suit – was accompanied by five doubles who served as the play’s nymphs, dogs and the like. Bob Peck’s Caliban, naked except for a loin cloth, wore Rastafarian dreadlocks. The *Oxford Mail*’s reviewer took Rylance’s quicksilver Ariel and Peck’s earthy Caliban to be ‘extensions of Prospero’s own personality’ (12 August 1982). The colour coding of Ariel (white body-paint) and Caliban (charcoal body-paint) in Adrian Noble’s 1998 RSC production may also have been an effort to show the characters as opposing aspects of Prospero’s psyche, but the resulting contrast remained superficial.

The most successful psychoanalytic performance of *The Tempest* may have occurred when its text was almost wholly abandoned. Peter Brook’s 1968 experiment at the Round House in London suggested the play’s plot and themes through mime and movement. Sycorax was ‘portrayed by an enormous woman able to expand her face and body to still larger proportions – a fantastic emblem of the grotesque’; she ‘[s]uddenly . . . gives a horrendous yell, and Caliban, with black sweater over his head, emerges from between her legs: Evil is born’ (Croydon, 127). As the action progresses, Caliban takes over the island and leads his followers in a wild orgy. They capture Prospero and attack him in a scene suggestive of homosexual rape until Ariel diverts the
devils with ribbons, costumes and trinkets. After Ferdinand and Miranda are married, Prospero admits to forgetting the rest of the plot and the play ends with an epilogue recited by all the actors, who then depart, leaving an empty space with no dimmed lighting and no curtain. This experimental adaptation, like a nightmare come to life, suggested the violent impulses below the surface of Shakespeare’s text.

Brook’s abandonment of Shakespeare’s words for miming actors in turtlenecks and kimonos took the play outside its text. Other directors have been more concerned to restore to the play some of its original impact. At particular issue is the masque, a form that was richly nuanced to a Jacobean audience but whose iconographic significance is often lost on modern viewers. Influenced by the recent publication of Stephen Orgel and Roy Strong’s compendium of Inigo Jones’s drawings, Peter Hall costumed his Prospero in the 1974 National Theatre production to resemble the Elizabethan astrologer John Dee and, in an elaborately staged masque, made Juno resemble the dead Queen Elizabeth. Hall tried to recreate the masque’s exploitation of visual symbolism by directing Gielgud never to look at Ariel, who appeared suspended on a trapeze-like object, or behind the magician as part of Prospero’s consciousness, and by having Caliban (Dennis Quilley) appear in bisected makeup; ‘one half of his face presented the ugly deformed monster, the other an image of the noble savage’ (Hirst, 48).

John Wood’s Prospero at the RSC (1988), directed by Nicholas Hytner, emphasized the magician’s human complexity. Described in the Financial Times (28 July 1988) as ‘a demented stage manager on a theatrical island suspended between smouldering rage at his usurpation and unbridled glee at his alternative ethereal power’, Wood’s modern-dress magus was awkward and uneasy with people, plagued by internal conflicts that he could never wholly resolve.

Sam Mendes’ 1993 RSC production also emphasized the play’s fusion of magic and spectacle. Although the most contro-
versial stage business – Ariel (Simon Russell Beale) spitting at Prospero (Alec McCowen) after he is granted freedom – was dropped early in the run, Ariel’s resentment remained palpable (see Fig. 5); Caliban’s (David Troughton’s) fleshy malevolence could not compete. The production’s self-reflexive use of theatrical magic was also notable. The play began with Ariel, clad in a blue Mao-style suit, rising like a jack-in-the-box from a theatrical trunk; the storm commenced when he gently pushed a lantern overhead, its sway suggesting the ship’s movement. Trinculo was a Yorkshire ventriloquist, complete with talking dummy. The Guardian (13 August 1993) described Prospero as ‘a Victorian dramatist–director writing his own script as he goes along’ and the play as ‘a series of shifting illusions’. The set was minimal: piles of books and a ladder represented Prospero’s study, and most of the action took place on a bare stage. The masque, by contrast, was performed from an elaborately painted Victorian toy stage with twirling mechanical dolls.

Unlike theatrical productions which run for a short season to limited audiences, twentieth-century screen versions of The Tempest have been more widely disseminated. A 1905 film of the play’s opening shipwreck may have been initially designed to be shown with Beerbohm Tree’s touring productions of The Tempest, saving the cost of transporting heavy sets and equipment (see pp. 93–5), but under the sponsorship of film entrepreneur Charles Urban, the two-minute film was shown for 143 performances in London and taken to America for independent exhibition (Ball, 30–2).

Like many Shakespearean plays, The Tempest was a staple of early BBC productions for television, but those renditions were essentially filmed stagings. The last major effort in this vein was the BBC / Time-Life version of 1979, a straightforward and mundane performance featuring a befuddled Prospero (Michael Hordern), a hairy, apish Caliban (Warren Clarke) and an androgynous, disappearing gold-laméed Ariel (David Dixon). Despite the production’s lack of imagination, it demonstrates
Prospero’s recent unravelling. No longer all-wise and benevolent, the modern Prospero is troubled by anxiety and anger. He seeks revenge for past wrongs; self-centred, he shows little patience or sensitivity with Ariel, Miranda or Caliban. He often seems aloof at the play’s happy conclusion.

More imaginative and ‘contemporary’, Paul Mazursky’s 1982 film adaptation of The Tempest chronicles a late twentieth-century, conflicted, middle-aged Prospero; New York architect Phillip Dimitrious (John Cassavetes) is fed up with his job, his boss and his wife. With his teenage daughter Miranda (Molly Ringwald), Phillip flees to an abandoned Greek island where, through interactions with the island’s only inhabitant, Kalibanos (Raul Julia), and with Aretha (Susan Sarandon), a newly acquired companion, he finds himself. This adaptation hints strongly at the dangerous possibility of incest on Phillip’s secluded island. In a noisy confrontation Kalibanos asks Phillip which of them is going to have sex with Miranda. Ferdinand’s timely arrival, by yacht, resolves the dilemma of Miranda’s blooming sexuality and Phillip’s incestuous temptation.

Derek Jarman’s 1980 film of The Tempest keeps more of Shakespeare’s language, but it, too, is more overt about the play’s multiple sexualities than was the original text. Jarman’s focus is unabashedly gay. In a mimed flashback he shows Caliban (Jack Birkett) practising obscene rites with his naked mother Sycorax, while a tied-up Ariel looks on. Karl Johnson’s Ariel projects the image of a feminized gay male, while Caliban seems more like an aging ‘queen’. Toyah Willcox’s Miranda, unlike most stage versions, is too sophisticated to take Caliban seriously. Annoyed, not frightened, she throws a sponge at him when he sneaks up on her while she’s bathing.

Tension between a tyrannical Prospero and an openly rebellious Ariel animates Jarman’s film. Heathcote Williams was a dabbler in the occult himself, and his Prospero is a dark, brooding figure who takes pleasure in exploiting both his servants. Filmed in the Palladian Stoneleigh Manor, this Tempest exudes an atmosphere
that reflects the magus’s inner life – dark and shadowy. Only the vibrant masque scene is brilliantly lit for Elisabeth Welsh’s stunning rendition of ‘Stormy Weather’. As the film ends, Prospero falls asleep in his chair while Ariel sneaks away to freedom.¹

The most flamboyant twentieth-century representation of Prospero was Peter Greenaway’s 1991 film, Prospero’s Books. To Greenaway, Prospero is not simply a ‘master manipulator’ but a ‘prime originator’ (Greenaway, 9). Greenaway presents the text of The Tempest as Prospero’s vision and creation; through a changing panorama of mirror images, the magus creates the characters and the action, writing the play’s text in calligraphy as he thinks it, voicing the lines of characters as he imagines them (see Fig. 19). John Gielgud, who had performed Prospero many times in Stratford and London, was assigned in Greenaway’s film the ultimate acting opportunity: in a vehicle screened all over the world, he could, like Bottom, play all the parts.

The keys to Prospero’s power in Greenaway’s version are the books Gonzalo rescued from his library and shipped with Prospero and Miranda to the island. Twenty-four in all (plus the Tempest text Prospero is writing), they include books on water, mirrors, mythology, colour, geography, travel, architecture, languages, biology, botany, love, pornography – everything, in short, that a Renaissance intellectual was likely to find of interest. Images from these books form the connecting tissue of the film; as Prospero moves from memories of his past to plotting vengeance in the present and, finally, to forgiveness and reconciliation, his ideas emanate from the pages of his books.

¹ For more information on Jarman’s The Tempest, see his autobiography, Dancing Ledge (New York, 1994); Michael O’Pray, Derek Jarman: Dreams of England (London, 1996); Colin McCabe, ‘A post-national European cinema: a consideration of Derek Jarman’s The Tempest and Edward II’, in Screening Europe: Image and Identity in Contemporary European Cinema, ed. Duncan Petrie (London, 1992), 9–18; and David Hawkes, ‘“The shadow of this time”: the Renaissance cinema of Derek Jarman’, in By Angels Driven: The Films of Derek Jarman (Westport, Conn., 1996), 103–16. Far less successful was a made-for-television adaptation of The Tempest aired in the United States on 13 December 1998, and set during the American Civil War. Prospero’s (Peter Fonda’s) magic was African voodoo, learned from Ariel’s mother, a slave on his Louisiana Plantation; Caliban was white, swamp-bound ‘gator man’. 
Greenaway explores visually the play’s interrogation of ‘civility’. His islanders are naked, partly to suggest the naked Indians of Roanoke painted by John White, partly to represent Renaissance conceptions of mythological figures. In contrast to the islanders’ uninhibited nudity are the Europeans’ extravagant costumes; their dark colours, huge ruffs and high hats suggest Rembrandt’s heavily attired aristocrats.

Throughout the film, Gielgud’s majestic voice delivers all the play’s speeches. The dramatic climax comes in the final scenes, when the perspective shifts: Ariel usurps Prospero’s writing tables, takes over the script and writes the lines from 5.1.17–20: ‘Your charm so strongly works ’em. . .’. After quietly agreeing that his affections will also become tender – ‘mine shall’ – Prospero (Greenaway explains) ‘closes all the books in his study . . . blows out the candles, picks up his staff/crozier . . . and leaves the study and closes its curtains’ (151). In the shots that follow, he throws his books into the ocean; except for Thirty-Six
Plays by William Shakespeare and the script of The Tempest, which are grabbed by Caliban, all sink. The film closes as it began, with a single drop of water. To Greenaway, the island is an illusion as evanescent as that single drop:

an island full of superimposed images, of shifting mirrors and mirror-images – true mirages – where pictures conjured by text can be as tantalisingly substantial as objects and facts and events, constantly framed and re-framed. This framing and re-framing becomes like the text itself – a motif – reminding the viewer that it is all an illusion constantly fitted into a rectangle . . . into a picture frame, a film frame.

(Greenaway, 12)

The visual influence of Greenaway’s conception seemed readily apparent in the American Repertory Theatre’s (A.R.T.) 1995 stage production in Cambridge, Massachusetts, when the Neapolitans appeared in similar be-ruffed black costumes. The natives (Ariel, Caliban and Miranda) in contrast wore very little, though they did not resort to frontal nudity. This eclectic production, directed by Ron Daniels, combined Greenaway’s visual imagery with a colonial theme in which the masque imitated a South American carnival dance and Ferdinand resembled a Spanish conquistador.

The A.R.T. production was also reminiscent of George C. Wolfe’s production in Central Park for the New York Shakespeare Festival. That Tempest sported a Prospero as Robinson Crusoe in cut-offs, beads and an open shirt (see Fig. 20). Science-fiction fans flocked to see Star Trek’s Patrick Stewart as Prospero in this outdoor production and found a magus ‘whose intellect and emotions were in conflict, still enraged and resentful after all these years, perpetually between simmering fury and boiling point’ (Ranald, 10). The casting of the sensual African–American actress Aunjanue Ellis as Ariel charged the production with erotic tensions that made Prospero’s release of his servant all the more
Patrick Stewart as a pensive Prospero in George C. Wolfe’s 1995 production for the New York Shakespeare Festival
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difficult. Prospero’s masque was memorably rendered by three Brazilian stiltwalkers as the goddesses Iris, Ceres and Juno; they were accompanied by dancers with puppets that moved and chanted to calypso beat. After awkwardly joining the dancers, Prospero remembered Caliban’s conspiracy; the figures vanished as quickly as they had appeared.

The Shakespeare Theatre’s (Washington, D.C.) 1997 production, directed by Garland Wright, presented Prospero’s cell as the library of an eighteenth-century philosophe. In addition to stacks of books, like a Wunderkabinet its glassed-in shelves stored bones, fossils and other natural curiosities. Ted van Griethuysen’s Prospero took emotional charge of the play, exuding vengeful anger from his first appearance. Inspired by Wallace Acton’s compassionate Ariel, this humanized Prospero anguished over the decision to forgive but found he had no choice. Despite casting an African–American actor as a dreadlocked Caliban, Wright’s Tempest eschewed colonial resonances in favour of an exploration of Prospero’s psychology.

Many late twentieth-century productions also highlighted The Tempest’s underlying sexual tensions, in various combinations: Prospero and Miranda, Prospero and Ariel, Miranda and Caliban, even Caliban and Trinculo, and, newly eroticized, Miranda and Ferdinand. This emphasis on the play’s sexuality marks a striking change from eighteenth-century and Victorian Tempests, which were almost perversely asexual. But in adaptations and appropriations of the 1970s and beyond, such as Jarman’s homo-erotic Ariel and Caliban, Greenaway’s close-up images of naked islanders and Namjoshi’s feminized, lesbian Caliban, the erotic component is palpable. Even in late twentieth-century commentaries on the Folio version, The Tempest’s implicit sexual tensions often achieved a level of attention that sharply set them off from earlier analyses – the gay perspectives, for example, of Kate Chedgzoy’s Shakespeare’s Queer Children and Jonathan Goldberg’s ‘Under the covers with Caliban’.
Modern productions are equally candid about the painful legacy of Europe's colonial past. Though the colonial theme is far less prominent than it was during the 1980s, it nevertheless underlies most theatrical productions and appropriations. Critical commentary has not generally followed suit, with the New Historicist insistence on *The Tempest's* colonialist inspiration and controlling energy coming increasingly under question for underestimating the play's classical roots and European contexts.¹

*The Tempest* for the twenty-first century, in sum, may be more conflict-ridden than ever before. Recent productions have emphasized the visceral over the lyrical, the text's underlying violence rather than its reconciliations, and the modern prophetic political context more than the politics of Shakespeare's day. Whether it is set on a distant planet or a tropical island, the contemporary *Tempest* embodies the pertinent issues of our time: the brutal realities of individual and collective power, the bitter legacy of colonialism and slavery, the difficulty of releasing the female body from male inscription and control, and the misunderstandings and violence that often accompany cultural exchange. *The Tempest* has evolved in diverse and sometimes radical ways from the polite *double entendres* of Dryden and Davenant and, indeed, from the optimistic progressivism of Percy MacKaye.

**THE TEXT**

Why *The Tempest* was given pride of place in the Folio of 1623 is one of the book's minor mysteries. *The Tempest's* relative brevity may have made it a fitting starter for the Folio's compositors or, perhaps, a late play that had not been printed previously appeared more likely to convert browsers into buyers than would an old standby. The Folio's preface reminded readers

---

¹ See especially Skura; McDonald; and Kastan.
that ‘the fate of all Bookes depends vpon your capacities: and not of your heads alone, but of your purses . . . [W]hat euer you do, Buy’. In any event, The Tempest was the first text that the blind printer, William Jaggard, assigned to his compositors in February 1622 when work began on John Heminge and Henry Condell’s collection of thirty-six dramas by their late theatrical colleague. After nearly two years of labour, with William Jaggard’s son Isaac by then in charge after his father’s death, Mr. William Shakespeares Comedies, Histories, & Tragedies. Published according to the True Originall Copies was available to the public for approximately fifteen shillings unbound and perhaps a pound for a copy in calf binding (Blayney, Folio, 25–32).

More than three centuries later, Charlton Hinman, the foremost authority on the mechanics of printing the Folio, demonstrated persuasively that special care was given to The Tempest and particularly to its first page. In a deviation from the normal order of printing that worked from the inside of the first twelve-page gathering (of the nineteen pages used for The Tempest) to the outer pages, Jaggard’s compositors set the opening page first and then corrected the proofs at least four times, as revealed by subsequent changes in the surviving copies. Such a sequence and such proofing were not characteristic of the volume as a whole; The Tempest is generally acknowledged to be the cleanest of Shakespeare’s early printed texts.¹

Three compositors worked on The Tempest. Hinman’s ‘Compositor B’, an experienced but sometimes careless journeyman in Jaggard’s shop, who may have been given special responsibility for the entire volume, set the opening page and six more (Blayney, Folio, 11). The play’s other compositors, Hinman’s C and F, were also full-time employees and experienced printers. Each worked from his own type case, and once the play’s

¹ The major modern works on the Folio are Charlton Hinman’s exhaustive comparison of fifty-five of the Folger Shakespeare Library’s copies; Peter W.M. Blayney’s Catalogue to the Folger Library’s exhibition on ‘The First Folio of Shakespeare’; and Blayney’s Introduction to the second edition of The Norton Facsimile of the Folio.
opening page was deemed acceptable, there seems to have been little difficulty in dividing up the text so that it came out fairly evenly and clearly. Only a few pages (Folio, 15, for example) show the crowding of text and stage directions that reflect a misjudgement of the required space.1

Ralph Crane’s manuscript

The manuscript used by the compositors has been identified as one of six prepared in the early 1620s by the legal scrivener Ralph Crane specifically, it seems certain, for the Folio project. (The others are The Two Gentlemen of Verona, The Winter’s Tale, Merry Wives of Windsor, Measure for Measure and, as E.A.J. Honigmann recently established, Othello (Honigmann, 59–76).) Crane probably copied from Shakespeare’s own rough draft, or possibly a copy of it, rather than from prompt copy, which would have been more helpful to actors than to readers (Jowett, 109). Prompt copy, with its barely legible insertions, deletions and impromptu stage directions would have posed serious problems for the typesetters.

Thanks to important research on his extant manuscripts by Trevor Howard-Hill and Ernst Honigmann, Crane’s habits are now fairly clear, and speculation about how they shaped Shakespeare’s printed texts can be made with some confidence. Because Crane started his career as a lawyer’s clerk and only turned late in life to dramatic copying (in his sixties when work began on the Folio), he was old enough to have his own opinions about dramatic format and may accordingly have served to some degree as an ‘editor’. Influenced by Ben Jonson’s classicism, Crane apparently sought to impose regularity upon the texts – Shakespeare’s and others’ – that he copied. Howard-Hill concludes that The Tempest was Crane’s ‘first play prepared for publication’, that the transcript was ‘literary by design not accident’, and that it was modelled on Jonson’s Folio of 1616, which

1 Improperly casting off copy occasionally caused difficulties elsewhere in the Folio; the resulting pages are either jammed with crowded lines or padded with extra space.
Jonson himself supervised (Howard-Hill, 'Editor', 128). Honigmann observes that 'Crane was neither humble nor faithful; he "improved" his transcripts, as he would see it, a creative or destructive role, depending on one's point of view' (75).

Crane's tidying of (presumably) Shakespeare's rough manuscript is perhaps reflected in the division of the text into acts and scenes, often with massed entries at a scene's beginning which list all the characters who will appear by its conclusion. Crane also habitually listed the play's *dramatis personae* at the end of the text. Like *The Two Gentlemen of Verona, Measure for Measure, Othello* and *The Winter's Tale*, the Folio's *The Tempest* concludes with a complete listing and brief description of each character or group of roles, sometimes with important information that appears to reflect his own judgement (see Fig. 21). The frequently quoted depictions of Caliban as 'A saluage and deformed slaue' and Ariel as 'an ayrie spirit', for example, may be Crane's interpretations of what he saw in performance rather than Shakespeare's descriptions of what he envisioned, although they could be both.¹

Another of Crane's telling traits is idiosyncratic punctuation. Most often noted are the frequent parentheses, especially for phrases of direct address; Crane seems to have reproduced the parentheses in the text he was copying and probably added to them.² He also employed numerous hyphenated forms, as in the Folio's 'wide-chopt-rascall', and made lavish use of apostrophes, often to indicate elision (e.g. 'do'st' for 'doest'). The scribe sometimes even 'used different forms of elision in transcripts of the same text' (Howard-Hill, *Crane*, 39–44, 106). The frequent compression of lines through elision sometimes poses difficulties for actors and editors, as in such tongue-twisting clusters as 'out

¹ On these matters, see Howard-Hill, *Crane*; and Roberts, 'Crane'.
² See Howard-Hill, 'Parentheses'. Persuasive evidence that the parentheses are Crane's rather than the compositors' is found in Kermode's tabulation of the distribution of the parentheses, which reveals no significant variation from one compositor to another; they were therefore probably present in the printer's clean copy (Ard², lxxxix); see also G. Wright.
The Tempest

And feke for grace: what a thric e double Alle
Was I to eke the thunnery of my god
And wou'de the dull flood?

Pro. Go your way, my lord. (Exit.)

Sub. The heavens, and below your luggers: where you
Sub. Or tholen under.

Pro. Sir, I inuite your Highneffe, and your grace
To my poo're Cell: where you shall take your seat.
For this one night, which pat a distingue, I take it
With such discoude, as proved may make it.
Goe quickly, sir; I am not bad by life.
And the particular accidents, gon by
Since I came to this isle: And in the breake
Ie bring you to your ship, and so to Naples.

Where I have hope to see the nuptials
Of the fair, and our dear-brother'd, folomnized,
And shone retire me to my Millaine, where
Every third thought shall be my grace.

Sub. I long,
To seare the story of your life; which must
Take the care stantly.

Pro. I'le deliver all,
And promise you calm seas, auspicious gales,
And safe, fo expeditious, that shall catch
Your Royal foot in face of off: My Ariel
That is thy charge: Then to the Elements
Be free, and fare thou well, a gisie you draw nearer.

Exeunt omnes.

EPILÔGVE,
spoken by Prospero.

Now my Charmes are allare-showers,
And through the Iame I have't mine own.
Which is most fume: now sit true
I'ull before confide by you,
Or sent to Naples, let men per
Since I have my Dukedom got,
And pardon'd the decretors, dwell
In this bare isle, by your spell,
But releafe me from my bands:
With the help of your good hands:
Gentle breath of yours, my Sailes
Must fill, or else my protest fizes,
Which was to pleafe: Now I want
Spirits to enforce: Art to sichant,
And my ending is defpaire,
Profit not, be rela'd by praser
Which pierce my, that trans faults
Mercy it selfe, and freest of faults.
As you from crimes would pardon'd be,
Let your indulgence fit me free.

FINIS.

The Scene, an uninhabited Island

Names of the Actors.

Along: K. of Naples;
Sorli from his Brother.
Prospero, the right Duke of Millaine.
Antonius his brother, the usurping Duke of Millaine.
Ferdinand, Son to the King of Naples.
Gonelle, an honest old Counsellor.
Adrian, Francisco, Lords.
Caliban, a deformed slave.
Trinculo, a Butler.
Stephano, a drunken Butler.
Maturer of a Ship.
Beau-Schames.
Marriners.
Miranda, daughter to Prospero.
Ariel, an airy spirit.
Iris.
Ceres
Luna
Nymphes
Reapers

Spirits.

The final page of The Tempest in Shakespeare’s Comedies, Histories, & Tragedies (1623), with the ‘EPILÔGVE’ and ‘Names of the Actors’ and, bleeding through from the verso side of the sheet, part of the title and text of The Two Gentlemen of Verona.
o’th’ substitution (1.2.103) and ‘wi’th’ King (1.2.112).¹ As A.C. Partridge contends, some of the contractions may have resulted from Crane’s efforts ‘to make the freer accentual measures more respectable in the eyes of Renaissance syllabic prosody; and behind this seems to loom the authority of Ben Jonson’ (A.C. Partridge, 85). Crane’s efforts to tidy the text, in sum, may have extended to the metre as well as to act and scene divisions. Whether the elided forms resulted from Shakespeare’s effort to compress language, Crane’s attempt to regularize the metre, or a compositor’s desire to save space can never be absolutely known. In any case, The Tempest includes a high proportion of irregular lines, a characteristic shared with Shakespeare’s other late plays.²

In copying dramatic manuscripts, Crane often inserted information that would have been especially helpful to a reader rather than an actor. Such interventions are also apparent in The Tempest’s elaborate stage directions, which are ‘qualitatively different from those of any other Shakespeare play’ and would be ‘peculiarly ineffective in instructing the players’ (Jowett, 107). When, for example, the Folio calls for a banquet set before the Neapolitans to disappear suddenly, the stage direction describes what a reader might expect in a theatrical spectacle:

*Thunder and Lightning. Enter Ariell (like a Harpey) claps his wings upon the Table, and with a quient deuice the Banquet vanishes.*

(Folio, 13)

Instead of prescriptive stage directions such as one might find in a prompt book, here we have the suggestion of a ‘quaint device’ – a vague reference to stage machinery by someone who knows little about theatre mechanics. Such unfamiliarity with

¹ Howard-Hill suggests that ‘Crane is more likely than the author to have contributed the apostrophe’ to ‘wi’th’ King’ (Crane, 105).
² G. Wright notes that ‘the lines in Shakespeare’s later plays diverge from what we think of as regular meter about twenty percent of the time’ (105).
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technicalities is not surprising in a literary scribe who sought to infuse the stage directions with a literary flavour (Howard-Hill, Crane, 24), but it is not what we expect of Shakespeare in 1611.

In rewriting the stage directions for the reader rather than the actor, Crane may have adopted the style developed for Ben Jonson’s Folio of 1616. Jowett lists fifteen stage directions in The Tempest which seem more likely to have been Crane’s than the dramatist’s, but he cautions that the case for non-Shakespearean intervention remains unproven and thus recommends a conservative editorial strategy (Jowett, 111-14). Whether The Tempest’s stage directions were written by Shakespeare or a prompter, or were interpolated later by Crane, they represent the earliest evidence we have of how the play was staged by the King’s Company.

Editorial practices

Because the Folio’s The Tempest is necessarily the basic text for this edition, our editorial interventions are less numerous and problematic than they would be for plays with one or more quarto editions. Yet even the relatively well-printed and carefully proofread Folio version, like any early seventeenth-century text, presents innumerable peculiarities to the modern eye.

In Shakespeare’s day, spelling had not yet been fully regularized. Many words, even names, are spelled two or more ways in the play (e.g. Prospero, Prosper; Ariel, Ariell); ‘u’ and ‘v’ were used interchangeably (as in ‘braue Vtensils’); ‘y’ often served for the modern ‘i’ (‘noyses’, ‘waytes’) as did, occasionally, ‘i’ for ‘j’ (Iupiter); and the Old and Middle English thorn (represented in type by ‘y’) sometimes substituted for ‘th’ (‘ye’), though only in The Tempest to save space in a tight line. Capital letters and italics were sometimes employed for emphasis but more often for no discernible reason.

Even more problematic was Renaissance punctuation. Commas are interspersed throughout the Folio Tempest with (again, to the modern eye) apparent indiscrimination. Dashes and colons, many
of them probably added by Compositor B, frequently indicate pauses that are now more often signified by commas, or pauses of greater length that are now indicated by semicolons or periods. By modern standards, some passages have too much punctuation, others too little, for the meaning to be clear.

The frequency of elisions in the Folio’s *The Tempest* creates another kind of editorial conundrum. Although Crane may have tidied some of Shakespeare’s irregular lines to improve the metre, there is no way of verifying such interventions, however likely they are. We have accordingly taken a conservative approach to lineation, altering lines only where a compositor’s error seems apparent (e.g. 1.2.305). Problematic cases are cited in the textual notes.

Our editorial decisions about the play-text inevitably pit the Folio’s chronological authority against the readers’ need for a grammatically and orthographically coherent text. Further complicating the issue is the Folio’s questionable authority on specific matters; it was a highly mediated document. Honigmann demonstrates that Crane repunctuated the texts he transcribed, and ‘the Folio compositors also changed the punctuation of their texts quite drastically’ (Honigmann, 179, n.6). There is, in short, no unimpeachable authority, no truly reliable basic version of the play – no ‘pure’ Shakespeare. In the preparation of this edition of *The Tempest* we have therefore attempted to create the clearest and most readable version of the play that simultaneously expresses our sense of the author’s intentions. Our editorial interventions are, as with any mediated text, open to differing interpretations by readers and actors.

The alterations we have made to the Folio text can be summarized as (1) the modernization of spelling and capitalization according to guidelines established by the general editors; (2) the introduction of modern rules of punctuation; (3) the removal of superfluous italics; and (4) the insertion, occasionally, of brief supplementary stage directions where the Folio seems ambiguous. A representative sample of the difference between the
Folio’s text and ours is the final speech before the Epilogue, which in the Folio appears as:

Pro. I’le deliuer all,
And promise you calme Seas, auspicious gales,
And saile, so expeditious, that shall catch
Your Royall fleete farre off: My Ariel; chicke
That is thy charge: Then to the Elements
Be free, and fare thou well: please you draw neere.

(Folio, 19; see Fig. 21)

This edition reads:

PROSPERO

I’ll deliver all,
And promise you calm seas, auspicious gales
And sail so expeditious that shall catch
Your royal fleet far off. [aside to Ariel] My Ariel, chick,
That is thy charge. Then to the elements
Be free, and fare thou well!
[to the others] Please you, draw near.

(5.1.314–19)

This brief passage illustrates the four categories of modernization listed above: spelling, which here most notably omits the Folio’s superfluous letters (as in ‘calme’, ‘saile’, ‘farre’); punctuation, which here substitutes periods and an exclamation mark for many of the original’s commas and colons; the omission of extraneous italics (‘Ariel’); and the addition of stage directions to indicate, in this instance, the alternating recipients of Prospero’s instructions.

In the preparation of this edition of The Tempest, we followed the usual practice of consulting all earlier major versions and have summarized the differences in the textual notes on the bottom of each page of text. Our collation differs from previous editions of The Tempest by including Dryden and Davenant’s adaptation of 1670. Although The Enchanted Island adds characters and action foreign to Shakespeare’s text, when it does follow
F directly (as in the first half of 1.2) its spelling, punctuation and occasional emendations illuminate ways in which the generation after Shakespeare interpreted *The Tempest*. Collation with Dryden and Davenant can only be sporadic, of course, in scenes that were freely adapted, such as 1.1, but even there, Dryden and Davenant's decision to keep some words and phrases while deleting others is indicative of what those two Restoration playwrights thought was obscure or ineffective in the original text and what they believed was theatrically useful. It should be borne in mind that each of the other Folio editions of Shakespeare's dramas— the second (1632), the third (1663) and the fourth (1685)— relies on its predecessors but commits additional compositorial errors while occasionally, but inconsistently, correcting earlier errors. It is nonetheless instructive to know what changes were made before Nicholas Rowe began the editorial practices that have persisted, and evolved, since the early eighteenth century.

Our collation is also the first to include a proof-sheet, extant in the British Library, of the first eight pages (through 1.2.181) of *The Tempest: A Comedy*, 'Printed in the YEAR 1708'. This sheet was most likely a preliminary sample of the octavo format that publisher Jacob Tonson planned for Rowe's edition of Shakespeare and was probably intended to pique the interest of potential subscribers. Because several of its readings differ from those in both of Rowe's 1709 editions, it is here regarded as an independent, if fragmentary, edition (see Fig. 22).

**Cruxes**

Perhaps as a result of Crane's careful inscription, there are fewer textual cruxes in *The Tempest* than in most of Shakespeare's plays. We discuss them briefly in our commentary notes, but two bear further explanation here because they illustrate how the editorial process is often influenced by editors' cultural attitudes and, in particular, how changing gender roles can affect editorial decisions.
THE TEMPEST.

Scene 1. The King and Alonso are talking about the fate of Ferdinand.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.

We are eagerly hoping for his return, but there is no news of him.

Alas! What is to become of him? Our dear prince Ferdinand is lost at sea.
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The earlier crux is the speech prefix for 1.2.352–63: Miranda’s (according to the Folio) angry denunciation of the ‘Abhorred slave’ Caliban. Beginning with Dryden and Davenant and for the next two and a half centuries, editors reassigned this speech to Prospero, principally because it seemed to them indecorous for a young lady to speak so frankly. In the mid-eighteenth century, Lewis Theobald contended, for example, that it would be ‘an Indecency in her to reply to what Caliban was last speaking of’ (Theobald, 1.18), i.e. attempted rape. Yet there are other, less fastidious, reasons for assigning the speech to Prospero. Its verbal style, some argue, not only fits his character more closely than it does Miranda’s but is congruous in tone and wording with his other speeches rather than with hers. Miranda’s have far fewer polysyllables and never use the second person singular ‘thee’, as does the ‘Abhorred slave’ speech and many of Prospero’s. The disputed passage, moreover, is a unique instance (if assigned to Miranda) of a speech of hers to which Prospero, when he is part of the dialogue, does not react (RP). And some critics doubted that young Miranda could have served, as the speech claims, as Caliban’s tutor. Morton Luce, for one, contended in the first Arden edition that Miranda would not have ‘had much to do with the monster’s education’ (Ard, 35–6).

Since the mid-twentieth century, editors have generally sided with the Folio’s speech prefix on several grounds. Her outburst at Caliban admittedly deviates from her usual decorum, but in light of Caliban’s sexual assault, which her father has just brought up and Caliban has mocked in reply, her anger is timely and appropriate. It is also, according to many modern critics, consonant with her character, which is more forceful and sexually aware than early editors seemed to prefer. And the argument that Miranda, only 3 years old when she arrived at the island, could not have been Caliban’s teacher is countered by the likelihood that later on – by age 10 or so – she could have introduced him to European words and ideas that Prospero had recently
taught her. Caliban admits as much when Stephano claims to have been 'the man i’th’ moon when time was'. 'My mistress showed me thee', Caliban responds, 'and thy dog and thy bush' (2.2.135–8). Caliban’s assault on Miranda presumably did not occur until she reached puberty at approximately 13, an age at which she would have recognized his intentions and heartily endorsed his enslavement and banishment to separate quarters; her emotional outburst against the savage who seems to be ‘capable of all ill’ (1.2.354) is wholly plausible.

The second and more vexing crux occurs after the masque, when Ferdinand exclaims:

Let me live here ever!
So rare a wondered father and a wise
Makes this place paradise.

(4.1.122–4)

Although the final word in the second line is probably ‘wise’ in all copies of F–F4, in 1709 Rowe substituted ‘wife’, on the tacit assumptions that it made better sense for Ferdinand to acknowledge Miranda’s importance and that F’s compositor had misread a long ‘s’. Most eighteenth-century editors accepted Rowe’s emendation. Many nineteenth- and early twentieth-century editors returned to ‘wise’, but often with the assertion that a few copies of F (which they admittedly had not seen) had ‘wife’. In 1978, Jeanne Addison Roberts persuaded most subsequent editors that the apparent long ‘s’ was actually a broken ‘f’ which remained intact in the first few impressions but subsequently lost half of its crossbar (Roberts, ‘Wife’, 203–8). Feminists took comfort in the text’s reference to Miranda’s importance in a play so male-oriented (see Thompson), and several widely used editions accepted this reading. In an influential psychoanalytic interpretation in 1986, Stephen Orgel reflected on the conspicuous absence of Prospero’s wife from the magician’s language and Miranda’s consciousness, and hailed the new reading of the problematic word (Orgel, ‘Wife’). More recently, Valerie Wayne
Introduction

has documented the crux's erratic history, its relation to gender-based perspectives and its continuing instability (Wayne, 183–7).

However much one would like to read the word as 'wife' in some copies of the Folio, we have been counter-persuaded by Peter W.M. Blayney's exegesis of early seventeenth-century casting and printing techniques, supported by his magnification to the 200th power of all relevant instances of the key word in the Folger Shakespeare Library's extensive Folio collection. The letter in question appears to be 's' in all instances, including the few that Roberts identified with 'f'; blotted ink, not a broken crossbar, encouraged such readings. Although the syntax with 'wise' appears awkward to the modern eye, the placing of an adjective after the noun, as in 4.1.123, was not unusual in Shakespeare's works. Moreover, 'wise' forms a rhymed couplet with the ensuing line's 'paradise', an effect which strikes some critics as poetically appealing, while a few others contend that it is poetically inelegant and uncharacteristic of Shakespearean verse and therefore impressive evidence against the 'wise' reading. But rhymed couplets were not, in fact, uncommon in Shakespeare's late plays.

There is, moreover, an alternative to the assumption that Ferdinand must include Miranda in his notion of paradise. Biblical definitions of heaven excluded marriage (Mark, 12.25; Luke, 20.35); rather, it can be argued, Ferdinand's image of paradise may have been (however implausible to modern sensibilities) inhabited exclusively by himself and his seemingly omnipotent, omniscient new father-in-law (Katherine Duncan-Jones, private communication). If Miranda was also in paradise – the text implicitly includes her in the place where Ferdinand wishes to live forever – she would not have been his, or anyone else's, wife.

Such arguments notwithstanding, Shakespeare may have intended 'wife' all along. Before 1623, it may have been the

1 Blayney (Norton, xxxi) offers a preliminary version of his findings.
spoken word in performances of *The Tempest* and the written word in all manuscript copies. If so, authorial intent was thwarted by Ralph Crane’s inaccurate deciphering of the rough manuscript, or by compositor C’s misreading of Crane’s handwriting, or by an apprentice’s misplacement of a long ‘s’ in the type case’s (probably) adjacent compartment for ‘f’,¹ a possibility made more likely by the uncommon similarity between lower-case ‘f’ and long ‘s’ in the font employed by the Jaggards for the Folio. On all these grounds, feminist and psychoanalytic critics have a highly plausible case; their reading is syntactically and logically sound. We opt for the Folio’s ‘wise’ because there is no compelling reason to alter a word that is as plausible as the alternative in syntax and logic, more feasible in rhyme and more compatible with the technology of Jacobean type-founding.

The ‘wise/wife’ conundrum fittingly concludes our introductory observations because it encapsulates several of the play’s major issues: the role of the chaste female (daughter/wife) in Prospero’s generative project; the magician’s wisdom and control of events (or lack thereof); and, most centrally, the question of what it takes to turn a paradise into a ‘brave new world’ in a universe corrupted by greed and egoism. While *The Tempest* masterfully probes these concerns, it tenaciously resists solutions.

¹ There is no way of knowing the precise layout of Jaggard’s type cases, but the leading authority on early English printing asserts that standard lays ‘were certainly established by the mid-seventeenth century and probably long before’. The standard English lay called for the two letters to be side by side. See Philip Gaskell, *A New Introduction to Bibliography* (Oxford, 1972), 34 and the diagram on 37.
THE TEMPEST
LIST OF ROLES

NAMES OF THE ACTORS

ALONSO  King of Naples
SEBASTIAN  his brother
PROSPERO  the right Duke of Milan
ANTONIO  his brother, the usurping Duke of Milan
FERDINAND  son to the King of Naples
GONZALO  an honest old councillor
ADRIAN and FRANCISCO  lords
CALIBAN  a savage and deformed slave
TRINCULO  a jester
STEPHANO  a drunken butler
MASTER  of a ship
BOATSWAIN
MARINERS
MIRANDA  daughter to Prospero
ARIOEL  an airy spirit
IRIS
CERES
JUNO
Nymphs
Reapers

The Scene, an uninhabited Island  
Milan (Millaine)  
Antonio (Anthonio)  
councillor (Councellor)  
savage (saluage)  
BoatSWAIN (Boate-Swaine)  
ARIOEL (Ariell)  
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The Tempest

0.1 NAMES OF THE ACTORS This list, originally appended to the text in F and recorded here verbatim, was probably compiled by the scrivener Ralph Crane; the descriptive terms may reflect his knowledge of contemporary stage practice and perhaps, too, his personal assessment of the characters as performed at the time. See Introduction, p. 127.

1 ALONSO a common Italian name used here for a fictional character. As King of Naples Alonso controls a large area of the Italian peninsula south of the Papal States. During Shakespeare's lifetime, Spain controlled that part of Italy.

2 SEBASTIAN a common Italian name used for Alonso's brother. Sebastian is second in line to the throne of Naples after Ferdinand, Alonso's son.

3 PROSPERO an Italian name taken from the adjective prospero: favourable, propitious, flourishing. A Prospero appears in the first version of Ben Jonson's Every Man in his Humour, performed by the Lord Chamberlain's Men (including Shakespeare) in 1598. See Introduction, pp. 23–4. Tem's Prospero is the right (legitimate) Duke of Milan, pronounced Milan. Located in Lombardy, Milan was one of the most powerful states in Renaissance Italy; in the sixteenth century, however, it was first taken over by the French and then by the Spanish. In the fifteenth century, its ruler was indeed supplanted, but by his nephew, not his brother.

4 ANTONIO As Prospero explains in 1.2.66–120, twelve years earlier his brother Antonio had arranged a palace coup and usurped the dukedom of Milan. See Introduction p. 35.

5 FERDINAND another common name, here used for Alonso's son and heir, perhaps suggested to Shakespeare by Castiglione's The Courtier, translated into English in 1561 by Thomas Hoby, which refers to a King Ferdinand of Naples who 'tooke occasion verye well to stryppe hymselfe sometime into his doble: and that because he kneve he was verye well made and nymeble wythall' (sig. R1'); Ferdinand has the opportunity to demonstrate his physical attractiveness when he appears carrying logs in 3.1.

6 GONZALO Although gonz in Italian means 'simpleton, blockhead, dolr', this honourable gentleman proves to be far more intelligent than Sebastian and Antonio think him, one of the many ways in which this play shows the deceptiveness of appearances. Gonzalo serves Alonso as an honest... councillor. F's 'Councellor' combines two modern words: 'councillor', member of a council, and 'counsellor', one who gives advice. Dryden & Davenant use 'Counsellor' in the sense of an advisor ('you are a Counsellor, if you can advise these Elements to silence'), but we have chosen the alternative spelling to suggest his official position. In contrast to Alonso, Antonio and Sebastian, who also serve as councilors, Gonzalo is honest in today's sense of truthfulness. 'Honest' could also describe a person 'Having honourable motives or principles' (OED a. 3).

7 ADRIAN and FRANCISCO members of Alonso's court, of unspecified rank, with very brief lines in 2.1 and 3.3.

8 CALIBAN For a discussion of the etymology of Caliban's name, see Introduction, pp. 31–2. Caliban is described here as (1) savage. F's 'saluege' is an obsolete form of the modern 'savage' and means 'uncivilized; existing in the lowest stage of culture' (OED a. and sb. 1 5). See LLL 4.3.218, where Berowne compares Rosaline to 'a rude and savage man of Inde'. As the only native on the island, Caliban had been ignorant of European language, customs and values until Prospero's arrival twelve years earlier. He is also (2) deformed. In 5.1.291–2 Prospero says that Caliban 'is as disproporsioned in his manners / As in his shape'; though he is in these senses deformed, he is nevertheless human. For a discussion of Caliban's physical appearance, see Vaughan, Caliban, 10–15, and Introduction, pp. 32–4. Finally, he is termed (3) slave. While Caliban assisted Prospero upon the latter's
arrival and showed him about the island, 1.2.337–49 indicates that Caliban was not enslaved until he made sexual advances towards Miranda. Thereafter he is an involuntary servant whom Prospero's sprites punish with pinches when he disobeys.

9 TRINCULO This name is perhaps taken from the Italian verb trincare, to drink greedily, to swill. The adjective trincato means drunk; a trincone is a heavy drinker. Trinculo is described as a jester, a buffoon or fool maintained in a royal or noble household to entertain, often distinguished by a motley costume.

10 STEPHANO pronounced Stèphano; the name of a messenger in MF 5.1.28 and also a character in Ben Jonson's Every Man in his Humour (1598), in which Shakespeare acted. Tem's Stephano is Alonso's butler, the servant in charge of the wine cellar who dispensed liquor to the royal household.

11 MASTER the ship's captain. The master was traditionally in charge of all components of the ship, cargo and crew.

12 BOATSWAIN (pronounced bosun) the officer in charge of a ship's sails, rigging and anchors, who directs the other mariners in such matters. See J. Smith, Sea Grammar, 35. The Boatswain dominates the play's opening scene 'by his professionalism in translating the Master's signals into commands and ensuring these are carried out rapidly' (Mahood, 212).

13 MARINERS Mahood describes the popular image of seamen in Elizabethan culture: 'the sailor whose uninhibited behaviour could be a social problem on the London streets had a shipboard life, revealed in countless narratives, which demanded expertise, endurance, enterprise, and ceaseless adaptability. It was a life apart, outside the laws of normal society, yet sustained by the mutual trust on which survival depended, and calling constantly for the propitiation of stern powers' (209).

14 MIRANDA from the nominative singular feminine form of the gerundive of the Latin verb miror, to wonder, be astonished at. In Italian, mirando is an adjective meaning 'wondrous'. As Prospero's (presumably) only child, Miranda is next in line to the Milanese throne. See Introduction pp. 26–7.

15 ARIEL For discussion of the etymology of Ariel's name and the creation of his character, see Introduction, pp. 27–8. The position of this name in F's list (after Miranda, with the women's roles) suggests that the part was performed by a boy actor. Because Ariel's role calls for several songs, the actor must also have been a singer.

16 IRIS a figure in the masque of 4.1, the Greek goddess who served as the gods' messenger and whose presence was signified by the rainbow. See Introduction pp. 70–1.

17 CERES a figure in the masque of 4.1, goddess of the earth and protectress of the harvest (also known as Demeter), often symbolized by food or grain. This role was probably doubled by the actor playing Ariel (see 4.1.167). See Introduction pp. 70–2.

18 JUNO a figure in the masque of 4.1, the wife of Jupiter (or, in Greek, Zeus) and the goddess of marriage. See Introduction pp. 68–73.

19–20 Nymphs, Reapers Spirits appear in 1.2, where they sing the refrain to Ariel's song; in 3.3, where they assist with the disappearing banquet; in 4.1, where they are Nymphs and Reapers for the dance that concludes the masque; and in 5.1, where they are the dogs who chase Caliban, Stephano and Trinculo on to the stage. The same actors probably doubled as Mariners in 1.1 and assisted in providing music when the text called for it.
THE TEMPEST

1.1 A tempestuous noise of thunder and lightning heard; enter a Shipmaster and a Boatswain.

MASTER Boatswain!

BOATSWAIN Here master. What cheer?

MASTER Good, speak to th' mariners. Fall to't yarely or we run ourselves aground. Bestir, bestir! Exit.

1.1 We have maintained F's act and scene divisions, but the former may be Ralph Crane's rather than Shakespeare's.

0.1 Pope's additional SD 'On a ship at sea' suggests the location of the Mariners, Boatswain and court party. Presumably the ship is somewhere in the Mediterranean close to Prospero's island. At Whitehall and the Blackfriars, the ship was easily presented on a flat stage through dialogue and action. A sea machine (pebbles in a drum) could echo the ocean's sounds and a wind machine (a loose length of canvas turned on a wheel) could create gusts (see Sturgess, 81–2). According to Dessen & Thomson, the noise of thunder and the flash of lightning were probably originally created by the sound of a drum and squibs (fireworks) that could be hung from a rope across the rear of the stage. In the Prologue to the revised version of Every Man in his Humour (1598), however, Ben Jonson describes the stage technology used to create thunder; in his play, there is no 'roul'd bullet heard / To say, it thunders; nor tempestuous drumme / Rumbles, to tell you when the storme doth come' (3.303). Dessen & Thomson conclude that thunder and lightning (which were invariably linked) were often associated with a supernatural figure such as a devil, spirit, witch or magician; even when the SD indicated a storm, divine or satanic agency was usually assumed (see entries for 'thunder' and 'lightning'). In a modern production, the storm can be staged minimally, with a waving blue cloth or a swinging lantern suggesting the motion of the ship, or more elaborately with a mechanized bow rising and falling.

0.2 Shipmaster... Boatswain See List of Roles, nn. 11, 12 and 13.

2 What cheer? 'What is your state or mood?' (OED sb. 3b).

3 Good probably an abbreviation for the familiar 'goodman' (as in 15), or, perhaps, a perfunctory dismissal of the Boatswain's What cheer?, though it might simply be an acknowledgement of the Boatswain's presence. Some editors have read Good as an explicit answer to the Boatswain's question, but with the ship about to run aground, the Master would be unlikely to respond so genially.

yarely quickly (cited in OED adv. arch.); see also 6 and 33.

1.1] Actus primus, Scena prima. Location] On a ship at sea Pope 3 to't] (too't)
Enter Mariners.

BOATSWAIN  Heigh, my hearts; cheerly, cheerly, my hearts! Yare! Yare! Take in the topsail. Tend to the master's whistle! [to the storm] Blow till thou burst thy wind, if room enough.

Enter ALONSO, SEBASTIAN, ANTONIO, FERDINAND, GONZALO and others.

ALONSO  Good boatswain, have care. Where's the master? Play the men!

BOATSWAIN  I pray now, keep below!

ANTONIO  Where is the master, boatswain?

BOATSWAIN  Do you not hear him? You mar our labour. Keep your cabins! You do assist the storm.

GONZALO  Nay, good, be patient.

5 my hearts my hearties; heart was a 'man of courage or spirit. Often in nautical language' (cited in OED heart sb. 15a)

cheerly ‘Heartily, with a will’ – a sailor's shout of encouragement (first occurrence in OED a. and adv. B 1b)

6-7 Tend . . . whistle! 'Pay attention!' Shipmasters often directed the crew with blasts on a whistle; a gold whistle was a sign of the naval commander's rank (Var, 12).

7-8 Blow . . . wind is the Boatswain's defiant challenge to the storm to blow until it is out of wind, or, perhaps, a challenge to the clouds to blow until their cheeks burst. Also plausible is the scatalogical notion of the storm blowing until it loses force by breaking wind. In a similar situation in Per a sailor cries to the storm, 'Blow, and split thyself' (3.1.44).

8 if room enough suggests the ship's dangerous proximity to the coast. On nautical matters in this scene, see Falconer, 36-40.

8.2 others This part of the SD is consistent with the opening of 2.1 and 3.3 where SDs also suggest the presence of extra people in the Neapolitan party. The King's Men could have drawn on a number of trained extras for performances of Tem (Sturgess, 77), but modern productions seldom include any supernumeraries. See List of Roles, nn. 19–20.

10 Play the men! Act with spirit, be manly. John Upton argued in Critical Observations on Shakespeare (London, 1748) that 'Ply' rather than 'Play' – 'keep them to their business' – was intended (249). In either case the pronunciation is very similar. Although Alonso could be telling the Boatswain to set the men to work (OED v. 1a), it is more likely that he is speaking directly to the Mariners, admonishing them to act like men (cf. Halliwell, Notes, 9-11).
BOATSWAIN  When the sea is! Hence. What cares these roarers for the name of king? To cabin! Silence! Trouble us not.

GONZALO  Good, yet remember whom thou hast aboard.

BOATSWAIN  None that I more love than myself. You are a councillor; if you can command these elements to silence and work the peace of the present, we will not hand a rope more. Use your authority! If you cannot, give thanks you have lived so long and make yourself ready in your cabin for the mischance of the hour, if it so hap. – Cheerly, good hearts. – Out of our way, I say!

Exit.

GONZALO  I have great comfort from this fellow. Methinks he hath no drowning mark upon him – his
complexion is perfect gallows. Stand fast, good fate, to his hanging; make the rope of his destiny our cable, for our own doth little advantage. If he be not born to be hanged, our case is miserable.

Exeunt

Enter Boatswain.

BOATSWAIN Down with the topmast! Yare! Lower, lower! Bring her to try with main course. (A cry within.) A plague upon this howling. They are louder than the weather or our office.

Enter SEBASTIAN, ANTONIO and GONZALO.

Yet again? What do you here? Shall we give o’er and drown? Have you a mind to sink?

29 complexion may refer to the Boatswain’s hue or to his temperament, or, most likely, to the former as indicative of the latter
perfect utter, unmitigated (first occurrence in OED a. B 5e)
31 doth little advantage scarcely benefits (OED advantage v. 4c)
33–4 Down . . . course. The Boatswain orders the main sail lowered in hope that the ship will thereby slacken its speed and avoid the land. To try meant to adjust certain sails and rigging so that the ship would ride out the storm. See J. Smith, Sea Grammar, 40: ‘A storme, let us lie at Trie with our maine course’ (cited in OED, trie v. 17 for ‘Of a vessel: To lie to’); see also Whall, 98–9, and Falconer, 38–9. Some editors have inserted a comma or semicolon after to, thus making the commands ‘bring her to; try with main course’, which conforms to modern rather than Jacobean nautical terminology.
33 Yare! ‘Be yare’ or quick; see 3 and 6.
34–6 *The SDs follow A plague in F, but they are customarily separated, and the entry placed after office to fit better with the text. Yet again (37) almost certainly refers to the reappearance of the annoying passengers, whom the Boatswain accuses of ensuring, by their meddling presence on deck, that all hands will drown.
35 plague In F the word is followed by a long dash, perhaps to indicate oaths, possibly implied by Sebastian’s characterization of the Boatswain in 39–40, although blasphemous may have meant ‘abusive’, as the Boatswain surely had been, rather than the modern ‘irreverent’ (Halliwell, Notes, 12). The latter form of blasphemy had been outlawed by the Act to Restrain Abuse of (i.e. by) Players (1606). In any event, the interjection of oaths at that point would disrupt the sentence’s syntax. See also 39 below and 5.1.218.
35–6 They . . . office. The first word refers to the passengers, the last to the sailors’ work.

33 SD] Theobald; Exit. F 34 her ... with] her to: try wi’th’ Grant White 34–5 SD, 36.1] Ard; one line following plague... F
SEBASTIAN  A pox o’your throat, you bawling, blasphemous, incharitable dog.  

BOATSWAIN  Work you, then.  

ANTONIO  Hang, cur! Hang, you whoreson, insolent noise-maker! We are less afraid to be drowned than thou art.  

GONZALO  I’ll warrant him for drowning, though the ship were no stronger than a nutshell and as leaky as an unstanched wench.  

BOATSWAIN  Lay her a-hold, a-hold! Set her two courses off to sea again! Lay her off!  

Enter Mariners, wet.  

MARINERS  All lost! To prayers, to prayers! All lost!  

BOATSWAIN  What, must our mouths be cold?

39 pox . . . throat literally, ‘may your throat be wracked by disease’, i.e. the French pox (syphilis) or smallpox; here used metaphorically  
39–40 blasphemous Unless the Boatswain’s blasphemy has been obscured by the storm or the courtiers’ wailing, the charge is false (see Falconer, 153), but Sebastian should not perhaps be taken literally. The Boatswain has been palpably disrespectful.  
45–7 Gonzalo promises to warrant (guarantee immunity; cited in OED v. 8) the Boatswain off (i.e. from) drowning, no matter how unseaworthy the ship, because—as he earlier proclaimed—the man was born to hang. The term unstanched wench probably refers to menstrual bleeding, but leaky in colloquial speech sometimes implied sexual incontinence.  
48–9 The Boatswain, trying to keep the ship from crashing on the shore, orders it held as closely to the wind as possible, then calls for both major sails (courses) to be set, so as to drive the ship out to open sea again. J. Smith, Sea Grammar, 44–5, described ‘Offing’ as ‘the open Sea from the shore, or the midst of any great streame’—hence Lay her off is an order to get out to sea again. Nautical authorities disagree on some aspects of these directions. Allen argues for an emendation to ‘Lay her a-hull’. See also Whall, 30, 99.  
51 must . . . cold perhaps an allusion to the proverbial expression ‘To be cold in the MOUTH (i.e. dead)’ (Dent, M1260.1). The Boatswain asks ‘Must we be dead?’, presumably from drowning in the icy ocean waters. Cf. Francis Beaumont’s The Scornful Lady (1616): ‘would I had been cold i’th’ mouth before this day, and neer have livd to see this dissolution’ (sig. D2’). Alternatively, the Boatswain may be referring to the prayers which are ‘cold in the mouth’. In the storm scene that opens Beaumont and Fletcher’s The Sea Voyage, the Master shouts at a sailor who is praying: ‘is this a time, / To discourager our friends with your
GONZALO The King and prince at prayers, let’s assist them, for our case is as theirs.

SEBASTIAN I’m out of patience.

ANTONIO We are merely cheated of our lives by drunkards. This wide-chopped rascal – would thou mightst lie drowning the washing of ten tides!

GONZALO He’ll be hanged yet, though every drop of water swear against it and gape at widest to glut him.

(A confused noise within) Mercy on us! – We split, we split! – Farewell my wife and children! – Farewell brother! – We split, we split, we split!

ANTONIO Let’s all sink wi’th’ King.

SEBASTIAN Let’s take leave of him. Exit [with Antonio].

GONZALO Now would I give a thousand furlongs of sea for an acre of barren ground – long heath, brown furze,

coldorrizons? (Comedies and Tragedies (London, 1647), Aaaa1*). Less likely is the suggestion that the prospect of drowning may divert him from his duties to the bottle (Mahood, 212). Some Tem editors have the Boatswain and Mariners exit here to join in the confused noise mentioned in 60, but there’s no reason why some or all the Mariners cannot fall to their knees in prayer on deck.

52 The . . . prayers The verb ‘are’ is implied after prince.

55 merely altogether, wholly

56 wide-chopped big-mouthed (cited in OED wide a. 12)

57 lie . . . tides Courts of Admiralty sentenced pirates to be hanged at water’s edge and their bodies to remain awash for three tides; Antonio’s curse greatly exaggerates the second part of the penalty.

58-9 Gonzalo clings to his belief that despite the storm’s fury, the Boatswain will survive to be hanged and thus none aboard will drown, even if every drop of water tries to open its mouth to swallow him greedily (glut him). Strachey described ‘the glut of water (as if throttling the wind erewhile)’ that enveloped Sea Venture (1735).

60 SD The following exclamations (confused noise) come from several voices below the stage or from behind the discovery space.

60-2 split Literally, the ship splits apart and, figuratively, we are shipwrecked (first occurrence in OED v. 9b).

65-6 furlongs . . . acre Both terms are units of linear measurement; the former is now regularized at 220 yards, the latter (in its now obsolete sense) equalled a furlong. Cf. Hermione’s description of women in WT 1.2.94-6: ‘You may ride’s / With one soft kiss a thousand furlongs ere / With spur we heat an acre’.

66 long . . . furze Heath is heather, furze is a low shrub, also known in Scotland.
anything. The wills above be done, but I would fain die a dry death.  

Exit.

1.2  

Enter PROSPERO and MIRANDA.

MIRANDA

If by your art, my dearest father, you have
Put the wild waters in this roar, allay them.
The sky, it seems, would pour down stinking pitch
But that the sea, mounting to th' welkin's cheek,
Dashes the fire out. O, I have suffered

With those that I saw suffer — a brave vessel

and northern England as 'gorse', a characteristic moorland plant. The other two words are arguable. Hanmer emended long to 'ling' (a type of heather (OED sh.2 1)), but it is clear from Henry Lyte's translation of Rembert Dodoens's Niewe Herbal (London, 1578) that long heath was a distinct plant: long heath 'beareth his flowers amongst the stemmes', as opposed to small heath that bears flowers in 'tuftes at the toppes of the branches' (677). Following Dryden & Davenant, Hanmer emended brown to 'broom', but furze and 'broom' were synonyms and furze was often brown. Because of F's apparently intentional adjective–noun pairings, we prefer F's brown. In any event, gorse, broom and furze were associated with barren ground (Gerard, 1139), and Gonzalo, accordingly, expresses his preference for dry land, however sterile.

fain gladly (cited in OED B)

Above the list of characters provided at the end of the text, F notes: 'The Scene, an vn-inhabited Island'. This island lies somewhere in the Mediterranean between Naples and Tunis. Presumably Prospero and Miranda are standing on a point, which could be anywhere on the Blackfriars stage, where they can see the ship 'at sea'. Many editors have located this scene near Prospero's cell (20), though it need not be. Prospero seems to call Caliban forth from his cave (320–1), which at the Blackfriars could have been off the side of the stage or below the trap door. Just how close Caliban's den is to the magician's cell is up to the director and designer.

art in this context, magic. Art is consistently capitalized in F; some editors assume this implies Shakespeare's special emphasis on Prospero's powers, but it may well be the result of Ralph Crane's predilection.

allay them set them to rest

stinking pitch The blackness of the clouds suggests to Miranda that they might disgorge foul-smelling pitch, a common commodity of the time, especially in shipbuilding. Cf. Stephano in 2.2.51 and J. Smith's 'powre hot pitch upon it' (Sea Grammar, 13).

welkin's cheek the sky's, or cloud's, billowed edges. Dover Wilson suggests 'the side of a grate' (Cam1, 112), but we agree with Oxf1 (101) that this usage seems inappropriate here.

fire lightning; possibly dissyllabic (fi-er)

brave magnificent, splendid
The Tempest

(Who had no doubt some noble creature in her)
Dashed all to pieces. O, the cry did knock
Against my very heart! Poor souls, they perished.
Had I been any god of power, I would
Have sunk the sea within the earth or ere
It should the good ship so have swallowed and
The fraughting souls within her.

PROSPERO

Be collected;
No more amazement. Tell your piteous heart
There's no harm done.

MIRANDA

O woe the day.

PROSPERO

No harm!
I have done nothing but in care of thee,
Of thee, my dear one, thee my daughter, who
Art ignorant of what thou art, naught knowing
Of whence I am, nor that I am more better
Than Prospero, master of a full poor cell,
And thy no greater father.

MIRANDA

More to know

8–9 knock / Against rap for attention
11 or ere Both words mean 'before'; here
they are probably doubled for empha-
sis (see Abbott, §131).
13 fraughting souls cargo of souls (cited
in OED fraught vbl. sb. 3b)
Be collected 'Compose yourself'; the
opposite of 'distracted' (first occur-
rence in OED collected ppl. a. 2)
14 amazement . . . piteous Orgel links
amazement (fear and wonder) along
with piteous (full of pity) to the cath-
arsis proposed by Aristotle as the
effect of the best kind of tragedy (Oxf1,
102).
16–17 thee . . . thee . . . thee Prospero's
repetition emphasizes his concern for
Miranda and her centrality to his
plans.
19 whence I am where I came from
more better of more distinguished
status. The use of a double compara-
tive is fairly common in Shakespeare.
See Abbott, §11.
20 full poor cell extremely humble
dwelling; a hut or cottage, often the
home of a hermit or monk (OED sh.1
3c), but usually a cave in stage and
artistic renderings of Tem. Cf. Friar
Lawrence's 'cell' in RF 3.5.232. In the
early seventeenth century, 'cell' did
not yet carry implications of imprison-
ment.
21 no greater father no more important
a father than his poor cell suggests

7 creature | creatures Theobald 13 fraughting] fraughted Pope; freighting Bantam (Steevens)
19 1 . . . better] I am more or better Rowe*, Rowe3; I'm more Dryden & Davenant
Did never meddle with my thoughts.

PROSPERO 'Tis time

I should inform thee further. Lend thy hand
And pluck my magic garment from me. So,
Lie there my art. Wipe thou thine eyes, have comfort;
The direful spectacle of the wreck which touched
The very virtue of compassion in thee,
I have with such provision in mine art
So safely ordered, that there is no soul –
No, not so much perdition as an hair,
Betid to any creature in the vessel
Which thou heard'st cry, which thou sawst sink. Sit
down,
For thou must now know further.

MIRANDA You have often

Begun to tell me what I am, but stopped
And left me to a bootless inquisition,

Concluding, 'Stay, not yet'.

The hour's now come;

22 meddle with intrude upon
25 Lie . . . art addressed to his magician's
robe, which was probably covered
with cabalistic signs (Sturgess, 79).
Prospero distinguishes between his
identity as a man, his role as a magician
(signalled by this robe) and his role as
Duke (indicated by the garments he
dons in 5.1.84ff). He then again
addresses Miranda. Thomas Fuller
reported that Elizabeth's closest advis-
or, Sir William Cecil, Lord Burleigh,
'At night when he put off his gown,
. . . used to say, "Lie there, Lord
Treasurer!"' (The Holy State and the
27 virtue essence (Ard²). Johnson
glossed it as 'the most efficacious part'

22 'Tis time] F, Rowe*; 'Tis true F4, Rowe¹
doon  28 provision 'the action of providing,
seeing to things beforehand' (cited in
OED sb. 2a)
29 safely ordered effectively arranged
that . . . soul An implied 'lost' com-
pletes this line.
30 not . . . hair a use of the proverb, 'To
hurt (or lose) a HAIR' (Dent, H26.1).
See also 217: 'Not a hair perished'.
perdition loss; Ariel uses this word
again in 3.3.77, but with the added
connotation of eternal damnation.
31 Betid happened, befell
32 Which . . . which The first clause
modifies creature, the second vessel
(31).
35 bootless inquisition fruitless inquiry

24 26+ wreck] (wrecke) 28 provision] compassion F2
29 soul - ] Rann; soule F; soul, F3; soul lost,
Rowe; foyle, Theobald; loss, Capell; soil, Cam¹
35 a] the F2 36 'Stay ... yet'] Dyce; no quotation
marks F; ital. Theobald  hour's] (hovr's)
The very minute bids thee ope thine ear.
Obey and be attentive. Canst thou remember
A time before we came unto this cell?
I do not think thou canst, for then thou wast not
Out three years old.

MIRANDA  Certainly, sir, I can.

PROSPERO
By what? By any other house or person?
Of any thing the image, tell me, that
Hath kept with thy remembrance.

MIRANDA  'Tis far off,
And rather like a dream than an assurance
That my remembrance warrants. Had I not
Four or five women once, that tended me?

PROSPERO
Thou hadst, and more, Miranda. But how is it
That this lives in thy mind? What seest thou else
In the dark backward and abysm of time?
If thou rememb'rest aught ere thou cam'st here,
How thou cam'st here thou mayst.

MIRANDA  But that I do not.

PROSPERO
Twelve year since, Miranda, twelve year since,
Thy father was the Duke of Milan and

41 Out completely, quite; i.e. Miranda was not yet three years old (cited in OED adv. 7c).
44 Hath . . . remembrance that you can recall; literally, kept within your memory
45 assurance certainty
46 warrants knows surely
47 tended cared for; in 3.1.48–50 Miranda claims she cannot remember any woman's face, so her recollection of her attendants must be vague, at best.
49 Out full Dryden & Davenant
50 backward 'the past portion (of time).'
Shakespeare appears to have originated this rare usage (OED sb. C 2).
51 abysm a variant of 'abyss', meaning 'any deep immeasurable space, a profound chasm or gulf' (cited in OED 2)
52 Twelve year . . . year
53 Milan Milan; see List of Roles, n. 3.
A prince of power.

MIRANDA Sir, are not you my father?

PROSPERO Thy mother was a piece of virtue, and She said thou wast my daughter; and thy father Was Duke of Milan, and his only heir And princess, no worse issued.

MIRANDA O, the heavens! What foul play had we that we came from thence? Or blessed wast we did?

PROSPERO Both, both, my girl. By foul play, as thou sayst, were we heaved thence, But blessedly holp hither.

MIRANDA O, my heart bleeds To think o’th’ teen that I have turned you to, Which is from my remembrance. Please you, farther.

PROSPERO My brother and thy uncle, called Antonio – I pray thee mark me, that a brother should

56 piece of virtue masterpiece or model of chastity. Cf. Dent, P291.1 and M1193, which cite this line.

59 And princess Some editors, assuming a printer’s error, have replaced And with ‘A’, which accords better with the comma after heir in F, thus making A princess appositive to heir. But F’s semicolon after princess raises doubts about that reading. No worse issued of no lesser birth than a princess.

61 blessed blessed; fortunate, with a suggestion of divine intervention (OED ppl. a. 3a)

Both, both Shakespeare uses this repetition to express great emotion when Bertram asks for pardon in AW 5.3.308.

63 holp helped

64 teen trouble, suffering. Cf. R3 4.1.96: ‘And each hour’s joy wrack’d with a week of teen’.

65 from absent from. Abbott, §158, notes that ‘from’ is frequently used as a shortened form for ‘apart from’ or ‘away from’.

66–74 The difficult syntax of Prospero’s speech may indicate the stress he feels at recalling his brother’s treachery and the events of twelve years earlier. But as Russ McDonald argues (unpublished paper, Shakespeare Association of America, 1997), complex syntax and elliptical expression are characteristic of Shakespeare’s late style.
Be so perfidious – he, whom next thyself
Of all the world I loved, and to him put
The manage of my state, as at that time
Through all the signories it was the first,
And Prospero the prime Duke, being so reputed
In dignity, and for the liberal arts
Without a parallel; those being all my study,
The government I cast upon my brother
And to my state grew stranger, being transported
And rapt in secret studies. Thy false uncle –
Dost thou attend me?

MIRANDA Sir, most heedfully.

PROSPERO

Being once perfected how to grant suits,
How to deny them, who t’advance and who

68-9 whom . . . loved Presumably, Prospero’s wife died giving birth to Miranda or soon after, thus leaving him with a daughter and brother as closest kin; otherwise Prospero’s omission of his wife here and elsewhere would almost surely signify marital disharmony. Miranda’s recollection only of ‘Four or five women once, that tended me’ (47), also implies her mother’s early death.

70 manage management

71 signories ‘A governing body, esp. that of Venice or other medieval Italian republic’ (OED 4)

74 those the liberal arts (i.e the trivium: grammar, logic and rhetoric; and the quadrivium: arithmetic, geometry, music and astronomy), to which Prospero has just referred. Prospero’s syntax is slightly jumbled in this emotional recounting of his fall from power and eventual exile by Antonio. Editors have variously punctuated this and the next several passages in efforts to clarify and impose grammatical order, but this speech defies precise reconstruction. In general, especially on stage, the message is coherent.

76 stranger either substantive (OED sb. 1-2: an alien or outsider) or adjectival form with the same meaning

76-7 transported . . . studies enraptured by his studies, especially of magical (secret) matters

78 Dost . . . me? Prospero’s demands for Miranda’s attention here and later in 87 and 106 need not imply that she is inattentive; they more likely indicate Prospero’s increasing agitation as he recalls the circumstances of Antonio’s treachery.

79 having mastered the procedures for granting favours to suitors; perfected should have an accent on the first syllable.
To trash for overtopping, new created
The creatures that were mine, I say, or changed ’em,
Or else new formed ’em; having both the key
Of officer and office, set all hearts i’th’ state
To what tune pleased his ear, that now he was 85
The ivy which had hid my princely trunk
And sucked my verdure out on’t. Thou attend’st not!

MIRANDA
O, good sir, I do.

PROSPERO I pray thee, mark me.
I thus neglecting worldly ends, all dedicated
To closeness and the bettering of my mind 90
With that which, but by being so retired,
O’er-prized all popular rate, in my false brother
Awaked an evil nature, and my trust,

81 trash for overtopping put down for
being overly ambitious. ‘Trash’ meant
literally to rein in a dog (first occurrence in OED v.¹ l). Steevens also sug-
gested that to trash is to cut away
superfluities: ‘This word I have met
with in books containing directions for
gardeners, published in the time of Q.
Elizabeth’ (Johnson & Steevens, 11).
In either case, the verb suggests the
effort to keep matters in check.
Overtopping (contra OED) here means
to excel or surpass.
new created Antonio won the loyalty
of Prospero’s followers by giving them
new offices.
82 creatures officials appointed by
Prospero – i.e. his men – but perhaps
also meant pejoratively
changed ’em substituted other peo-
dle, who would be loyal to Antonio
rather than Prospero
82–3 or . . . Or either . . . or
83 new formed ’em reconstituted the
offices and those who held them (first
occurrence in OED new-form v. ‘form
or shape anew’)
key Metaphorically, the key both con-
trols the officer and sets the tone of his
administration.

86–7 ivy . . . on’t Prospero employs the
common emblem of a vine-covered
tree, each plant nourishing the other,
but in this instance the ivy extracted
the tree’s vitality (verdure: ‘The fresh
green colour characteristic of flourish-
ing vegetation’ (cited in OED 1a)).
88 mark me pay attention
90–2 closeness . . . rate Prospero’s mind
was bettered with studies much more
valuable than they were estimated
(o’er-prized, valued too highly, over-
rated) by the populace, but such stud-
ies led him into solitude or seclusion
(closeness). In effect: ‘I was busy with
studies more valuable than people rate
them, except that they kept me retired
(away from the people)’. This difficult
passage shows Prospero’s continuing
agitation.
Like a good parent, did beget of him
A falsehood in its contrary as great 95
As my trust was, which had indeed no limit,
A confidence sans bound. He being thus lorded,
Not only with what my revenue yielded
But what my power might else exact, like one
Who, having into truth by telling of it,
Made such a sinner of his memory
To credit his own lie, he did believe
He was indeed the duke, out o’th’ substitution
And executing th’outward face of royalty
With all prerogative. Hence his ambition growing – 105
Dost thou hear?

MIRANDA Your tale, sir, would cure deafness.

PROSPERO To have no screen between this part he played
And him he played it for, he needs will be
Absolute Milan. Me, poor man, my library

96 trust . . . limit Prospero contends that his boundless trust in his brother, like a parent’s trust in a child, was perversely rewarded by a falseness of equal magnitude. Perhaps Shakespeare is alluding to the proverb: ‘TRUST is the mother of deceit’ (Dent, T555). As Miranda ruefully comments at 120, ‘Good wombs have borne bad sons’.

97 sans bound without limit, a paraphrase of the previous line. Shakespeare used the French sans in AYL 2.7.166 and in LLL 5.2.415–16.

l lorded made lord

98–9 Not . . . exact having not only the revenue of the office but also the rewards elicited by power

98 revenue revenue

100 having into truth Into is used here in the sense of ‘unto’ (Warburton) or ‘against’. Antonio has sinned against the truth.

100–2 Who . . . lie By repeated tellings of the lie, Antonio has deluded his memory into believing it. See Var, 36–9.

103 out o’th’ substitution by virtue of substituting

104 executing . . . royalty playing the part of (and giving the appearance of being) a legitimate ruler

105 prerogative rights and privileges of office

107–8 To have . . . for to eliminate any discrepancy between his role and that of the Duke himself (Prospero), whose part he is playing; or, to blend his own role with the person’s for whose benefit he played it – i.e. himself

107 screen a means of securing from attack; anything which intervenes obstructively (cited in OED sb. 4a)

108–9 he . . . Milan He must be absolute sovereign of Milan.
Was dukedom large enough. Of temporal royalties
He thinks me now incapable; confederates,
So dry he was for sway, wi’th’ King of Naples
To give him annual tribute, do him homage,
Subject his coronet to his crown, and bend
The dukedom yet unbowed (alas, poor Milan)
To most ignoble stooping.

MIRANDA O, the heavens!

PROSPERO
Mark his condition and th’event, then tell me
If this might be a brother.

MIRANDA I should sin
To think but nobly of my grandmother;
Good wombs have borne bad sons.

PROSPERO Now the condition.
This King of Naples, being an enemy
To me inveterate, hearkens my brother’s suit,
Which was that he, in lieu o’th’ premises
Of homage, and I know not how much tribute,

110 temporal royalties secular, as distinct from spiritual, powers
111 confederates makes an alliance, conspires
112 So... sway so thirsty was he for power
114 Subject... crown Subject is here a verb: subject. Antonio decided to make his previously independent dukedom (symbolized by a coronet) subordinate to the kingdom of Naples (a crown).
115 yet heretofore
117 condition Antonio’s terms for federation with Naples th’event the outcome
119 but other than
120 Good... sons. a common proverb:
‘Many a good cow has an ill (evil) calf’
(Dent, C761). Miranda rejects the possibility that her grandmother committed adultery and conceived Antonio by another man than Prospero’s father; instead, she concludes that good women can still produce bad children. Theobald grudgingly allowed Miranda to keep this line, but in his notes he argued that Shakespeare originally intended it for Prospero: ‘How could Miranda, that came into this Desart Island an Infant, that had never seen any other Creatures of the World, but her Father and Caliban, with any Propriety be furnish’d to make such an Observation from Life, that the Issue has often degenerated from the Parent?’ (10). Hanmer agreed; in his 1744 edition, he gave this line to Prospero. Both forgot Prospero’s efforts to educate his daughter and prepare her for her royal role.
122 hearkens hears with attention, pays heed to (cited in OED v. 4)
123–4 in lieu... homage in return for the obligations that homage and tribute carry

112 wi’th’] Rowe; with F, Rowe*  116 most] much F2  119 but] not Pope  120 Good... sons] assigned to Prospero / Hanmer (Theobald)
Should presently extirpate me and mine
Out of the dukedom and confer fair Milan,
With all the honours, on my brother. Whereon—
A treacherous army levied— one midnight
Fated to th’ purpose did Antonio open
The gates of Milan and i’th’ dead of darkness
The ministers for th’ purpose hurried thence
Me and thy crying self.

MIRANDA Alack, for pity.
I, not rememb’ring how I cried out then,
Will cry it o’er again. It is a hint
That wrings mine eyes to’t.

PROSPERO Hear a little further,
And then I’ll bring thee to the present business
Which now’s upon’s, without the which this story
Were most impertinent.

MIRANDA Wherefore did they not
That hour destroy us?

PROSPERO Well demanded, wench:

125 presently immediately, without delay (OED adv. 3), or, shortly, soon, before long (OED adv. 4)
extirpate literally, to pull out by the roots and thus be incapable of regeneration; destroy
129 Fated destined by fate (cited in OED v. 2). Cf. Helena’s observation: ‘The fated sky / Gives us free scope, only doth backward pull / Our slow designs when we ourselves are dull’ (AW 1.1.217–19). Cf. the different use of ‘fate’ in 180–4 below.
131 ministers ... purpose the agents assigned to this task, which presumably included Gonzalo, coerced by Antonio. Whether Gonzalo was ‘Master of this design’ (163) from the beginning or only during the final stage—setting the prisoners adrift—depends on how one reads then (162).
134 hint ‘indication intended to be caught by the intelligent; a suggestion or implication conveyed in an indirect or covert manner’ (OED sb. 2a)
135 wrings ... to’t causes my eyes to weep at the tale
137 upon’s upon us
138 impertinent not pertinent, irrelevant
Wherefore why
139 demanded asked, as in the French demander. It did not carry the modern coercive sense.
wench a young woman and, in Shakespeare’s day, a term of endearment, especially for wives and daughters. Petruchio calls Kate a ‘wench’ in the final scene of TS (5.2.180), and Berowne addresses Rosaline the same
My tale provokes that question. Dear, they durst not, So dear the love my people bore me, nor set A mark so bloody on the business, but With colours fairer painted their foul ends. In few, they hurried us aboard a bark, Bore us some leagues to sea, where they prepared A rotten carcass of a butt, not rigged, Nor tackle, sail, nor mast – the very rats Instinctively have quit it. There they hoist us To cry to th’ sea that roared to us, to sigh To th’ winds, whose pity, sighing back again, Did us but loving wrong.

**MIRANDA**

Alack, what trouble Was I then to you?

**PROSPERO**

O, a cherubin

Thou wast that did preserve me. Thou didst smile, Infused with a fortitude from heaven,

way in *LLL* (5.2.414). The word also had the very different, pejorative definition of lower-class or wanton woman, which later became the standard usage. Most editors assume that Prospero uses the word benignly. In the next line he addresses her as *Dear.*

141–2 set . . . business A bloody mark would reveal the treachery.

144 In few In a few words; briefly

*bark* small sailing vessel. Presumably Prospero and Miranda were conveyed some miles down river from Milan and then put to sea, or the scene’s imprecise geography may be akin to the location of a seaport at Verona in *TGV.*

146 butt clearly slang for a small, decrepit boat but not so recorded in *OED* (Dryden & Davenant use ‘boat’); literally a tub or cask, usually for storing liquids or agricultural produce

147 Nor . . . mast neither tackle, nor sail, nor mast

148 have quit had abandoned

hoist probably used in the sense of ‘launched’ (*OED* hoist v. 1)

151 loving wrong The winds did wrong in blowing the ship to sea, but they were also full of pity.

Alack ‘An exclamation originally of dissatisfaction, reprobation, or depreciation’, probably combining ‘Ah’ or ‘O’ with ‘lack’ – failure, disgrace, shame (cited in *OED* int.)

152 cherubin obsolete form of cherub (angel); spoken to a beautiful or beloved woman (cited in *OED* 3b). Cf. Shakespeare, *LC* (Riv, 1986), 319: ‘Which like a cherubin above them hover’d’.

153 preserve Miranda saved him from spiritual despair and hence, presumably, from death at sea.

154 Infused infused
When I have decked the sea with drops full salt, 155
Under my burden groaned, which raised in me
An undergoing stomach to bear up
Against what should ensue.

MIRANDA
How came we ashore?

PROSPERO By providence divine.
Some food we had, and some fresh water, that 160
A noble Neapolitan, Gonzalo,
Out of his charity – who, being then appointed
Master of this design – did give us, with
Rich garments, linens, stuffs and necessaries,
Which since have steaded much; so of his gentleness,
Knowing I loved my books, he furnished me
From mine own library with volumes that
I prize above my dukedom.

MIRANDA Would I might...
But ever see that man!

PROSPERO

Now I arise.

Sit still and hear the last of our sea-sorrow.

Here in this island we arrived, and here
Have I, thy schoolmaster, made thee more profit
Than other princes can that have more time
For vainer hours, and tutors not so careful.

MIRANDA

Heavens thank you for’t. And now I pray you, sir,
For still ’tis beating in my mind, your reason
For raising this sea-storm?

PROSPERO

Know thus far forth:

By accident most strange, bountiful fortune
(Now, my dear lady) hath mine enemies
Brought to this shore; and by my prescience
I find my zenith doth depend upon
A most auspicious star, whose influence

169 Now I arise. an implied SD, indicating that Prospero gets up from a sitting position, probably to retrieve his magic robe, while Miranda remains seated (170: Sit still). But, as Ard² (20) and Oxf¹ (101) point out, the words may also refer to Prospero’s fortunes which, after plummeting twelve years earlier in Milan, are now about to rise.

170 last the last part, remainder

sea-sorrow Other compounds in the text as noted in Ard² (20) are sea-change (1.2.401), sea-marge (4.1.69), sea-storm (1.2.177), sea-swallowed (2.1.251).

172 made . . . profit provided a more valuable education

173 *princes F’s ‘Princesse’ is a characteristic spelling of ‘princes’ in Ralph Crane manuscripts; the word is a ‘generic term for royal children of either sex’ (Oxf¹, 110).

174 vainer hours less serious uses of their time

175 Heavens pronounced ‘Heav’ns’

176 beating in exercising the brain (OED vbl. sb. 1a), perhaps with a pulsating sensation (OED vbl. sb. 5); cf. Claudius’ description of Hamlet: ‘This something-settled matter in his heart, / Whereon his brains still beating’ (Ham 3.1.173–4).

177 thus far forth to this extent

180 prescience prèscience

181 zenith highest point in Prospero’s fortunes

181–4 depend . . . droop Prospero’s reading of heavenly signs reflects the magician’s reliance on astrology, much as other passages invoke his practice in alchemy.

182 influence Prospero must heed the astrological power of the star.
If now I court not, but omit, my fortunes
Will ever after droop. Here cease more questions.
Thou art inclined to sleep; 'tis a good dullness,
And give it way. I know thou canst not choose.
[to Ariel] Come away, servant, come; I am ready now.
Approach, my Ariel. Come.

Enter Ariel.

Ariel
All hail, great master; grave sir, hail! I come
To answer thy best pleasure, be't to fly,
To swim, to dive into the fire, to ride
On the curled clouds. To thy strong bidding, task
Ariel and all his quality.

Prospero
Hast thou, spirit,
Performed to point the tempest that I bade thee?

Ariel
To every article.
I boarded the King's ship: now on the beak,
Now in the waist, the deck, in every cabin
I flamed amazement. Sometime I'd divide
And burn in many places – on the topmast,
The yards and bowsprit would I flame distinctly,
Then meet and join. Jove's lightning, the precursors
O'th' dreadful thunderclaps, more momentary
And sight-outrunning were not; the fire and cracks
Of sulphurous roaring, the most mighty Neptune
Seem to besiege and make his bold waves tremble,
Yea, his dread trident shake.

PROSPERO
My brave spirit,
Who was so firm, so constant, that this coil
Would not infect his reason?

ARIEL
Not a soul

197 waist middle of the ship or middle part of a ship's upper deck (cited in OED 3a)

dock the platform extending from side to side of the ship (OED sh. 1 2a).
J. Smith describes the variety of ships' decks in Sea Grammar, 5–7.


200 yards the crossbars on masts to which sails are attached. See J. Smith, Sea Grammar, 17–24.

bowspirit F's 'Bore-spritt' is one of several obsolete spellings of this nautical term (J. Smith, Sea Grammar, 15–25, spelled it 'Bowle spret', 'Boultspret', 'Boule-spret', 'Boulspret' and 'Bolspret') for the pole that extends from the bow and holds the lower edge of a sail (jib).

distinctly obsolete word for 'In a distinct or separate manner; separately; individually' (cited in OED adv. 1)

201 Jove's lightning flashes of light, associated with punishment or vengeance.

Jove, the most powerful god in Roman mythology, cowed his enemies with bolts of lightning. Flashes of light also torture the sinners in 26.47–8 of Dante's Inferno: 'Dentro dai fuochi son li spirti; / catun si fascia di quel ch'elli e inceso' ('Within the fires are the spirits: each swathes himself with that which burns him' (vol. 1, 272–3)). Perhaps there is also a reference to the commonplace that we see lightning before we hear thunder (Dent, L281), used in KJ 1.1.24–6. Prospero declares that he has appropriated Jove's own bolt for his magic in 5.1.46.

203 sight-outrunning moving so fast as to disappear from sight

204 sulphurous Sulphur was often used in explosive devices; here the adjective suggests how Ariel 'staged' the storm.

Neptune god of the sea in Roman mythology

206 dread trident fearful three-pronged spear, Neptune's trademark weapon brave fine

207 coil confusion

197 waist] (Waste) 198 Sometime] sometimes F2 199 places – } this edn; places; F; places. Penguin 200 bowspirit] (Bore-spritt); bolt-sprit Rowe 202 O'th'] Of Pope 205 Seem] Seem'd Rowe' 206 dread] dead F2
But felt a fever of the mad and played
Some tricks of desperation. All but mariners
Plunged in the foaming brine and quit the vessel;
Then all afire with me, the King's son Ferdinand,
With hair up-staring (then like reeds, not hair),
Was the first man that leapt, cried 'Hell is empty,
And all the devils are here'.

PROSPERO  Why, that's my spirit!
But was not this nigh shore?

ARIEL  Close by, my master.

PROSPERO  But are they, Ariel, safe?

ARIEL  Not a hair perished;
On their sustaining garments not a blemish,
But fresher than before; and, as thou bad'st me,
In troops I have dispersed them 'bout the isle.

209 of the mad of the kind suffered by mad people
209-10 played . . . desperation made gestures and performed wild actions expressing despair
210–15 Some productions have used the actions described here, especially Ferdinand's escape from the ship, in staging the conclusion of 1.1.
212 Then . . . Ferdinand F's punctuation indicates that Ferdinand is on fire along with the ship; editors since Rowe have argued that such a reading is implausible and insert a colon or semicolon after me to break the clause. Thus with hair up-staring (hair standing on end) is unconnected to the fire in the rigging. Still, as Kermode suggests, 'the idea of Ferdinand leaping overboard with flaming hair and fingertips is very attractive' (Ard², 23).
215 devils pronounced dev'ls
218 sustaining garments Many editors suggest that 'sustain' is used in a now rare sense (OED v. 11a): 'to hold up, bear the weight of, to keep from falling by support from below'. If this is, indeed, what Shakespeare intended, the line suggests that the Neapolitans' garments filled with air and somehow served as life-preservers. See also Ham 4.7.175–83, where Ophelia's garments are said to bear her up for a while in the water, but eventually, 'heavy with their drink', they pull her down 'To muddy death'. But 'sustain' may also be taken in another sense (OED v. 6a): 'to support life'. The garments are sustaining on land in that they protect the Neapolitans from exposure to the sun and weather.
220 troops groups of (usually military) people. This should not be taken literally; when Ariel speaks this line, there is one group of survivors – the court party – and three scattered individuals: Ferdinand, Stephano and Trinculo. The last two join forces in 2.1.

209 mad] mind Dryden & Davenant  214–15 'Hell . . . here'] Theobald; no quotation marks F

164
The King's son have I landed by himself,  
Whom I left cooling of the air with sighs,  
In an odd angle of the isle, and sitting,  
His arms in this sad knot.

PROSPERO Of the King's ship,  
The mariners, say how thou hast disposed,  
And all the rest o'th' fleet?

ARIEL Safely in harbour  
Is the King's ship, in the deep nook where once  
Thou called'st me up at midnight to fetch dew  
From the still-vexed Bermudas; there she's hid,  
The mariners all under hatches stowed,  
Who, with a charm joined to their suffered labour,  
I have left asleep. And for the rest o'th' fleet,  
Which I dispersed, they all have met again,

223 odd angle out of the way (odd) corner or nook
224 arms . . . knot an implied SD for folded or crossed arms. Cf. J.C 2.1.240, where Portia describes Brutus as 'musing and sighing, with your arms across'. This pose was also associated with the sighing lover: Valentine argues that Proteus must be a lover because he wreathes his 'arms, like a malcontent' in TGV 2.1.19-20, and Moth suggests in LLL 3.1.18-19 that Armado's position, 'with . . . arms cross'd . . . like a rabbit on a spit', indicates he is in love.
228 midnight . . . dew an ingredient for a magical potion; see also 322, wicked dew
229 still-vexed Bermudas The uninhabited Bermuda islands were first brought to Europe's attention by a Spaniard, Juan de Bermúdez, in 1515 but were dreaded for the hidden reefs and ferocious storms that, nearly a century later, still vexed the island. The 'Bermuda triangle' continues to suggest mysterious losses at sea. Strachey, with a touch of hyperbole, called Bermuda 'the dangerous and dreaded . . . Islands', notorious for 'tempests, thunders, and other fearfull objects . . . seene and heard about them', and known as 'the Devil's Islands' (1737; see Appendix 1.1). After Sea Venture's wreck in 1609, the islands remained virtually uninhabited until 1612. 'The Bermudas' (and variations of that spelling) was also a section of London notorious for harbouring thieves and prostitutes; Ben Jonson implied the Bermudas' nefarious character in Bartholomew Fair (6.57-8). The area was apparently named for the islands because they attracted fugitives from justice during the early years of English settlement.
230 stowed 'To fasten down (persons) under the hatches for confinement or safety' (cited in OED v. 4b)
231 suffered labour hard labours performed during the storm (only occurrence in OED suffered ppl. a.)
And are upon the Mediterranean float,
Bound sadly home for Naples,
Supposing that they saw the King’s ship wrecked
And his great person perish.

PROSPERO
Ariel, thy charge
Exactly is performed; but there’s more work.
What is the time o’th’ day?

ARIEL
Past the mid-season.

PROSPERO
At least two glasses. The time ’twixt six and now
Must by us both be spent most preciously.

ARIEL
Is there more toil? Since thou dost give me pains,
Let me remember thee what thou hast promised,
Which is not yet performed me.

PROSPERO
How now? Moody?
What is’t thou canst demand?

ARIEL
My liberty.

PROSPERO
Before the time be out? No more!

---

234 Mediterranean float afloat upon the Mediterranean or on the waves of the Mediterranean Sea (OED float sb. 3a cites this for the second meaning), but OED float v. 11a is also plausible: ‘Of the tide, to lift up or support on its surface’, as opposed to the ships that were sunk. A fourth possibility is in the sense of the Spanish ‘flotilla’ – that the ships were reunited into a small fleet. Some editors have suggested an emendation to ‘flood’: ‘a body of flowing water’ (OED sb. 2).

239 mid-season noon

240 At . . . glasses 2 p.m., i.e. two hour glasses past midday, although sailors at the time usually used half-hour glasses (J. Smith, Sea Grammar, 38). Prospero amplifies Ariel’s Past the mid-season, declaring that the time is now 2 p.m., and the action must end by 6 p.m., thereby maintaining the unity of time. See Introduction, pp. 14–16, and the reference to glasses at 5.1.223: ‘three glasses since we gave out split’.

241 preciously valuably, now obsolete (cited in OED adv. 2)

243 remember remind

243-4 promised . . . performed This may be an allusion to the proverbial expression, ‘Great promise small performance’ (Dent, P602).

244 Moody angry, ill-humoured. Prospero’s query suggests that Ariel is palpably impatient to be free.

246 time Ariel is Prospero’s indentured servant, under an oral agreement to work for a fixed period, though in the following lines Ariel suggests that the magician had offered him a one-year reduction in return for services rendered.
ARIEL

I prithee
Remember I have done thee worthy service,
Told thee no lies, made thee no mistakings, served
Without or grudge or grumblings. Thou did promise
To bate me a full year.

PROSPERO

Dost thou forget
From what a torment I did free thee?

ARIEL

No.

PROSPERO

Thou dost, and think'st it much to tread the ooze
Of the salt deep,
To run upon the sharp wind of the north,
To do me business in the veins o'th' earth
When it is baked with frost.

ARIEL

I do not, sir.

PROSPERO

Thou liest, malignant thing; hast thou forgot
The foul witch Sycorax, who with age and envy
Was grown into a hoop? Hast thou forgot her?

ARIEL

No, sir.

PROSPERO

Thou hast! Where was she born? Speak; tell me.

250 bate reduce (abate) the length of his servitude
252-6 tread . . . frost Prospero accuses Ariel of exaggerating his chores: to walk on the muck of the ocean floor, to be buffeted by cold north winds, to labour in the frozen ground. These descriptions suggest Ariel's wide-ranging action in contrast to his earlier immobility in a pine tree (see 269-80 below).
256 baked with frost hardened by the cold
258 Sycorax The origins of this name are uncertain. Unique to Shakespeare, it may be derived from the Greek words for sow (sus) and raven (korax). Both animals are associated with witchcraft; Medea was known as the Scythian raven, and Circe the sorceress turned Odysseus' men into pigs. The witches Circe and Medea were associated with Colchis, home of the Coraxi tribe. Prospero's contempt for Sycorax's witchcraft may reflect his anxiety about his own magical powers, which he used to counteract her spells (see 291-3 below and n.).
envy malice
259 hoop a circular band or ring of metal (OED sb. 1a). Sycorax is bent over with age.

248 thee om. Rowe
ARIEL
Sir, in Algiers.

PROSPERO O, was she so? I must
Once in a month recount what thou hast been,
Which thou forget'st. This damned witch Sycorax,
For mischiefs manifold and sorceries terrible
To enter human hearing, from Algiers,
Thou knowst, was banished. For one thing she did
They would not take her life; is not this true?

ARIEL Ay, sir.

PROSPERO
This blue-eyed hag was hither brought with child,
And here was left by th' sailors. Thou, my slave,

261 Algiers In Shakespeare’s era (and therefore in F), the placename was Argier, pronounced Argièr.
O, was she so? Prospero is perhaps being sarcastic here, but as Orgel (Oxf3, 115) notes, his knowledge of Sycorax is not first-hand but derived from Ariel’s accounts.
265 human F’s ‘humane’ suggests feelings of compassion in addition to the fact of being human. In Shakespeare’s time these spellings and ‘humain(e)’ were interchangeable.
266 For... did Debate over the one thing has flourished. Charles Lamb quoted John Ogilby’s ‘accurate description of Africa’ (1670) to argue that Shakespeare was drawing on the legend of an Algerian witch who saved the city when it was besieged by Charles V’s navy in 1541; she put a curse on the fleet, raising a furious storm that drove the ships away (Critical Essays (London, 1903), 70–2). Most twentieth-century editors acknowledge that Sycorax’s pregnancy (269 below), by the laws and conventions of the time, would have prevented her execution. Cf. 1H6 5.4.62–85, where Joan claims to be pregnant in order to avoid execution. Older theories are set forth in Var, 60–1.
269 blue-eyed hag witch with blue eyes. Twentieth-century editors have traditionally argued that the eye colour is meant pejoratively and probably refers to blue eyelids (Ard2, 27) or pregnancy, as in Webster’s The Duchess of Malfi (1623), 2.1.67, where the pregnant Duchess is described: ‘The fins of her eyelids look most teeming blue’. Leah Marcus contends that the sobriquet is far more problematic. In the aftermath of the nineteenth century’s racial Darwinism, blue eyes became associated with people of Anglo-Saxon heritage. As an Algerian witch, Sycorax did not fit the stereotype, and commentators accordingly found alternative explanations for the colour of her eyes (see Marcus, 5–17). Despite the prejudices that may have shaped earlier assumptions, the words are Prospero’s, and his angry speech should probably be read in its most negative sense.
270–1 Thou... thyself Ariel’s apparent protest that he is Prospero’s slave
As thou report'st thyself, was then her servant,
And – for thou wast a spirit too delicate
To act her earthy and abhorred commands,
Refusing her grand hests – she did confine thee,
By help of her more potent ministers
And in her most unmitigable rage,
Into a cloven pine, within which rift
Imprisoned thou didst painfully remain
A dozen years, within which space she died
And left thee there, where thou didst vent thy groans
As fast as millwheels strike. Then was this island
(Save for the son that she did litter here,
A freckled whelp, hag-born) not honoured with
A human shape.

ARIEL

Yes, Caliban, her son.

echoes a common complaint of servants in Shakespeare's time; Prospero mocks the contention.

272 for because
273 act carry out, perform (first occurrence in OED v. 3)
274 hests behests, requests
275 ministers agents; presumably, Sycorax's servants disappeared with her death, their potency no longer effective.
276 unmitigable with no possibility of softening or lessening (first occurrence in OED)
277 cloven pine See FQ, 1.2.33, where Fradubio is confined in a tree.
279 This line establishes much of the play's chronology. If Sycorax imprisoned Ariel for twelve years before Prospero and Miranda (then aged three) arrived, and assuming that Caliban was born soon after Sycorax came to the island (see 269–70), he was at least twelve years old when they landed and twenty-four when the play takes place.
281 millwheels strike i.e. as frequently as each blade of a millwheel hits the water
281–4 This sentence establishes Caliban's human physique; see Vaughan, Caliban, 9–12.
282 *she . . . here give birth to, using a term usually applied to animal births to demean Sycorax and Caliban, as does freckled whelp (i.e. puppy). F's 'he' has, beginning with Dryden & Davenant, almost always been emended to she to be consistent with all other references to Sycorax. Jonathan Goldberg, however, suggests that F's 'he' conveys intentional sexual ambiguity, a theme that Goldberg (105–28) also finds elsewhere in the play.
283 whelp 'the young of the dog', here applied 'deprecatingly to the offspring of a noxious creature'; 'son of a bitch' (cited in OED sh.1 3a)
284 Yes . . . son. Ariel confirms that Caliban was left on the island, 'A freckled whelp, hag-born'.

273 earthy] earthly Rowe 2 274 hests – ] this edn; hests, F 282 she] Dryden & Davenant; he F
PROSPERO

Dull thing, I say so – he, that Caliban,
Whom now I keep in service. Thou best knowst
What torment I did find thee in: thy groans
Did make wolves howl and penetrate the breasts
Of ever-angry bears. It was a torment
To lay upon the damned, which Sycorax
Could not again undo. It was mine art,
When I arrived and heard thee, that made gape
The pine and let thee out.

ARIEL

I thank thee, master.

PROSPERO

If thou more murmur’st, I will rend an oak
And peg thee in his knotty entrails till
Thou hast howled away twelve winters.

ARIEL

Pardon, master,
I will be correspondent to command
And do my spriting gently.

PROSPERO

Do so, and after two days
I will discharge thee.

ARIEL

That’s my noble master.
What shall I do? Say what? What shall I do?

PROSPERO

Go make thyself like a nymph o’th’ sea;
Be subject to no sight but thine and mine, invisible
To every eyeball else. Go take this shape
And hither come in’t. Go! Hence with diligence. 305

Exit [Ariel].

[to Miranda] Awake, dear heart, awake; thou hast slept well.

Awake.

MIRANDA The strangeness of your story put Heaviness in me.

PROSPERO Shake it off. Come on,
We’ll visit Caliban, my slave, who never Yields us kind answer.

MIRANDA ’Tis a villain, sir, 310
I do not love to look on.

PROSPERO But as ’tis,
We cannot miss him; he does make our fire,
Fetch in our wood, and serves in offices
That profit us. – What ho, slave! Caliban,
Thou earth, thou: speak!

**CALIBAN** [within] There’s wood enough within.  

**PROSPERO**

Come forth I say, there’s other business for thee.  
Come, thou tortoise, when?

*Enter ARIEL, like a water nymph.*

Fine apparition, my quaint Ariel,  
Hark in thine ear.

**ARIEL** My lord, it shall be done.  

**PROSPERO**

Thou poisonous slave, got by the devil himself  
Upon thy wicked dam; come forth!

*Enter CALIBAN.*

**CALIBAN**

As wicked dew as ere my mother brushed

---

315 **Thou earth** Prospero again emphasizes Caliban’s earthiness (cf. 274 and n.), in contrast to Ariel’s spirituality. See Introduction, pp. 28–30.

317 **thou tortoise** This epithet has induced some editors, critics and artists to visualize Caliban as a giant turtle (see the discussion in Vaughan, *Caliban*, 13, 76, 223–4), but the epithet’s context and the subsequent *when* leave no doubt that Prospero is responding to Caliban’s dilatoriness. *when*? ‘When will you get here?’ or, more imperatively, ‘get a move on’. See R2 1.1.162: ‘When, Harry? when?’

317.1 Ariel is wearing the costume Prospero had given him earlier, signifying to the audience that Ariel is invisible to Miranda.

318 **Fine** ‘Exquisitely fashioned; delicately beautiful’ (cited in *OED* a. 6a) quaint clever, skillful. See *TS* 3.2.145–7: ‘We’ll overreach . . . / The quaint musician’.

320 **got . . . himself** In the Jacobean play, *The Birth of Merlin*, the devil (who is described as having ‘a face like a Frying-Pan’) claims Merlin for his son. Although Merlin does not seem to have inherited his father’s blackness, the magician’s resonance with Caliban (both are sons of the devil) may suggest a dark hue for Shakespeare’s monster. See Udall, 50–5. Prospero’s knowledge of Caliban’s paternity could only have come from Ariel or Caliban, neither of whom had first-hand information. In any case, the line indicates Prospero’s animus towards Caliban.

322–4 As . . . both. Prospero had earlier sent Ariel to Bermuda for dew to use in his magic; Caliban now wishes some for his bag of tricks, in this case *wicked* (offensive, foul: cited in *OED* a. 2b) dew from swampy ground. Ravens were commonly associated with witchcraft. See 258n.
With raven's feather from unwholesome fen
Drop on you both. A southwest blow on ye
And blister you all o'er.

PROSPERO
For this, be sure, tonight thou shalt have cramps,
Side-stitches, that shall pen thy breath up; urchins
Shall forth at vast of night that they may work
All exercise on thee; thou shalt be pinched
As thick as honeycomb, each pinch more stinging
Than bees that made 'em.

CALIBAN
I must eat my dinner.
This island's mine by Sycorax, my mother,
Which thou tak'st from me. When thou cam'st first
Thou strok'st me and made much of me; wouldst give me
Water with berries in't, and teach me how

324 southwest Winds from the south-west often brought warm, damp air, with implications of unhealthiness. Cf. Cor. 1.4.30: 'All the contagion of the south light on you'.

325 blister i.e. cause infectious lesions

327 Side-stitches pains between the shoulders and hips (first occurrence in OED side s.² 22)

pen . . . up stop your breath
urchins spirits assuming the shape of hedgehogs; cf. Mrs Page's call for children to dress 'Like urchins' in MW 4.4.50.

328 *forth at* F's syntax is faulty here, but many editors leave it unremarked. Dryden & Davenant solve the problem by substituting 'Urchins shall prick thee 'till thou bleed'st'. Thomas White first emended the spacing to read 'forth at'; 'Urchins . . . shall . . . go forth [at vast of night] and work all exercise on thee' (Var, 70). Though this reading has been rejected by many twentieth-century editors, it nicely resolves an ambiguous line and is adopted in Oxf, Bantam and Folg².

vast of night 'The vast of night means the night which is naturally empty and deserted, without action' (Johnson & Steevens, 24).

329 exercise perform, practise (cited in OED v. 6b as obsolete)

329–30 pinched . . . honeycomb pinched as densely as bee cells in a honeycomb, which are formed by a sort of pinching

330 thick frequently, as in 'thick and fast' (OED adv. 3)

332 Caliban here makes his claim to the island on the grounds of inheritance, which many editors and critics (e.g. Oxf¹, 119) have assumed would be invalid were Caliban illegitimate. Yet, as the only human on the island at the time of Sycorax's death, he performe would possess it regardless of legitimacy.

334 strok'st properly 'strok'd'st', which is difficult to pronounce, although Rowe emended to it

335 Water with berries Some editors suggest that this line is adapted from Strachey's account of Bermuda (see
To name the bigger light and how the less
That burn by day and night. And then I loved thee
And showed thee all the qualities o' th' isle:
The fresh springs, brine pits, barren place and fertile.
Cursed be I that did so! All the charms
Of Sycorax – toads, beetles, bats – light on you,
For I am all the subjects that you have,
Which first was mine own king; and here you sty me
In this hard rock, whiles you do keep from me
The rest o' th' island.

PROSPERO

Thou most lying slave,
Whom stripes may move, not kindness; I have used thee
(Filth as thou art) with humane care and lodged thee
In mine own cell, till thou didst seek to violate
The honour of my child.

Appendix 1.1), where the shipwrecked
Englishmen discovered that cedar
berries, 'seething, straining, and
letting stand some three or foure
dais, made a kind of pleasant drinke'
(1739). Another possibility is that
berries refers to 'grapes', a synonym for
berries, especially in Old English
(OED sb. 1a). If so, Prospero's wine
was apparently weaker and less inti-
cating than the sack in Stephano's
butt, unless the local drink was used up
many years earlier and Caliban only
dimly remembers its wonders (Bate,
Genius, 246).

336–7 the bigger . . . night Prospero
drew his elementary astronomy lesson
from Genesis, 1.16 (Geneva Bible),
which reports God's creation of
'greater' and 'lesser' lights – the sun
and moon.

338 qualities characteristics

340 Cursed Cursed
charms spells or incantations
341 you Byrne explains the shift here
from 'thou' to 'you': 'Caliban uses
course, rough thou to Prospero, but you
in anger and cursing' (138).
343 sty confine or pen up, as in a pig sty
(first occurrence in OED v. 2 1b)
346 stripes strokes of the whip
move influence, prompt
347 humane 'Human' and 'humane'
were interchangeable spellings in
Shakespeare's time (see 265n.). We
concur with several recent editions
(Oxf¹, Bantam, Folg²) which choose
F's 'humane' to stress Prospero's
compassionate care. Modernizing to
'human', as some editors do, empha-
sizes Prospero's humanity as opposed
to Caliban's bestiality, a reading
that privileges the magus over his
slave.

340 I... so] I that I did so F2
341 Sycorax – ] Signet; Sycorax: F; Sycorax; / Rowe: Sycorax, / Pope bats – ] this edn; Batts F; bats, Pope
343 Which] I Dryden & Davenant; Who Pope
mine] (min) sty me] (sty-me) 347 humane] human F4  thee] om. F4 3
O ho, O ho! Would't had been done; 350
Thou didst prevent me, I had peopled else
This isle with Calibans.

Abhorred slave,
Which any print of goodness wilt not take,
Being capable of all ill; I pitied thee,
Took pains to make thee speak, taught thee each hour
One thing or other. When thou didst not, savage,
Know thine own meaning, but wouldst gabble like
A thing most brutish, I endowed thy purposes
With words that made them known. But thy vile race
(Though thou didst learn) had that in't which good
natures
Could not abide to be with; therefore wast thou
Deservedly confined into this rock,

Would't . . . done Although some critics have recently suggested that the attempted violation was Prospero's fabrication, Caliban here defiantly admits it.

'had you not prevented me, I would have populated'

From Dryden to the early twentieth century, editors generally re-assigned this speech from Miranda to Prospero on the grounds of decorum. See Introduction, pp. 135–6.

Abhorred

print imprint. One of the signs of barbarism in Shakespeare’s day was thought to be the inability to absorb virtue as well as information. Miranda here consigns Caliban to the ranks of the morally ineducable.

Miranda implies that whatever Caliban’s native language was, to her ears it was simply brutish gabbling that Caliban himself couldn't understand. For discussion of the role of language in Europe's colonization of the New World, see Greenblatt, 16–39.

thy vile race in effect, creatures of your kind who share your diabolical nature. ‘Race’ had a wide range of meanings in the seventeenth century and did not necessarily connote systematic and legal categories as it would later. Steevens suggested ‘Race, in this place, seems to signify original disposition, inborn qualities’ (Johnson & Steevens, 26, and OED race sh.2, which cites this occurrence as meaning ‘natural or inherited disposition’); however, if we think of Caliban as African, the term resonates strongly with modern audiences who then see Miranda’s contempt for a dark-hued slave as predictive of modern racism. See Introduction, pp. 48–51.

Who hadst deserved more than a prison.

CALIBAN
You taught me language, and my profit on’t
Is I know how to curse. The red plague rid you
For learning me your language.

PROSPERO  Hag-seed, hence:
Fetch us in fuel, and be quick — thou’rt best —
To answer other business. Shrug’st thou, malice?
If thou neglect’st, or dost unwillingly
What I command, I’ll rack thee with old cramps,
Fill all thy bones with aches, make thee roar,
That beasts shall tremble at thy din.

CALIBAN  No, pray thee.
[aside] I must obey; his art is of such power
It would control my dam’s god Setebos,
And make a vassal of him.

PROSPERO  So, slave, hence.  Exit Caliban.

---

363 more . . . prison i.e. a worse punishment than imprisonment
364–6 See 356–9 and n.
366 red plague The General Practice of Physic (London, 1605) identified red, black and yellow sores caused by plague (675). ‘Red’ was applied to various diseases marked by ‘evacuation of blood or cutaneous eruptions’ (cited in OED 16b). Johnson suggested that the red plague came ‘from the redness of the body universally inflamed’ (Johnson & Steevens, 27). See also Var, 75.
366 learning teaching
Hag-seed the offspring of a hag
367 thou’rt best you are advised to
368 answer other business do other work
370 rack affect with severe pain, as by torture
old cramps either cramps of old age or, perhaps, more of the same cramps that Caliban has suffered already
371 aches originally pronounced with two syllables, soft ‘ch’, and a soft ‘e’—‘itches’; cf. AC 4.7.7–8, where Scarus puns: ‘I had a wound here that was like a T, / But now ’tis made an H’.
372 That . . . din so loudly that beasts will tremble
Enter FERDINAND[,] and ARIEL, invisible, playing and singing.

ARIOEL [Sings.]

Come unto these yellow sands,
And then take hands;
Curtsied when you have, and kissed
The wild waves whist;
Foot it feately here and there,
And sweet sprites bear
The burden.

(burden dispersedly)

SPIRITS Hark, hark! Bow-wow,
The watch dogs bark, bow-wow.

ARIOEL Hark hark, I hear,
The strain of strutting chanticleer
Cry cock a diddle dow.

375.1 invisible Prospero has already (301–4) told Ariel to be invisible to everyone else, thus informing the audience that Ferdinand will not see Ariel. The sea-nymph costume serves as a reminder of his invisibility. Cf. 302 and 317.1.

playing Oxf¹ (121) suggests that Ariel plays a lute.

376 yellow sands perhaps suggested by Virgil’s Aeneid. In the Elysian fields Aeneas finds that ‘Some disport their limbs on the grassy wrestling-ground, vie in sports, and grapple on the yellow sand’ (‘fulva... haren’ Aeneid, Bk 6, 640–4)). Cf. MND 2.1.126, where Titania recalls sitting ‘on Neptune’s yellow sands’.

378–9 kissed...whist The song tells the dancers to kiss each other until the waves grow silent or, perhaps, to kiss the waves to silence. It was customary at country dances for couples to kiss at certain measures. OED a. 1 uses this line to illustrate the archaic meaning of whist: ‘silent, quiet, still, hushed; making no sound; free from noise or disturbance’. See Var, 78–9, and Oxf¹ (122). Long concludes that this ‘music calms the storm’, which had continued in the background until this point (99).

380 Foot it feately dance skilfully, gracefully (OED 2b). Cf. WT 4.4.176: ‘She dances feately’.

382 SD burden dispersedly a refrain or chorus that is sung from various positions around the stage, or perhaps from beneath, but not in unison. From Capell on, many editors have made the sounds of dogs barking the only chorus, but Noble argues persuasively that 383–4 form a refrain (105).

383 SP *Ariel is accompanied in the chorus by his fellow Spirits. Otherwise F’s inclusion of the ARIEL SP — calling for Ariel to sing solo in 385 – makes no sense. See Noble, 105–6.

386 strain...chanticleer song of a rooster, as in Chaucer’s Nun’s Priest’s Tale
FERDINAND

Where should this music be? I'th' air, or th'earth?
It sounds no more, and sure it waits upon
Some god o'th' island. Sitting on a bank,
Weeping again the King my father's wreck,
This music crept by me upon the waters,
Allaying both their fury and my passion
With its sweet air. Thence I have followed it
(Or it hath drawn me, rather) but 'tis gone.
No, it begins again.

ARIEL [Sings.]

Full fathom five thy father lies,
Of his bones are coral made;
Those are pearls that were his eyes,
Nothing of him that doth fade
But doth suffer a sea-change
Into something rich and strange.
Sea nymphs hourly ring his knell.

SPIRITS

Ding dong.

ARIEL

Hark, now I hear them.

SPIRITS

Ding dong bell.

FERDINAND

The ditty does remember my drowned father;
This is no mortal business nor no sound
That the earth owes. I hear it now above me.
PROSPERO [to Miranda]

The fringed curtains of thine eye advance,
And say what thou seest yond.

MIRANDA What is’t, a spirit? 410

Lord, how it looks about. Believe me, sir,
It carries a brave form. But ’tis a spirit.

PROSPERO

No, wench, it eats and sleeps and hath such senses
As we have – such. This gallant which thou seest
Was in the wreck, and but he’s something stained 415
With grief (that’s beauty’s canker) thou mightst call him
A goodly person. He hath lost his fellows
And strays about to find ’em.

MIRANDA I might call him
A thing divine, for nothing natural
I ever saw so noble.

PROSPERO [aside]  It goes on, I see, 420

As my soul prompts it. [to Ariel] Spirit, fine spirit,
I’ll free thee
Within two days for this.

FERDINAND Most sure the goddess

409 fringed . . . advance (fringed); eyes
with fringes (a metaphor for eyelashes)
that are raised or lifted up (cited in
OED advance v. 9)
410 yond over there, yonder
412 carries . . . form has a handsome shape
But it has to be, alas
413 wench See 139n.
414 gallant pronounced gallant; fine,
attractive gentleman, here meant play-
fully, perhaps ironically
415 but except that
something somewhat
416 canker The cankerworm feeds on
shrubs and trees, slowly destroying the
buds; a glancing reference to the proverb, ‘The canker sooner eats
the fairest rose’ (Dent, C56). The
metaphor compares grief to a cancer
that eats away at a flower (beauty). Cf.
TN 2.4.110–15, where Viola reports
how concealed love destroys beauty
‘like a worm i’th bud’.
417 goodly handsome
421 soul prompts intellectual or spiri-
tual power, distinguished from physi-
cal (OED soul sb. 3b), that inspires or
directs (prompts)
Spirit perhaps monosyllabic
422 Most . . . goddess a paraphrase of
Virgil’s ‘O dea certe’ from the Aeneid,
where Aeneas encounters his mother
Venus. When he asks if she is a god-
dess, Venus replies, ‘Nay, I claim not
such worship’ (Bk 1, 328–35).

409 SD] Oxf  410 What . . . a] Rowe; What is’t a F: What is’t? A Capell; What, is’t a Riv
420 SD] Pope  421 SD] Oxf
On whom these airs attend! — Vouchsafe my prayer
May know if you remain upon this island,
And that you will some good instruction give
How I may bear me here. My prime request,
Which I do last pronounce, is (O, you wonder!)
If you be maid or no?

MIRANDA No wonder, sir,
But certainly a maid.

FERDINAND My language? Heavens!
I am the best of them that speak this speech,
Were I but where 'tis spoken.

PROSPERO How? The best?
What wert thou if the King of Naples heard thee?

FERDINAND A single thing, as I am now, that wonders
To hear thee speak of Naples. He does hear me,
And that he does, I weep. Myself am Naples,
Who, with mine eyes, never since at ebb, beheld
The King my father wrecked.

MIRANDA Alack, for mercy!

FERDINAND Yes, faith, and all his lords — the Duke of Milan

423 on . . . attend for whom these songs are played
424 Vouchsafe grant
426 bear me comport myself, behave
427 wonder a play on Miranda's name from the Latin verb miror: to wonder, be astonished at. See List of Roles n. 14.
429 maid a human (not goddess); an unmarried woman; a virgin. Shakespeare may have been alluding to FQ, 3.5.35–6, where Spenser records the meeting between Timias and Belphoebe: 'Angell, or Goddesse, do I call thee right? . . . Therat she blushing said, Ah! gentle Squire, / Nor Goddesse I, nor Angell, but the Mayd, / And daughter of a woody Nymphe'.
430 best highest in rank. Ferdinand assumes that his father drowned.
433 single one and the same person as the King of Naples. The word also resonates with Ferdinand's bereavement: he is 'Unaccompanied or unsupported by others' (OED a. 1); 'standing alone' as the unique ruler of Naples (OED a. 7); as well as a bachelor (OED a. 8a).
434 He . . . me 'I, being the King of Naples, hear myself.'
436 at ebb at low tide; i.e. without tears
437 for mercy 'may God have mercy!'
And his brave son being twain.

PROSPERO [aside]

The Duke of Milan

And his more braver daughter could control thee

If now ’twere fit to do’t. At the first sight

They have changed eyes. [to Ariel] Delicate Ariel,

I’ll set thee free for this. [to Ferdinand] A word, good sir;

I fear you have done yourself some wrong. A word.

MIRANDA [aside]

Why speaks my father so ungently? This

Is the third man that e’er I saw, the first

That e’er I sighed for. Pity move my father

To be inclined my way.

FERDINAND

O, if a virgin,

And your affection not gone forth, I’ll make you

The Queen of Naples.

PROSPERO

Soft, sir, one word more.

439 his brave son The Duke’s (Antonio’s) son is mentioned nowhere else in Tem; critics have variously explained this oddity, usually by assuming that Shakespeare originally intended to develop the character but later decided against it and neglected to adjust this line. Alonso makes clear in the next scene that he intended Ferdinand to inherit both Milan and Naples (2.1.112-13). Similarly, one of Prospero’s central goals is to ensure that his grandchildren inherit the thrones of both Milan and Naples; in either case, Antonio’s son is alienated from the succession (Kastan, 96). See also Var, 86-7.

440 more braver The adjective more is added to the comparative braver for greater emphasis (Abbott, §11).

442 They . . . eyes Ferdinand and Miranda have exchanged affectionate glances; perhaps a reference to falling in love at first sight. Cf. Dent, L426: ‘Love not at the first LOOK’, a proverb that warns against it, although Shakespeare’s characters often succumb (cf. Rosalind and Orlando, Romeo and Juliet, as well as Ferdinand and Miranda).

444 done . . . wrong spoken falsely; made a serious error

445-7 Although Miranda speaks these words to herself in an aside, she may intend that her father overhear, with the final clause meant as an appeal for pity on Ferdinand.

447 Pity move let compassion sway

448 if a virgin As a prince (or, as he thinks, a king), Ferdinand must marry a virgin to ensure that any children born of the union are his legitimate descendants and thereby eligible to inherit his throne.

450 Soft a call for silence but meant kindly (OED adv. 8a)
[aside] They are both in either’s powers, but this swift business
I must uneasy make, lest too light winning
Make the prize light. [to Ferdinand] One word more. I charge thee
That thou attend me. Thou dost here usurp
The name thou ow’st not and hast put thyself
Upon this island as a spy, to win it
From me, the lord on’t.

FERDINAND No, as I am a man.

MIRANDA
There’s nothing ill can dwell in such a temple.
If the ill spirit have so fair a house,
Good things will strive to dwell with’t.

PROSPERO [to Ferdinand] Follow me. –
Speak not you for him; he’s a traitor. – Come,
I’ll manacle thy neck and feet together;
Sea water shalt thou drink; thy food shall be
The fresh-brook mussels, withered roots, and husks
Wherein the acorn cradled. Follow!

FERDINAND No,

452 uneasy hard, difficult
452–3 light . . . light easy . . . of little value, perhaps with a suggestion of unchastity
453 charge command
454 attend listen to, pay attention to
455 ow’st own. Prospero again accuses Ferdinand of falsely claiming to be King of Naples.
458 Miranda expresses a truism of Renaissance neo-Platonic discourse, that beauty is the physical signifier of a virtuous moral nature. Castiglione’s The Courtier, the most widely circulated Renaissance courtesy book and translated into English by Thomas Hoby in 1561, made the connection explicit: ‘beawtie commeth of God, and is like a circle, the goodnesse wherof is the Centre. And therefore, as there can be no circle without a centre, no more can beauty be without goodnes’ (sig. Tr4').
459–61 ‘If the evil spirit has such a handsome body, it will attract goodness to live with it.’
460–1 Prospero begins by addressing Ferdinand, then turns to Miranda, and then back to Ferdinand.
464 fresh-brook mussels an inedible variety
I will resist such entertainment till
Mine enemy has more power.

He draws and is charmed from moving.

O dear father,
Make not too rash a trial of him, for
He's gentle and not fearful.

What, I say,
My foot my tutor? Put thy sword up, traitor,
Who mak'st a show but dar'st not strike, thy conscience
Is so possessed with guilt. Come from thy ward,
For I can here disarm thee with this stick
And make thy weapon drop.

Beseech you, father –

Hence; hang not on my garments.

Sir, have pity;
I'll be his surety.

Silence! One word more
Shall make me chide thee, if not hate thee. What,
An advocate for an impostor? Hush.
Thou think'st there is no more such shapes as he,
Having seen but him and Caliban. Foolish wench, 480
To th' most of men, this is a Caliban,
And they to him are angels.

MIRANDA

My affections
Are then most humble. I have no ambition
To see a goodlier man.

PROSPERO [to Ferdinand] Come on, obey:
Thy nerves are in their infancy again 485
And have no vigour in them.

FERDINAND

So they are!
My spirits, as in a dream, are all bound up.
My father’s loss, the weakness which I feel,
The wreck of all my friends, nor this man’s threats
(To whom I am subdued) are but light to me,
Might I but through my prison once a day
Behold this maid. All corners else o’th’ earth
Let liberty make use of; space enough
Have I in such a prison.

PROSPERO [aside] It works. [to Ferdinand] Come on. –
Thou hast done well, fine Ariel. – Follow me; –
Hark what thou else shalt do me.

MIRANDA [to Ferdinand] Be of comfort;
My father’s of a better nature, sir,
Than he appears by speech. This is unwonted

481–2 To . . . to in comparison to
485 nerves sinews or tendons (OED sb. 1), or the parts that constitute
strength, vigour (OED sb. 2)
489 nor an unusual use of the word; it
here means ‘and’. See Abbott, §408.
490 light minor burdens
491 through from
492 all . . . earth everywhere else
493 liberty i.e. people who have liberty
494 SD2 Although it is possible to read
this speech differently, it appears most
likely that Prospero commands
Ferdinand to ‘come on’, before turn-
ing to Ariel, then back to Ferdinand.
He next whispers further instructions
to Ariel, and Miranda then tries to
comfort Ferdinand in 496–8.

496 do me do for me
498 unwonted unusual, infrequent
(OED ppl. a. 1)
Which now came from him.

PROSPERO [to Ariel]  Thou shalt be as free
As mountain winds, but then exactly do 500
All points of my command.

ARIEL  To th' syllable.

PROSPERO [to Ferdinand]
Come, follow; – speak not for him.  Exeunt.

2.1  Enter ALONSO, SEBASTIAN, ANTONIO,
GONZALO, ADRIAN, FRANCISCO and others.

GONZALO

Beseech you, sir, be merry. You have cause
(So have we all) of joy, for our escape
Is much beyond our loss. Our hint of woe
Is common: every day some sailor’s wife,
The masters of some merchant, and the merchant, 5
Have just our theme of woe. But for the miracle,
I mean our preservation, few in millions
Can speak like us. Then wisely, good sir, weigh
Our sorrow with our comfort.

499–500 free . . . winds a proverbial expression: ‘As free as the AIR (wind)’
(Dent, A88). In AYL 2.7.48 Jaques calls for liberty, ‘as large a charter as
the wind’, and in Cor 1.9.88–9 Cominius offers to release a prisoner:
‘he should / Be free as is the wind’.  
500 then implies ‘if so, then you must’
501 To th’ syllable. in every detail

2.1.0.2 and others  Orgel omits this part
of F’s SD (as does Bell’s eighteenth-century acting edition), noting that
when the courtiers reassemble in the final scene no others are included.
Perhaps, as Orgel suggests, ‘the super-numerary characters were erroneously
included in Crane’s text’ (Oxf1, 128), but we prefer to leave open to readers
and directors the possibility of extra Neapolitans on stage. See similar SDs
in 1.1.8.2 and 3.3.0.2.

3 beyond greater than
hint occasion (OED sb. 1a)

5 masters . . . merchant This obscure line has puzzled editors but seems to
indicate the officers or the owners of a merchant vessel (OED merchant sb. A
4) and the merchant who owns the cargo. In either case, Gonzalo is referring
to the woes caused by shipwreck. For a roughly analogous usage, see HS
4.1.147–54.

6 theme topic, subject

8–9 weigh . . . comfort ‘Consider not only the shipwreck but also our
remarkable survival.’

Another Part of the Island Pope  0.2 and others] om. Oxf
ALONSO Prithee, peace.
SEBASTIAN [to Antonio] He receives comfort like cold porridge.
ANTONIO [to Sebastian] The visitor will not give him o'er so.
SEBASTIAN Look, he's winding up the watch of his wit; by and by it will strike —
GONZALO [to Alonso] Sir —
SEBASTIAN One. Tell.
GONZALO When every grief is entertained that's offered, comes to th'entertainer —
SEBASTIAN A dollar. Dolour comes to him, indeed. You have 9–10 peace... porridge a pun on 'pease-porridge hot, pease-porridge cold'. Pease-porridge is made from peas.
10 Here Shakespeare shifts from blank verse to prose; the latter is more appropriate for Sebastian and Antonio's sarcastic badinage. Although their punning wordplay is spoken to each other, after 13 they clearly mean to be overheard. Pope found their dialogue distasteful and suggested that it might have been interpolated by the players, but the discourse shows Sebastian and Antonio to be insensitive and cynical, if not downright cruel. And, as Theobald pointed out, the dialogue does provide important background about Claribel's marriage. Bell's acting edition, however, omitted this badinage as 'not worth either utterance or perusal' (Bell, 24). The text returns to blank verse from line 107, when Alonso expresses his despair at Ferdinand's loss. Gonzalo then describes his ideal commonwealth in verse, only to be interrupted by more prose wordplay. The scene reverts to verse from line 191 until the end for Antonio's seduction of Sebastian. The shifts back and forth seem to have caused some lineation problems in F; major changes are listed in the t.n.
12–13 A visitor is 'One who visits from charitable motives or with a view of doing good' (OED 2a); i.e. Gonzalo, as comforter, will not readily abandon his efforts to console Alonso.
14 winding... wit 'Striking or "repeating" watches were invented about the year 1510' (Ard 244).
17 One. Tell. Sebastian imitates the clock striking one and suggests that they continue to count aloud (Tell) (OED v. B 1). T.W. Craik suggests that Tell may have been an SD in Shakespeare's manuscript; the imperative verb instructed the actor in Sebastian's role to 'count the clock' with his hand as he says One (N & Q, 244 (1997), 514).
18–19 'when the recipient of grief embraces every grief that comes his way'
20 dollar 'The English name for the German thaler, a large silver coin' (OED 1). Sebastian puns on Gonzalo's entertainer, as if the word were used in the sense of paid performer.
21 Dolour sorrow. Gonzalo can play with words, too.
spoken truer than you purposed.

SEBASTIAN You have taken it wiselier than I meant you should.

GONZALO Therefore, my lord –

ANTONIO Fie, what a spendthrift is he of his tongue!

ALONSO I prithee, spare.

GONZALO Well, I have done; but yet –

SEBASTIAN He will be talking.

ANTONIO Which, of he or Adrian, for a good wager, first begins to crow?

SEBASTIAN The old cock.

ANTONIO The cockerel.

SEBASTIAN Done! The wager?

ANTONIO A laughter.

SEBASTIAN A match!

ADRIAN Though this island seem to be desert –

ANTONIO Ha, ha, ha.

SEBASTIAN So, you’re paid.
ADRIAN Uninhabitable and almost inaccessible –

SEBASTIAN Yet –

ADRIAN Yet –

ANTONIO He could not miss’t.

ADRIAN It must needs be of subtle, tender and delicate temperance.

ANTONIO Temperance was a delicate wench.

SEBASTIAN Ay, and a subtle, as he most learnedly delivered.

ADRIAN The air breathes upon us here most sweetly.

SEBASTIAN As if it had lungs, and rotten ones.

ANTONIO Or, as ’twere perfumed by a fen.

GONZALO Here is everything advantageous to life.

ANTONIO True, save means to live.

SEBASTIAN Of that there’s none, or little.

GONZALO How lush and lusty the grass looks! How green!

ANTONIO The ground indeed is tawny.

SEBASTIAN With an eye of green in’t.

ANTONIO He misses not much.

SEBASTIAN No; he doth but mistake the truth totally.

GONZALO But the rarity of it is, which is indeed almost beyond credit –

43 ‘Adrian could not avoid talking about it (the island).’

44 subtle ‘refined’ (OED a. 9)

46 Temperance Antonio puns on Adrian’s use of ‘temperance’ to describe the island, using it instead as a proper name for a woman (or wench) who is delicate, or, in a bawdier sense, given to pleasure.

47 subtle Sebastian puns on Adrian’s description of the island as subtle or delicate (OED a. 2) by applying the term to a person (Temperance) who is crafty or insidious (OED a. 10). Sebastian and Antonio are determined to undercut Adrian’s idealistic image of the island.

47–8 learnedly delivered lectured with authority (sarcastic)

51 fen smelly marshland

52 advantageous useful, beneficial (cited in OED a. 1b)

55 lush ‘succulent and luxuriant in growth, of plants’ (cited in OED a. 2a), which Orgel doubts (Oxf1, 130), in favour of ‘soft, tender’

56 tawny of a yellowish brown colour

57 eye of green OED sb.1 9a credits the first application of ‘eye’ as ‘Slight shade, tinge’ to this line.

61 credit belief, credence (cited in OED sb. 1)
SEBASTIAN  As many vouched rarities are.

GONZALO  That our garments being, as they were, drenched in the sea, hold notwithstanding their freshness and gloss, being rather new-dyed than stained with salt water.

ANTONIO  If but one of his pockets could speak, would it not say he lies?

SEBASTIAN  Ay, or very falsely pocket up his report.

GONZALO  Methinks our garments are now as fresh as when we put them on first in Africa, at the marriage of the King's fair daughter Claribel to the King of Tunis.

SEBASTIAN  'Twas a sweet marriage, and we prosper well in our return.

ADRIAN  Tunis was never graced before with such a paragon to their queen.

GONZALO  Not since widow Dido's time.

62 vouched rarities unusual events that are guaranteed (vouched) to be true

65 *freshness . . . new-dyed 'Our garments look brand new.' Freshness: 'Not faded or worn' (cited in OED A 8); 'Superficial lustre' (cited in OED sb.2 la). Orgel argues that F's 'glosses' is a misreading of 'glosse' (Oxford, 131).

67 his pockets Antonio suggests that the pockets, if not the outer surfaces of their garments, are not as fresh as Gonzalo claims.

69 falsely pocket up a pun on pocket: the pocket will conceal (hide) Gonzalo's lying report; from the proverbial expression, 'To pocket up an injury' (Dent, 170). See also KJ 3.1.200, 1H4 3.3.162-3 and H5 3.2.51, where the wording is similar.

71 *Africa Unlike previous editors, we have modernized F's 'Affricke' to be consistent with our treatment of other placenames. The extra syllable does not affect this prose passage.

72 Tunis a city in northern Africa, now the capital of Tunisia. The reference here is to Tunis as a city-state, as Carthage was earlier.

73 prosper Sebastian's inadvertent pun suggests Prospero's hand in the Neapolitans' fate.

76 to as

77 widow Dido Dido was the widow of Sychaeus, and Aeneas was a widower when he met her. Aeneid (Bks 1-4) describes how Dido, the Queen of Carthage, killed herself when her lover Aeneas abandoned her to travel to Italy, where he founded the city of Rome. Gonzalo's comment, and Sebastian and Antonio's feeble jokes which follow, have puzzled editors, but as Paster contends, Shakespeare may be referring indirectly to Montaigne's essay 'Of Diverting and Diversions' which twice mentions Dido in connection with the themes of shipwreck, loss and consolation. Gonzalo tries to divert the King's attention from his lost son, while the hard-hearted Sebastian and Antonio make jokes. 'At this moment', Paster
ANTONIO Widow? A pox o'that. How came that widow in? Widow Dido!
SEBASTIAN What if he had said widower Aeneas too? Good lord, how you take it!
ADRIAN Widow Dido, said you? You make me study of that. She was of Carthage, not of Tunis.
GONZALO This Tunis, sir, was Carthage.
ADRIAN Carthage?
GONZALO I assure you, Carthage.
ANTONIO His word is more than the miraculous harp.
SEBASTIAN He hath raised the wall, and houses too.
ANTONIO What impossible matter will he make easy next?
SEBASTIAN I think he will carry this island home in his pocket and give it his son for an apple.
ANTONIO And sowing the kernels of it in the sea, bring forth more islands!
GONZALO I—
ANTONIO Why, in good time.
GONZALO Sir, we were talking that our garments seem now as fresh as when we were at Tunis at the marriage of your daughter, who is now Queen.

concludes, 'two of Shakespeare's sources — the French essayist and the Roman poet — seem to come together' in the unusual juxtaposition of 'consolation and revenge, of Dido and hardness of heart' (94).

82 study of 'to think intently; to meditate' (cited in OED v. 2a)
84 Carthage and Tunis were not physically the same city, but 'after the destruction of Carthage Tunis took its place as the political and commercial centre of the region' (Oxf1, 132).
87—8 miraculous . . . wall In Greek mythology, Amphion used a harp to raise the walls of Thebes. Sebastian suggests that Gonzalo rebuilt all of Carthage by conflating it with Tunis.
91—2 Cf. Cleopatra's description of Antony: 'realms and islands were / As plates dropp'd from his pocket' (AC 5.2.91—2).
93 kernels seeds, presumably from the apple in Gonzalo's pocket (91—2)
95 Although most editors have emended Gonzalo's / to 'Ay', we have retained F's reading because it suggests that Gonzalo begins to make another pronouncement but is rudely interrupted by Antonio. 'Ay', as a term of assent, seems inappropriate in this conversation. For an interesting discussion of this editorial point, see Warren, 33. Cf. Hartwig, 147.

95 I—] I. F: Ay. Rowe; Ay? Capell
ANTONIO And the rarest that e’er came there. 100
SEBASTIAN Bate, I beseech you, widow Dido.
ANTONIO O, widow Dido? Ay, widow Dido.
GONZALO Is not, sir, my doublet as fresh as the first day 105
I wore it? I mean, in a sort.
ANTONIO That sort was well fished for.
GONZALO When I wore it at your daughter’s marriage.
ALONSO
You cram these words into mine ears, against 110
The stomach of my sense. Would I had never 115
Married my daughter there, for coming thence
My son is lost and (in my rate) she too,
Who is so far from Italy removed
I ne’er again shall see her. O thou mine heir
Of Naples and of Milan, what strange fish 119
Hath made his meal on thee?
FRANCISCO Sir, he may live.
I saw him beat the surges under him 124
And ride upon their backs. He trod the water,
Whose enmity he flung aside, and breasted
The surge most swoll’n that met him. His bold head
’Bove the contentious waves he kept and oared

101 Bate ‘To omit, leave out of count, except’ (OED v.2 7). Sebastian playfully posits that Claribel would be the fairest queen except for widow Dido.
104-5 sort . . . sort used differently, with a play on words. Where Gonzalo’s in a sort (104) means ‘in a way’ or manner (OED sb.2 21d), Antonio’s response in 105 uses sort in the sense of kind, variety (OED sb.2 12a).
107-8 You . . . sense. a metaphor of forced feeding. Alonso is in no mood to listen to their chatter.
108 sense temper, disposition, state of feeling (OED sb.7a)
110 in my rate ‘in my estimation’ (first occurrence in OED sb.1)
113 Naples . . . Milan Alonso had intended that Ferdinand inherit control of Milan as well as the kingdom of Naples, a sentiment that may further motivate Antonio to seduce Sebastian into a conspiracy to assassinate the King. Alonso’s statement negates the rights of Antonio’s brave son of 1.2.439 (see Kastan, 96).
115-22 Francisco’s description may derive from Virgil’s report of serpents ‘breasting the sea . . . Their bosoms rise amid the surge, and their crests, blood-red, overtop the waves’ (Aeneid, Bk 2, 203–8).
115 beat . . . him push the waves down
119 oared oar: ‘to propel with or as with oars’ (cited in OED v. 1)
Himself with his good arms in lusty stroke
To th' shore, that o'er his wave-worn basis bowed,
As stooping to relieve him. I not doubt
He came alive to land.

ALONSO  No, no, he's gone.

SEBASTIAN  Sir, you may thank yourself for this great loss,
That would not bless our Europe with your daughter
But rather loose her to an African,
Where she at least is banished from your eye,
Who hath cause to wet the grief on't.

ALONSO  Prithee, peace.

SEBASTIAN  You were kneeled to and importuned otherwise
By all of us, and the fair soul herself
Weighed between loathness and obedience, at
Which end o' th' beam should bow. We have lost your
son,
I fear, for ever. Milan and Naples have
More widows in them of this business' making
Than we bring men to comfort them.

121 his wave-worn basis the base of the
cliffs rising from the shore. Francisco
suggests that the shore itself bent over
as if (As) to relieve Ferdinand (122).
124 yourself This reflexive pronoun
emphasizes that Alonso is to blame for
his son's presumed death.
126 loose ... African Many editors mod-
ernize F's 'loose' to 'lose', removing
any sexual connotations in Claribel's
being 'loosed' or pandered by her
father to a potential customer (and
thus suggesting a subtle criticism of a
patriarchally arranged interracial mar-
riage). For comparison, see Dover
Wilson's discussion of Polonius' promise to 'loose' his daughter to
Hamlet in 2.2.162 (J.D. Wilson,
120 stroke] strokes F4, Rowe 126 lose] lose F2, Rowe 124 yourself] your own F4, other F
125 lose] lose F2, Other F
127 clowns Roman F
128 wet ... on't weep for the sorrow of it
(i.e. her loss and absence)
129 importuned importuned
131 Weighed ... obedience Balanced
(as on a scale) between repulsion
(loathness) at marrying the King of
Tunis and obedience to her father's
will. Weigh is similarly used in 2.1.8.
See 126 above and n.
132 end ... bow continuing the scale image
used in 131: whether loathness or obedi-
ence would prove heavier, causing one
end of the scale to become lower (bow)
134-5 this ... them Sebastian refers to
the wedding and subsequent ship-
wreck and the presumed paucity of
survivors.

Hamlet, 103-4).
The fault’s your own.

ALONSO
So is the dear’st o’th’ loss.

GONZALO My lord Sebastian,
The truth you speak doth lack some gentleness,
And time to speak it in. You rub the sore
When you should bring the plaster.

SEBASTIAN
Very well.

ANTONIO And most chirurgeonly!

GONZALO
It is foul weather in us all, good sir,
When you are cloudy.

SEBASTIAN Foul weather?

ANTONIO Very foul.

GONZALO
Had I plantation of this isle, my lord —

ANTONIO
He’d sow’t with nettle-seed.

137 dear’st referring to Ferdinand, a ‘dear’ loss
139 time . . . it the right timing for such a harsh sentiment
rub the sore irritate, annoy. Perhaps proverbial, as in Dent, S649: ‘to rip up (rub) old sores’.
140 plaster originally ‘an external curative application’ of some medicines ‘spread upon a piece of muslin, skin, or some similar material’ (OED sb. 1a).
Gonzalo tells Sebastian he should administer to the wound rather than exacerbate it.
141 chirurgeonly Although ‘chirurgeon’ was a common sixteenth-century spelling of the word that gradually evolved into ‘surgeon’, the adverb chirurgeonly was so rare that OED cites only this example. It has four syllables.
143 *Foul weather? F’s ‘Fowle’ suggests that perhaps Sebastian is making another feeble attempt at a pun, picking up on the earlier jokes about cocks (31–3), but Antonio doesn’t take the bait. See AW 5.3.32–6 for a similar metaphor relating weather to the mood of a king.
144 plantation a term for a colonial settlement that originated in England’s efforts to subdue Ireland (OED 1c). Gonzalo here begins an extended allusion to Montaigne’s essay ‘Of the Caniballes’, which contrasts the culture of Brazilian Indians to more corrupted European ways. In the following line, Antonio and Sebastian teasingly apply instead the word’s agricultural meaning. See Appendix 1.2.
SEBASTIAN

Or docks, or mallows. 145

GONZALO

And were the king on't, what would I do?

SEBASTIAN

'Scape being drunk, for want of wine.

GONZALO

I'th' commonwealth I would by contraries
Execute all things, for no kind of traffic
Would I admit; no name of magistrate;
Letters should not be known; riches, poverty
And use of service, none; contract, succession,
Bourn, bound of land, tilth, vineyard – none;
No use of metal, corn, or wine or oil;

145 nettle-seed seed of the plant Urtica, a prickly weed that grows on waste ground (OED sb. 1a)
docks a coarse weedy herb that is used as an antidote for nettle stings (OED sb.1 1)
mallows a wild plant with hairy stems and leaves and deeply cleft reddish purple flowers (cited in OED 1). Cf. Gerard, 782, for a discussion of garden mallows, which are similar to hollyhocks.
148 commonwealth a nation or self-governing community; a body politic. Antonio may use the term sarcastically in 158. The word appears frequently and variously in Tudor and Stuart writings, including twenty-seven times in Shakespeare's plays (Spevack, 224).
148 by contraries contrary to usual customs
149 traffic business, commerce
150 admit 'to consent to the performance, doing, realization, or existence of' (OED v. 2a). Cf. TN 1.2.45–6: 'she will admit no kind of suit, / No, not the Duke's'.
151 Letters sophisticated learning. Gonzalo, in keeping with this passage's hyperbole, perhaps means Letters in the more general sense of 'writings, written records' (OED sb. 1 3).
152 use of service custom of masters employing (and often abusing) servants, i.e. a system of masters and hired subordinates (OED service sb.1 1). Cf. 1.2.247 and 286 and 4.1.35.
153 Bourn ... land both mean boundaries; i.e. Gonzalo wants no private landholdings or, at least, no rigid boundaries between them. Cf. WT 1.2.133-4: 'one that fixes / No bourn 'twixt his and mine'.
tilth farming labour, husbandry (OED sb. 2); the labour's produce (OED sb. 3); or tilled land (OED sb. 4)
154 use of metal Gonzalo may mean any metal or, more specifically, precious metal (OED sb. 1d), as in CE 4.1.81–2:
No occupation, all men idle, all;
And women, too, but innocent and pure;
No sovereignty –

SEBASTIAN Yet he would be king on’t.
ANTONIO The latter end of his commonwealth forgets the beginning.

GONZALO All things in common nature should produce
Without sweat or endeavour; treason, felony,
Sword, pike, knife, gun, or need of any engine
Would I not have; but nature should bring forth
Of its own kind all foison, all abundance,
To feed my innocent people.

SEBASTIAN No marrying ’mong his subjects?
ANTONIO None, man, all idle – whores and knaves.

GONZALO I would with such perfection govern, sir,

‘you shall buy this sport as dear / As all the metal in your shop will answer’. Gonzalo’s context also suggests the banning of usury.

155 occupation ‘Employment’ seems to be the principal meaning intended here, but because ‘occupy’ was also a slang term for ‘cohabit with’, Gonzalo may be inadvertently punning.

155-6 idle . . . pure Gonzalo claims that in contrast to the proverbial expression ‘IDLENESS begets Lust’ (Dent, 19), his islanders will remain innocent and pure. Idle connotes lack of employment (OED a. A 4a) and also lazy, indolent, useless (OED a. A 6).

157 sovereignty All four syllables are pronounced. Gonzalo calls for a classless society with rule vested in the community.

158-9 Antonio sarcastically notes the inconsistency in Gonzalo’s wanting to be king of a society that he has decreed will have no sovereignty.

160-1 Gonzalo proposes a prelapsarian society in which all inhabitants share all products, perhaps in contrast to Genesis, 3.19: ‘In the sweat of thy brow shalt thou eat bread’ (Geneva Bible).

162 engine a machine or instrument, especially one used in warfare (OED sb. 5a), but also for other uses, including torture. Cf. KL 1.4.268–9: ‘like an engine, wrench’d my frame of nature / From the fixed place’.

164 Of . . . kind i.e. natural to each separate crop; by its own nature (cited in OED kind sb. 3b). Cf. 5.1.23. foison plenty, abundance

167 idle Antonio refutes Gonzalo’s claim that the islanders will be idle and pure simultaneously (155–6) by here using idle in the sense of frivolous or wanton.
T’excel the Golden Age.

SEBASTIAN
'Save his majesty!

ANTONIO Long live Gonzalo!

GONZALO And — do you mark me, sir? —

ALONSO Prithee, no more.

Thou dost talk nothing to me.

GONZALO I do well believe your highness, and did it to minister occasion to these gentlemen, who are of such sensible and nimble lungs that they always use to laugh at nothing.

ANTONIO 'Twas you we laughed at.

GONZALO Who, in this kind of merry fooling, am nothing to you, so you may continue and laugh at nothing still.

ANTONIO What a blow was there given!

SEBASTIAN An it had not fallen flat-long.

GONZALO You are gentlemen of brave mettle. You would

---

169 Golden Age a reference to the first 'age of man' described in Ovid 1.91–128. Like the biblical Garden of Eden, the age of gold was a prelapsarian world without discord, war or disease; it was followed by progressively degenerate ages of silver, bronze and lead.

170 'Save abbreviation of 'God save'

171 mark take notice of, pay attention to (OED v. 16)

174 minister occasion furnish an opportunity (for laughter)

175–6 sensible . . . nothing lungs ready for laughter; i.e. they habitually laugh with little provocation. Sensible here has the obsolete meaning of 'Having (more or less) acute power of sensation; sensitive' (cited in OED 8a).

179 nothing Gonzalo engages in word-play here on the multiple senses of nothing: the absence of any material object as well as lack of importance or significance, 'A thing (or person) not worth reckoning, considering, or mentioning' (OED sb. 3a).

181 An 'If' (see Abbott, §105). flat-long with the flat side of a sword, not the sharp edge, and therefore relatively harmless

182 mettle 'Metal' (in F, 'mettal') and 'mettle' were interchangeable spellings in the early seventeenth century. Perhaps Gonzalo is punning on 'metal' in response to the previous references to swords. The overriding sense, however, is mettle — spirit or courage.

---

171 And — do] Signet; And do F; Do Cam 181 An] Pope; And F 182 brave] a brave F4, Rowe mettle] (mettal)
lift the moon out of her sphere, if she would continue
in it five weeks without changing.

Enter ARIEL playing solemn music.

SEBASTIAN    We would so, and then go a bat-fowling.  185
ANTONIO      Nay, good my lord, be not angry.
GONZALO      No, I warrant you, I will not adventure my
discretion so weakly. Will you laugh me asleep, for
I am very heavy.
ANTONIO      Go sleep, and hear us.  190

[All sleep except Alonso, Sebastian and Antonio.]

ALONSO
What, all so soon asleep? I wish mine eyes
Would, with themselves, shut up my thoughts. I find
They are inclined to do so.

SEBASTIAN    Please you, sir,
            Do not omit the heavy offer of it.
            It seldom visits sorrow; when it doth,
            It is a comforter.

ANTONIO      We two, my lord, 195

183–4 lift... weeks Gonzalo charges that
Sebastian and Antonio would lift the
moon out of its orbit round the earth if
it would stay still for five weeks—an
obvious impossibility; i.e. although
they talk a good game, one should not
expect any action from them.

184.1 Ariel is presumably wearing the
invisible costume Prospero provided in
1.2.304 and so cannot be seen by the
characters on stage.

185 bat-fowling 'the catching of birds at
night when at roost' (cited in OED vbl.
sh. 1) by hitting them with a club; the
birds are especially vulnerable when
blinded by sudden light on a moonless
night (see Strachey, 31). The term was
also used metaphorically for 'swin-
dling, victimizing the simple' (OED
vbl. sb. 2), an intriguing double entendre
from Sebastian, given Gonzalo's refer-
ences to the moon's being lifted from
its sphere and the action that follows.

187–8 adventure... weakly 'risk losing
my composure so lightly' (OED
adventure v. 2: risk, imperil)

188 discretion prudence, sound judge-
ment (OED 6)

laugh me asleep 'put me to sleep
with your (tedious) laughter'; or, 'tire
me to sleep from laughing'

189 heavy sleepy, drowsy

190 and hear us i.e. hear us laugh

194 omit... offer disregard the invita-
tion to drowsiness

195 It... sorrow 'Sleep rarely comes to
one who grieves.'
Will guard your person while you take your rest,
And watch your safety.

ALONSO Thank you. Wondrous heavy.

[Alonso sleeps. Exit Ariel.]

SEBASTIAN

What a strange drowsiness possesses them!

ANTONIO

It is the quality o’th’ climate.

SEBASTIAN

Why

Doth it not then our eyelids sink? I find not
Myself disposed to sleep.

ANTONIO

Nor I. My spirits are nimble.

They fell together all, as by consent;
They dropped, as by a thunderstroke. What might,
Worthy Sebastian, O, what might – ? No more;
And yet, methinks I see it in thy face
What thou shouldst be. Th’occasion speaks thee, and
My strong imagination sees a crown
Dropping upon thy head.

SEBASTIAN

What, art thou waking?

ANTONIO

Do you not hear me speak?

SEBASTIAN

I do, and surely

It is a sleepy language, and thou speak’st
Out of thy sleep. What is it thou didst say?
This is a strange repose, to be asleep
With eyes wide open – standing, speaking, moving,

as if

No more Antonio hesitates, as if
afraid to articulate what he is thinking.
The following dialogue has a striking
similarity to Lady Macbeth’s conver-
sation with her husband in Mac
1.7.28–82.

Th’occasion . . . thee ‘The opportu-
nity confronts (speaks to) you.’

strong intense, fervent (cited in OED
a. 131)

art thou waking? Are you awake?

sleepy language incoherent or
dreamlike speech. Sebastian is not sure
he has heard Antonio correctly.

Bantam; open: F; open; Capell

198
And yet so fast asleep.

ANTONIO Noble Sebastian,

Thou let’s thy fortune sleep – die rather; wink’st
While thou art waking.

SEBASTIAN Thou dost snore distinctly.

There’s meaning in thy snores.

ANTONIO I am more serious than my custom. You

Must be so too, if heed me, which to do

Trebles thee o’er.

SEBASTIAN Well, I am standing water.

ANTONIO I’ll teach you how to flow.

SEBASTIAN Do so. To ebb

Hereditary sloth instructs me.

ANTONIO O,

If you but knew how you the purpose cherish
While you mock it, how in stripping it
You more invest it. Ebbing men, indeed,

216–17 wink’st . . . waking ‘You close your eyes while you are awake’; i.e. you are perversely refusing to see.

217 distinctly ‘In a distinct or clear manner; without confusion or obscurity: so as to be clearly perceived or understood’ (OED adv. 2)

220 if heed me sometimes (unnecessarily) emended to ‘if you heed me’, which is the sense here

221 Trebles thee o’er makes you three times greater. Cf. Portia’s desire to ‘be trebled twenty times myself’ (MV 3.2.153).

221–2 standing water . . . flow . . . ebb Sebastian and Antonio play with the concept of tides that can flow (grow higher), stand still or ebb (recede). Sebastian replies that his natural laziness – or perhaps his position as a younger brother – has kept him from improving his position. Latham glosses the metaphor with reference to Joseph Hall’s Characters of Vertues and Vices (London, 1608), which describes the slothful: ‘this man is a standing Poole, and cannot chuse but gather corruption . . . as nothing but a colder earth molded with standing water’.

224–5 If . . . mock it ‘if you realized how truly you cherish the prospect while you mock it in this way’

226 invest clothe it (after having stripped it). Antonio uses the metaphor of ceremonial robing to imply Sebastian’s secret desire for the throne.

226–8 Ebbing . . . sloth. ‘Men who are ebbing (losing power) stay near the bottom (of the ocean) because of their own fear or sloth.’ Cf. AC 1.4.43–7 and KL 5.3.17–19 for other uses of tidal imagery for political power.

216 sleep – ] Johnson & Steevens; sleepe: F 221 Trebles . . . o’er] Troubles . . . o’er Rowe; Troubles . . . not Hamner; Trembles . . . o’er Johnson
Most often do so near the bottom run
By their own fear or sloth.

SEBASTIAN  Prithee, say on;
The setting of thine eye and cheek proclaim
A matter from thee, and a birth, indeed,
Which throes thee much to yield.

ANTONIO  Thus, sir:
Although this lord of weak remembrance—this
Who shall be of as little memory
When he is earthed—hath here almost persuaded
(For he’s a spirit of persuasion, only
Professes to persuade) the King his son’s alive,
’Tis as impossible that he’s undrowned
As he that sleeps here swims.

SEBASTIAN  I have no hope

ANTONIO  That he’s undrowned.

O, out of that ‘no hope’,

229 setting ‘the manner or position in which anything is set, fixed, or placed’ (OED vbl. sb. 2a)
230 matter reason or cause (OED sb. 13). Cf. TC 2.1.8–9: ‘Then would come some matter from him; I see none now’.
231 throes We change F’s spelling to throwes (see t.n.), as do most editors, to continue Sebastian’s metaphor of childbirth with a reference to labour pains. Sebastian observes that Antonio is having difficulty giving birth to (talking about) the matter. Cited in OED throw (throw) v. 1: ‘to agonize as if in child birth’.
yield probably means ‘produce’, ‘generate’ or ‘give birth to’ (OED 8a) – an extension of the birth . . . throes metaphor. Cf. Per 5.3.47–8: ‘Thy burden at the sea, and call’d Marina / For she was yielded here’. Other plausible meanings include ‘declare’, ‘communicate’ (OED 12), as in AW 3.1.10: ‘The reasons of our state I cannot yield’; and ‘grant’, ‘allow’, as in WT 4.4.410–11: ‘I yield all this; / But for some other reasons’.
232–4 weak . . . earthed ‘This lord who being now in his dotage has outlived his faculty of rememb-ring, and who once laid in the Ground shall be as little remembered himself, as he can now remember other things’ (Johnson, 38).
235 spirit of persuasion The spirit (‘essential principle or power’ (OED sb. 7a)) of persuasion (‘presenting inducements or winning arguments’ (OED 1)). Gonzalo is an expert at persuasion.
235–6 only . . . persuade Because he is a councillor, Gonzalo’s sole profession is to persuade and give opinions.
239–40 no hope . . . great hope

231 throes| Pope; throwes F  232 remembrance – | this edn; remembrance; F  234 earthed – | this edn; earth’d, F
What great hope have you! No hope that way is
Another way so high a hope that even
Ambition cannot pierce a wink beyond,
But doubt discovery there. Will you grant with me
That Ferdinand is drowned?

SEBASTIAN

He’s gone.

ANTONIO

Then tell me,
Who’s the next heir of Naples?

SEBASTIAN

Claribel.

ANTONIO

She that is Queen of Tunis; she that dwells
Ten leagues beyond man’s life; she that from Naples
Can have no note unless the sun were post –
The man i’th’ moon’s too slow – till newborn chins

Antonio picks up on Sebastian’s double negative to make a positive: great hope or expectation.

242–3 Ambition . . . there ‘Ambition cannot go (pierce beyond; penetrate) the least bit higher (wink beyond; cited in OED wink sb.¹ 3b) than the kingship without fear of discovery.’ Sebastian’s great hope is the crown, and circumstances have just presented him with a unique opportunity to attain it without being discovered. As Orgel notes, the exact sense of Antonio’s words seems to contradict what he has just said (Oxf¹, 139–40), though the confused syntax may also reflect the excitement of the moment or Antonio’s deliberate obfuscation of the murder he is suggesting. Antonio seems to realize that Sebastian does not understand him, so he tries a more straightforward approach in the following lines. See Furness for a summary of earlier editorial conjectures about this obscure passage (Var, 115–16).

247 Ten . . . life A league was about three miles, but the term was most often used metaphorically, as it is here. Antonio claims that Tunis is far away from Naples – 30 miles beyond a man’s life, or, perhaps, beyond human (or civilized) habitation – and that consequently Claribel will never make any claim to the crown.

248 no note . . . post no communication, unless the sun were to serve as messenger

249 The . . . slow The moon takes a month to circle the earth, in contrast to the sun’s (presumed) circumnavigation, which only requires twenty-four hours. Cf. 2.2.135–7, where Stephano claims to have been ‘the man i’th’ moon when time was’, and Caliban has ‘seen thee in her’.

249–50 newborn . . . razorable until newborn male children mature to the age when they can shave. Antonio exaggerates the amount of time it would take for news to travel from Naples to Tunis, a distance of only about 300 miles. He seems to have a
Be rough and razorable; she that from whom
We all were sea-swallowed, though some cast again,
And by that destiny to perform an act
Whereof what's past is prologue, what to come
In yours and my discharge!

SEBASTIAN

What stuff is this? How say you?
'Tis true my brother's daughter's Queen of Tunis,
So is she heir of Naples, 'twixt which regions
There is some space.

ANTONIO

A space whose every cubit
Seems to cry out, 'How shall that Claribel
Measure us back to Naples? Keep in Tunis,
And let Sebastian wake.' Say this were death
That now hath seized them; why, they were no worse
Than now they are. There be that can rule Naples
As well as he that sleeps; lords that can prate
As amply and unnecessarily

Eurocentric notion that even the northernmost cities of Africa were off
the end of the earth, as suggested also in 256–60.
250 from whom coming away from
Claribel's wedding; as Kermode notes, from functions as a verb of motion
(Ard², 56).
251 sea-swallowed . . . cast As far as
Claribel will know, we were all swallowed by the sea, with only a few survivors cast up (or vomited) on shore.
Some editors suggest that cast also has theatrical connotations that are echoed
in the next lines.
253 past is prologue Sebastian's previous life is only a prologue to what
Macbeth would call the 'swelling act / Of the imperial theme' (Mac 1.3.128–9) - to becoming king.
253–4 what . . . discharge What's to

come is up to us.
254 discharge 'Fulfilment, performance, execution (of an obligation, duty, function, etc.)' (first occurrence in OED sb. 6)
255 stuff 'nonsense, rubbish' (OED sb. 8b)
258 cubit a measure of distance, about 20
inches, roughly the length of a forearm
260 Measure us 'retrace (one's steps, the road)' (cited in OED v. 11b). The cubits ask how Claribel can traverse
the distance back to Naples.
Keep keep yourself, stay
261 death Sleep was often described as a
mirror of death. See for example
Hamlet's conflation of sleep and death
in his 'To be or not to be' soliloquy
(Ham 3.1.59–66). See also Dent, S527.
263 There be 'there are other men'
As this Gonzalo. I myself could make
A chough of as deep chat. O that you bore
The mind that I do! What a sleep were this
For your advancement! Do you understand me?

SEBASTIAN
Methinks I do.

ANTONIO And how does your content
Tender your own good fortune?

SEBASTIAN I remember
You did supplant your brother Prospero.

ANTONIO True:
And look how well my garments sit upon me
Much feater than before. My brother’s servants
Were then my fellows; now they are my men.

SEBASTIAN
But for your conscience?

ANTONIO
Ay, sir, where lies that? If ’twere a kibe
'Twould put me to my slipper, but I feel not
This deity in my bosom. Twenty consciences
That stand ’twixt me and Milan, candied be they

266–7 I... chat. Antonio claims he could
teach a prating bird (jackdaw) to speak
as profoundly as the chatty Gonzalo.
OED uses this line to illustrate both
chough (1b fig.), a chatterer; and chat
(sb. 1), idle or frivolous talk. See also
AW 4.1.19–20, where the gibberish
used to expose Parolles is called
‘choughs’ language, gabble enough’.
267–8 bore... do shared my resolution
or, perhaps, my awareness of the
opportunity
270–1 And... fortune? ‘How does your
contentment (at what I’ve just said)
translate into (Tender) your good fortune?’
270 content (1)satisfaction, contentment
(OED sb. 2); (2) tenor, purport (OED
sb.2 3)
279 Twenty] (’Twentie)
And melt ere they molest! Here lies your brother,  
No better than the earth he lies upon.  
If he were that which now he's like (that's dead)  
Whom I with this obedient steel – three inches of it –  
Can lay to bed forever (whiles you, doing thus,  
285  
To the perpetual wink for aye might put  
This ancient morsel, this Sir Prudence, who  
Should not upbraid our course) – for all the rest  
They'll take suggestion as a cat laps milk;  
They'll tell the clock to any business that  
We say befits the hour.  

SEBASTIAN  
Thy case, dear friend,  
Shall be my precedent. As thou got'st Milan,  
I'll come by Naples. Draw thy sword! One stroke  
Shall free thee from the tribute which thou payest,  
And I the king shall love thee.  

ANTONIO  
Draw together,  
And when I rear my hand, do you the like

---

284 steel – . . . it – ] Grant White; steele ( . . . it) F  
288 course) – ] this edn; course: F  
292 precedent] (president)
To fall it on Gonzalo.

SEBASTIAN O, but one word –

Enter ARIEL with music and song.

ARIEL

My master through his art foresees the danger
That you, his friend, are in, and sends me forth
(For else his project dies) to keep them living.

While you here do snoring lie,
Open-eyed conspiracy
His time doth take.
If of life you keep a care,
Shake off slumber and beware.

Awake, awake!

ANTONIO

Then let us both be sudden.

GONZALO [Wakes.]

Now, good angels preserve the King!

ALONSO [Wakes.]

Why, how now, ho! Awake! Why are you drawn?
Wherefore this ghastly looking?

GONZALO

What’s the matter?
SEBASTIAN
While we stood here securing your repose,
Even now we heard a hollow burst of bellowing,
Like bulls, or rather lions. Did't not wake you?
It struck mine ear most terribly.

ALONSO I heard nothing.

ANTONIO
O, 'twas a din to fright a monster's ear —
To make an earthquake! Sure it was the roar
Of a whole herd of lions.

ALONSO Heard you this, Gonzalo?

GONZALO
Upon mine honour, sir, I heard a humming,
And that a strange one too, which did awake me.
I shaked you, sir, and cried. As mine eyes opened,
I saw their weapons drawn. There was a noise,
That's verily. 'Tis best we stand upon our guard,
Or that we quit this place. Let's draw our weapons.

ALONSO
Lead off this ground, and let's make further search
For my poor son.

GONZALO Heavens keep him from these beasts,
For he is, sure, i'th' island.

ALONSO Lead away.

ARIEL
Prospero, my lord, shall know what I have done;
So, King, go safely on to seek thy son. Exeunt.
2.2

Enter CALIBAN, with a burden of wood;
a noise of thunder heard.

CALIBAN

All the infections that the sun sucks up
From bogs, fens, flats, on Prosper fall, and make him
By inchmeal a disease! His spirits hear me,
And yet I needs must curse. But they'll nor pinch,
Fright me with urchin-shows, pitch me i'th’ mire,
Nor lead me, like a firebrand in the dark,
Out of my way unless he bid ’em. But
For every trifle are they set upon me:
Sometime like apes that mow and chatter at me
And after bite me, then like hedgehogs which
Lie tumbling in my barefoot way and mount
Their pricks at my footfall. Sometime am I
All wound with adders, who with cloven tongues
Do hiss me into madness. Lo now, lo,
Enter TRINCULO.

Here comes a spirit of his, and to torment me For bringing wood in slowly. I'll fall flat; Perchance he will not mind me.

TRINCULO Here's neither bush nor shrub to bear off any weather at all, and another storm brewing; I hear it sing i'th' wind. Yond same black cloud, yond huge one, looks like a foul bombard that would shed his liquor. If it should thunder as it did before, I know not where to hide my head. Yond same cloud cannot choose but fall by pailfuls. [Sees Caliban.] What have we here, a man or a fish? Dead or alive? A fish: he smells like a fish, a very ancient and fish-like smell, a kind of – not of the newest – poor-John. A strange fish! Were I in England now (as once I was) and had but this fish painted, not a holiday fool there but would give a piece of silver. There would this monster make a man; any strange beast there makes a man. When they will not give a doit to relieve a lame beggar, they will lay out ten to see a dead Indian. Legged

15 spirit Caliban mistakes Trinculo for one of Prospero's Spirits. Probably monosyllabic.
16 fall flat In his effort to hide from Trinculo, Caliban mostly covers himself under the gaberdine (37).
17 Perchance perhaps
18 bear off keep away, ward off
21 bombard a leather jug or bottle for liquor (cited in OED sb. 3a). In 1H4 2.4.451 Hal describes Falstaff as a 'huge bombard of sack'.
28 painted painted on a sign to attract the notice of passers-by
28–9 holiday fool someone on holiday and therefore likely to spend money on souvenirs and sideshows, as in WT 4.4.231–314. See also the mindless spending of Bartholomew Cokes in Jonson's Bartholomew Fair, 6.67–72.
30–1 any . . . man (1) make a man's fortune, as in MND, where the机械s hoped their play would be selected for the courtly revels and 'we had all been made men' (4.2.17–18); or (2) pass for human. Both senses may be intended.
31 doit half an English farthing, a trifling sum (cited in OED 1)
32 dead Indian Especially after Martin Frobisher's expedition to North America in 1576, native Americans
like a man and his fins like arms! Warm, o’my troth! I do now let loose my opinion, hold it no longer: this is no fish, but an islander that hath lately suffered by a thunderbolt. Alas, the storm is come again. My best way is to creep under his gaberdine; there is no other shelter hereabout. Misery acquaints a man with strange bedfellows! I will here shroud till the dregs of the storm be past.

Enter STEPHANO singing.

STEPHANO

I shall no more to sea, to sea,
Here shall I die ashore.
This is a very scurvy tune to sing at a man’s funeral.
Well, here’s my comfort. Drinks [and then] sings.
The master, the swabber, the boatswain and I;
The gunner and his mate,
Loved Mall, Meg, and Marian, and Margery,
But none of us cared for Kate.

were occasionally brought back to England and, for a fee, displayed by their masters to a public audience. See Var, 128-9, and Introduction, pp. 43-4.

Legged Caliban has human legs; he is not a fish.

islander Trinculo concludes that this strange creature is an inhabitant of the island.

gaberdine a long, loose cloak for men made of coarse cloth. Its simplicity contrasts with Prospero’s and the court party’s finery. Cf. Shylock’s ‘Jewish gaberdine’ (MV 1.3.112).

38-9 Misery . . . bedfellows proverbial; see Dent, B197.1: ‘Misery (Adversity) makes (acquaints men with) strange bedfellows’. In Shakespeare’s era, travellers often shared beds with strangers. 39-40 I . . . past. Trinculo crawls under Caliban’s gaberdine. Usually the two lie on the stage, facing each other (or with one on top), with pairs of legs protruding from opposite sides of the gaberdine and Caliban’s head partly or wholly visible – hence Stephano’s perception of a strange, four-legged beast.

shroud take shelter
dregs continues the metaphor of bombard (21)

40.1 As Capell first noted, Stephano enters with a bottle in his hand. Since he has already been drinking, most actors play him as tipsy.

scurvy contemptible, despicable

swabber the sailor who mops the deck
For she had a tongue with a tang,
Would cry to a sailor, ‘Go hang!’

She loved not the savour of tar nor of pitch,
Yet a tailor might scratch her where’er she did itch.
Then to sea, boys, and let her go hang!
This is a scurvy tune too, but here’s my comfort.

**Drinks.**

**CALIBAN** Do not torment me! O!

**STEPHANO** What’s the matter? Have we devils here? Do you put tricks upon’s with savages and men of Ind? Ha! I have not ’scape d drowning to be afear’d now of your four legs; for it hath been said, ‘As proper a man as ever went on four legs cannot make him give ground’. And

---

49 *tang* ‘A pungent or stinging effect’ (cited in *OED sb.1* 5c), a usage that may have begun with *Tem*.

50 *Go hang* proverbial; see Dent, H130.1: ‘Farewell . . . and be HANGED’. Often meant figuratively: ‘Go to the devil’. Cf. *TS* 3.2.226, where Petuchio tells the wedding guests to ‘go hang yourselves’.

52 *tailor . . . itch* Kate would let a tailor scratch her anywhere; i.e. she would sleep with a tailor but not with a sailor. But, as H. Hulme notes, *tailor* could also mean ‘penis’ (100–1).

55–9 Caliban fears *torment* by Prospero’s spirits, heralded by Stephano’s singing or Trinculo’s wriggling; Stephano, in turn, is startled by the unexpec ted voice and his first sight of the gaberdine-covered quadruped. See Introduction, p. 44.

57 *tricks* proverbial; see Dent, PP18: ‘To put a trick upon one’. See also *AW* 4.5.60, where the Clown threatens: ‘If I put any tricks upon ’em, sir, they shall be jades’ tricks’.

*[savages . . . Ind* Savages (*Saluages* in *F*, a common spelling at the time) suggests only that Stephano perceives the mostly concealed creature to be an uncivilized being, perhaps an Indian, though whether from West (more likely, given their topicality) or East India is uncertain. Cf. *LLL* 4.3.218: ‘like a rude and savage man of Inde’, which almost certainly refers to an East Indian, in light of the play’s subsequent lines. Some early English Bibles (e.g. Coverdale (1535), Bishops’ (1568)) tell in Jeremiah, 13.23, that the man of Inde’ could not change his skin, but subsequent and more widely used English Bibles substituted other terms, e.g. ‘blacke More’ (Geneva, 1560) or ‘Ethiopian’ (King James, 1611). Like Oxf, we change F’s ‘Inde’ to *Ind* to indicate its probable pronunciation, as in *AYL* 3.2.88, where it rhymes with Rosalind.

60 *four legs* proverbial: ‘as good a man as . . . (ever went on legs)’(Dent, M66).

Here, of course, the tipsy Stephano is indulging in a fanciful paraphrase of the proverb because he sees four legs. See also 64–5.
it shall be said so again while Stephano breathes at nostrils.

**CALIBAN** The spirit torments me! O!

**STEPHANO** This is some monster of the isle, with four legs, who hath got, as I take it, an ague. Where the devil should he learn our language? I will give him some relief, if it be but for that. If I can recover him and keep him tame, and get to Naples with him, he's a present for any emperor that ever trod on neat's leather.

**CALIBAN** Do not torment me, prithee. I'll bring my wood home faster.

**STEPHANO** He's in his fit now and does not talk after the wisest. He shall taste of my bottle; if he have never drunk wine afore, it will go near to remove his fit. If I can recover him and keep him tame, I will not take too much for him! He shall pay for him that hath him, and that soundly.

---

61–2 at’ nostrils at (through) his, i.e. Stephano’s, nostrils. For this grammatical construction, see Abbott, §143.

63 spirit torments As above (55), Caliban is distraught by Stephano’s voice, which he assumes to be a spirit’s, or by Trinculo’s trembling (79), or both.

64 monster ‘An imaginary animal . . . having a form either partly brute and partly human or compounded of elements from two or more animal forms’ (cited in *OED* sb. and a. A 3a)

65 ague fever or chill that causes shivers. The creature (Caliban/Trinculo) is shaking.

66 language assuming the four-legged creature to be an indigenous monster, Stephano is surprised to hear it speak his language (ostensibly Neapolitan, actually English).

67 recover revive

68–9 he’s . . . leather proverbial; see Dent, M66: ‘As good a MAN as ever trod on shoe (neat’s) leather (as ever went on legs)’. See also the cobbler’s comment in *JC* 1.1.25–6 that ‘As proper men as ever trod upon neat’s-leather have gone upon my handiwork’, and 59–60 above. *Neat’s leather*: cowhide.

72–3 does . . . wisest does not speak sensibly. See also Dent, W534.1: ‘To be none of the WISEST’.

74 remove take away, relieve (cited in *OED* v. 4a)

75–6 I . . . him No price is too high for him. ‘[I]t is impossible for me to sell him too dear’ (Malone, 50). Stephano shares Trinculo’s earlier observation (27–32) that the monster is marketable as an oddity, or, as Stephano has suggested (67–9), as a gift to an emperor.

76 that hath him who will have (i.e buy) him

77 soundly ‘Dearly, heavily, in respect of payment’ (first occurrence in *OED* adv. 3c)
CALIBAN Thou dost me yet but little hurt. Thou wilt anon, I know it by thy trembling. Now Prosper works upon thee.

STEPHANO Come on your ways; open your mouth. Here is that which will give language to you, cat. Open your mouth! This will shake your shaking, I can tell you, and that soundly. [Pours into Caliban's mouth.] You cannot tell who's your friend. Open your chaps again.

TRINCULO I should know that voice. It should be — but he is drowned, and these are devils. O, defend me!

STEPHANO Four legs and two voices — a most delicate monster! His forward voice now is to speak well of his friend; his backward voice is to utter foul speeches and to detract. If all the wine in my bottle will recover him, I will help his ague. Come. Amen! I will pour some in thy other mouth.

TRINCULO Stephano!

STEPHANO Doth thy other mouth call me? Mercy, mercy! This is a devil and no monster. I will leave him; I have no long spoon.

TRINCULO Stephano? If thou be'st Stephano, touch me and speak to me, for I am Trinculo! Be not afear'd — thy good friend Trinculo.

79 thy trembling i.e. Trinculo's fearful shaking, as Caliban hinted earlier (55, 63), which suggests possession by spirits of the devil

80-2 Here ... cat. proverbial; Dent, A99: 'Ale (Liquor) that would make a cat speak'

84-5 You ... friend. 'You don't know what's good for you' — i.e. I'm your friend because I have the bottle.

85 chaps jaws, chops

88-91 Stephano notes that words are coming out of both ends of the strange monster.

88 delicate in the now obsolete sense of 'pleasant' or 'delightful' (OED a. 1) rather than the modern 'fragile'

92-3 Amen ... mouth. Stephano stops pouring liquor into Caliban's mouth and moves to the opposite side of the gaberdeine where Trinculo's head is hidden. Amen implies that Caliban has imbibed heartily on his second draught.

97 long spoon proverbial: 'He must have a long SPOON that will eat with the devil' (Dent, S771). See also CE 4.3.63-4, where Dromio of Syracuse makes an almost identical statement.
STEPHANO If thou be'st Trinculo, come forth. I'll pull thee by the lesser legs. If any be Trinculo's legs, these are they. [Pulls him from under the cloak.] Thou art very Trinculo indeed! How cam'st thou to be the siege of this mooncalf? Can he vent Trinculos?

TRINCULO I took him to be killed with a thunderstroke. But art thou not drowned, Stephano? I hope now thou art not drowned. Is the storm overblown? I hid me under the dead mooncalf's gaberline for fear of the storm. And art thou living, Stephano? O Stephano, two Neapolitans 'scape?

STEPHANO Prithee, do not turn me about; my stomach is not constant.

CALIBAN These be fine things, an if they be not sprites; That's a brave god and bears celestial liquor. I will kneel to him.

STEPHANO How didst thou scape? How cam'st thou hither? Swear by this bottle how thou cam'st hither. I

102 lesser legs Presumably Trinculo's legs are shorter, thinner or more abundantly clad than Caliban's, if the latter are clad at all.

104 very true, real siege literally, 'shit' (OED sb. 3c: 'Excrement, ordure'). Stephano has pulled Trinculo from between Caliban's legs. Siege is also an obsolete word for 'seat', which has encouraged some editors to argue that Trinculo crept underneath Caliban.

105 mooncalf a misshapen birth, a monstrosity (cited in OED 2b), presumably caused by the full moon. Warburton notes: 'It was imagined that the Moon had an ill influence on the infant's understanding. Hence Idiots were called Moon calves' (45). Steevens's observation that 'A moon-calf is an inanimate shapeless mass, supposed by Pliny to be engendered of woman only' (Malone, 52) fits with Caliban's mysterious parentage but not with most of the play's references to his physique. vent excrete: OED v. 2b, 'to evacuate (urine, etc.).'

112-13 Stephano has trouble keeping his balance because he is so drunk or, as Orgel notes (and stage productions often demonstrate), Trinculo might be swinging Stephano in joy (Oxf1, 148).

114 an . . . sprites Kermode notes that 'Caliban's brave new world, unlike Miranda's, can only be if the people are not spirits' (Ard2, 66). Orgel points out the connection between sprites (spirits) and celestial liquor (Oxf1, 148–9).

103 SD| Oxf 114 an if] Pope; and if F 114 – 16] Ard1; prose F 117 – 18 How ...hither] Pope; F lines scape? / hither?/
escaped upon a butt of sack, which the sailors heaved o’erboard – by this bottle, which I made of the bark of a tree with mine own hands since I was cast ashore.

CALIBAN I’ll swear upon that bottle to be thy true subject, for the liquor is not earthly.

STEPHANO Here, swear then how thou escaped’st.

TRINCULO Swum ashore, man, like a duck. I can swim like a duck, I’ll be sworn.

STEPHANO Here, kiss the book. [Trinculo drinks.]

Though thou canst swim like a duck, thou art made like a goose.

TRINCULO O Stephano, hast any more of this?

STEPHANO The whole butt, man. My cellar is in a rock by th’ seaside, where my wine is hid. How now, moon-calf, how does thine ague?

CALIBAN Hast thou not dropped from heaven?

STEPHANO Out o’th’ moon, I do assure thee. I was the man i’th’ moon when time was.

119 butt of sack a cask of white wine. Sack was a general name for a class of white wines imported from Spain and the Canaries (OED sb. a cask of white wine. Sack was a general name for a class of white wines imported from Spain and the Canaries (OED sb. 1a). Its strength varied greatly; Stephano seems to dispense a potent vintage.

122 thy As with subsequent thou (e.g. 134) and thee (e.g. 137), Caliban may signify awe of Stephano, but elsewhere Stephano uses thou to Trinculo (128) and thee to Caliban (135).

125 duck proverbial; see Dent, F328: ‘To swim like a FISH (duck)’.

127 kiss the book a sign of fealty, akin to kissing the Bible when swearing an oath. Here, a metaphor for taking another swig. Stephano realizes his control of the wine cask determines his authority, a parallel to Prospero’s control of a different sort of ‘spirits’.

128–9 made ... goose Perhaps Trinculo is shaped like a goose, or perhaps the liquor makes him waddle.

134–5 The notion that deities inhabit the sky was, of course, compatible with classical mythology as well as Christian popular theology. Additionally, natives along the Virginia Coast were reputed to believe that ‘all the gods are of humane shape’ and initially suspected, as did natives elsewhere, that Europeans were supernatural (Hakluyt, vol. 8, 376–8).

136 man i’th’ moon Stephano claims to be the man whose face appears in a full moon. time was proverbial; see Dent, T341.1: ‘When time was (i.e. once upon a time)’.
I have seen thee in her, and I do adore thee!  
My mistress showed me thee, and thy dog and thy bush.

STEPHANO  Come, swear to that. Kiss the book. I will furnish it anon with new contents. Swear!  
[Caliban drinks.]

TRINCULO  By this good light, this is a very shallow monster. I afeard of him? A very weak monster. The man i’th’ moon? A most poor credulous monster! Well drawn, monster, in good sooth.

CALIBAN  I’ll show thee every fertile inch o’th’ island,  
And I will kiss thy foot. I prithee, be my god.

TRINCULO  By this light, a most perfidious and drunken monster; when’s god’s asleep, he’ll rob his bottle.

CALIBAN  I’ll kiss thy foot. I’ll swear myself thy subject.

STEPHANO  Come on, then, down and swear.

138 This line appears to corroborate Miranda’s claim that she taught Caliban ‘each hour / One thing or other’ (1.2.355–6) and thus to support F’s original assignment of 1.2.352–63 to her.

dog . . . bush The man in the moon was accompanied by his dog and his thorn bush, because, according to folk legend, he had disobeyed the Sabbath regulations by gathering firewood on a Sunday; thereupon, the man, still carrying the brush he had gathered, and his dog were banished to the moon. Cf. the representation of Moonshine with thorn bush and dog in MND 5.1.238–59.

140 furnish provide, supply
141 By . . . light probably a paraphrase of the mild oath ‘by God’s light’ shallow lacking in depth of mind, feeling, or character (OED a. 6c). The fool Trinculo exults in what he sees as Caliban’s credulity.

143–4 Well drawn Trinculo praises Caliban for taking a deep drink.

144 in good sooth a mild oath meaning ‘truly’, ‘indeed’

145 I’ll . . . island Caliban promises to do for Stephano what he did for Prospero twelve years earlier, thus underscoring the parallel between Stephano’s liquor and Prospero’s magic.

148 when’s . . . bottle Trinculo worries that when Stephano (Caliban’s new god) is asleep, Caliban will steal the bottle.
TRINCULO I shall laugh myself to death at this puppy-headed monster. A most scurvy monster. I could find in my heart to beat him—

STEPHANO Come, kiss.

TRINCULO But that the poor monster’s in drink. An abominable monster!

CALIBAN

I’ll show thee the best springs; I’ll pluck thee berries;
I’ll fish for thee, and get thee wood enough.
A plague upon the tyrant that I serve!
I’ll bear him no more sticks but follow thee,
Thou wondrous man.

TRINCULO A most ridiculous monster – to make a wonder of a poor drunkard!

CALIBAN

I prithee, let me bring thee where crabs grow,
And I with my long nails will dig thee pignuts,
Show thee a jay's nest, and instruct thee how
To snare the nimble marmoset. I'll bring thee
To clust'ring filberts, and sometimes I'll get thee
Young scamels from the rock. Wilt thou go with me?

STEPHANO I prithee, now, lead the way without any more
talking. Trinculo, the King and all our company else
being drowned, we will inherit here. Here, bear my
bottle. Fellow Trinculo, we'll fill him by and by again.

CALIBAN (Sings drunkenly.)
Farewell, master; farewell, farewell!

TRINCULO A howling monster, a drunken monster!

CALIBAN
No more dams I'll make for fish,

165 pignuts a type of edible tuber
(bunum flexuosum). The nutty root is
only obtained by digging; it cannot be
pulled up. See Var, 138.

166 jay's nest Orgel notes that jays 'were
prized for their plumage', but because
Caliban seems to be listing things to
eat, 'he may be offering Stephano the
eggs' (Oxf1, 150).

167 marmoset a small monkey to be cap-
tured for a pet or for eating

168 filberts hazelnuts

169 scamels Theobald suggested a
printer's error for 'shamois' or 'sea-
mews'; the latter is a sea bird that feeds
on fish and is the reading adopted in Oxf.
As Orgel notes, the 'context requires, a
crustacean, bird, or a fish of the sort fre-
quenting rocks' (Oxf1, 151). Theobald
also suggested a bird called a 'stannel', a
kind of hawk (39). Other possibilities
come from explorers' descriptions of
Patagonian man-eating small fish, fort
scameux ('very scaly'), or from the
French word squamelle ('having small
scales') that appeared, with variant
spellings, in several dictionaries (Frey,
33), from Thomas Hariot's report of
'Seekanauk, a kinde of crusty shel-fish
... found in shallowes of waters, and
sometimes on the shore' in Raleigh's
Virginia (Hakluyt, vol. 8, 370-1), or
from the Irish scallachan (Callaghan).
We imagine scamels as shellfish, perhaps
like mussels, but the exact meaning
remains a mystery. See the long discus-
sion in Var, 138-40. First occurrence in
OED 'scamel', its 'meaning uncertain'.

172 inherit 'to succeed as an heir; to take
possession of an inheritance' (cited in
OED v. 5)

173 him Ostensibly Stephano refers to
the empty bottle, but the use of this
pronoun suggests Caliban as well.
by and by immediately (OED 3), or,
soon (OED 4)

176 dams Caliban refers to a method of
catching fish by damming streams so
that they can be easily caught in fish
weirs. Although some commentators
have claimed this as evidence of
American Indian influence on the play,
catching fish in weirs on dammed
streams had long been common in
England and was perhaps a universal
fishing technique.

169 scamels] om. Dryden & Davenant; shamois Theobald; sea-malls Hanmer; seamews Oxf
Nor fetch in firing at requiring,
Nor scrape trenchering, nor wash dish.
Ban’ ban’ Ca-caliban,
Has a new master, get a new man.
Freedom, high-day; high-day freedom; freedom high-
day, freedom.

STEPHANO O brave monster, lead the way.  Exeunt.

3.1 Enter FERDINAND, bearing a log.

FERDINAND
There be some sports are painful, and their labour
Delight in them sets off. Some kinds of baseness
Are nobly undergone; and most poor matters
Point to rich ends. This my mean task

177 firing . . . requiring firewood on demand
178 trenchering wooden or earthenware plates (only occurrence in OED 2: ‘Trenchers collectively’), perhaps invented as a progressive verb to extend the pattern of 177
179 Ca-caliban Caliban’s syncopation may be a sign of intoxication or simply a rhythmic embellishment to his song.
180 proverbial; see Dent, M723: ‘Like (Such a) MASTER like (such a) man (servant)’. Stephano will replace Prospero as Caliban’s master; Prospero will have to get a new servant.
181–2 high-day day of celebration, holiday
183 brave Stephano uses the word sarcastically, in mockery of Caliban’s bravado in declaring his independence from Prospero (176–80). Cf. AYL 3.4.40–1: ‘O, that’s a brave man! he writes brave verse, speaks brave words, swears brave oaths’.
3.1 Early editors located this scene in front of Prospero’s cave, but it could also occur elsewhere on the island. In a visual parallel to Caliban’s entrance in 2.1, Ferdinand enters bearing wood that Prospero has ordered him to move from one unspecified place to another, presumably for heating or cooking, or perhaps for creating an alchemical boil (Simonds, ‘Charms’, 543).
1 sports exercises or athletic pastimes. Ferdinand alludes to the mixture of satisfaction taken in exercise and the physical effort required by such pastimes; the effort and the delight, in effect, compensate for the pain. See Mac 2.3.50: ‘The labour we delight in physics pain’.
183–5 painful ‘causing pain or suffering’ (OED a. 1), or, more likely, ‘toilsome, laborious’ (OED a. 3)
2–3 Some . . . undergone One may perform base acts (manual labour) and still retain a noble character.
2 baseness a reference to the low status of manual labour
4 ends results
4 mean humble

181–2 high-day Dryden & Davenant; Hey-day Rowe 3.1 Actus Tertius. Scoena Prima.
Location Prospero’s Cave Pope; Before Prospero’s Cell Theobald 1 and] (&): but Pope 2 sets] Rowe; set F
Would be as heavy to me as odious, but
The mistress which I serve quickens what's dead,
And makes my labours pleasures. O, she is
Ten times more gentle than her father's crabbed,
And he's composed of harshness. I must remove
Some thousands of these logs and pile them up,
Upon a sore injunction. My sweet mistress
Weeps when she sees me work and says such baseness
Had never like executor. I forget;
But these sweet thoughts do even refresh my labours
Most busilest when I do it.

Enter MIRANDA[,] and PROSPERO
[at a distance, unseen].

MIRANDA Alas now, pray you,
Work not so hard. I would the lightning had

5 heavy sorrowful, grievous (OED a.
25)
6 quickens makes alive
8 crabbed irritable, churlish (cited in
OED a. 1b)
9 harshness severity, rigour
11 sore injunction harsh command
13 executor executor; agent, performer,
one who carries out a purpose (cited in
OED 1)
I forget After these reveries,
Ferdinand reminds himself to get back
to work. He is working when Miranda
addresses him in 15.

15 *busilest a heavily debated textual
crux, generating twelve pages of com-
mentary in Furness (Var, 144–55). We
adopt this reading from Kermode
(Ard2, 71–3), who argues to our satis-
faction that F's 'busie lest' is a corrup-
tion entered by the compositor for
Shakespeare's busilest, the rarely used
superlative form of the adverb 'busily',
modifying the verb refresh. Other com-
mentators have emended to the adjecti-
ve 'busiest'. In either case, Ferdinand
is reflecting on the pleasure that
thoughts of Miranda have for him and
how they lighten the onerous task (it)
that Prospero has assigned him.
Kermode concludes that such
thoughts 'attend him [Ferdinand]
even more assiduously when he
works'.

15.2 Rowe's addition to F's SD (see t.n.)
clarifies the actors' stage positions.
Prospero enters separately from
Miranda and places himself in a posi-
tion to overhear her conversation with
Ferdinand. He might have appeared
on the upper stage at the Globe or the
Blackfriars, though he could eaves-
drop equally well from behind a pillar
on the main stage. Bell omits Prospero
altogether from this scene in his 1773
acting edition.
3.1.17

The Tempest

Burnt up those logs that you are enjoined to pile!
Pray set it down and rest you. When this burns,
'Twill weep for having wearied you. My father
Is hard at study; pray now, rest yourself.

He's safe for these three hours.

FERDINAND

O most dear mistress,
The sun will set before I shall discharge
What I must strive to do.

MIRANDA

If you'll sit down,
I'll bear your logs the while. Pray give me that;
I'll carry it to the pile.

FERDINAND

No, precious creature, I had rather crack my sinews, break my back,
Than you should such dishonour undergo
While I sit lazy by.

MIRANDA

It would become me
As well as it does you, and I should do it
With much more ease, for my good will is to it,
And yours it is against.

PROSPERO [aside]

Poor worm, thou art infected!
This visitation shows it.

MIRANDA

You look wearily.

19 'Twill weep Resin will seep from the log when it burns. Miranda personifies
the log, attributing to it tears of sympathy for Ferdinand's enforced labour.
21 He's . . . hours. 'He will remain safely in his study, away from us, for the next
three hours.'
22 discharge 'fulfill, execute' (OED v. 11). Cf. MND 5.1.204: 'Thus have I, Wall, my part discharged so'.
23 strive 'endeavour vigorously' (OED v. 9)
26 crack my sinews sprain my tendons
(or muscles)
28–31 Cf. 2–3. Like Ferdinand, Miranda does not find manual labour beneath her dignity.
31 worm 'A human being likened to a worm' (OED sb. 10a) with a 'qualification expressing tenderness, playfulness, or commiseration' (cited in OED sb. 10c). Prospero affectionately compares Miranda to a worm who is infected with disease, in this case, love and desire for Ferdinand.
32 visitation Miranda's visit to Ferdinand, but Prospero - following up on the previous line's infected - may also be punning on visitation as 'the onset of plague'.
wearily Use of an adverb in lieu of an adjective is not unusual in Shakespeare. Cf. 2.1.322 and n.
FERDINAND

No, noble mistress, 'tis fresh morning with me
When you are by at night. I do beseech you -
Chiefly that I might set it in my prayers -

What is your name?

MIRANDA

Miranda. - O my father,

I have broke your hest to say so!

FERDINAND

Admired Miranda!

Indeed the top of admiration, worth
What's dearest to the world! Full many a lady
I have eyed with best regard, and many a time

Th' harmony of their tongues hath into bondage
Brought my too diligent ear. For several virtues
Have I liked several women; never any
With so full soul but some defect in her
Did quarrel with the noblest grace she owed

And put it to the foil. But you, O you,

So perfect and so peerless, are created
Of every creature's best.

MIRANDA

I do not know

34 by near, nearby
37 hest behest, command
Admired Miranda See List of Roles, n. 14. She is literally 'worthy of wonder'.
38 top of admiration epitome of wonder, the most admired
39 dearest . . . world the most valued in the world
40 regard look, glance (OED sb. 2a); or, esteem, affection (OED sb. 10a)
42 diligent 'attentive', 'heedful' (OED a. 3)
44-6 but . . . foil A foil is a rapier used in fencing. Ferdinand reports that the ladies he has known always had some defect that overwhelmed or defeated their virtues (as in a swordfight or quarrel). Or, he may use foil in the sense of 'thwart' - i.e. the lady's defect foiled the otherwise successful effects of her noblest grace.
45 owed owned, possessed
47 perfect complete (OED a. B 3a); or, 'free from any flaw' (OED a. B 4a)
48 Of . . . best Johnson suggested an allusion here to Apelles' painting of Venus, which 'was a synthesis of the most perfect features of the most beautiful women the painter could find' (Oxf, 154). Steevens disagreed and cited instead a fable from Sidney's Arcadia (1598), Bk 3, 384-7, where the animals ask Jupiter to create a king to rule over them. Jove combines every creature's 'best' feature to make 'Man'.

you - ] Cam'; you F 35 prayers - ] Cam'; prayers, F 37 I have] I've Pope 47 peerless] F2; peetlesse F
One of my sex, no woman's face remember –
Save, from my glass, mine own. Nor have I seen
More that I may call men than you, good friend,
And my dear father. How features are abroad
I am skilless of, but by my modesty
(The jewel in my dower), I would not wish
Any companion in the world but you,
Nor can imagination form a shape,
Besides yourself, to like of. But I prattle
Something too wildly, and my father's precepts
I therein do forget.

FERDINAND
I am, in my condition,
A prince, Miranda; I do think a king
(I would not so!) and would no more endure
This wooden slavery than to suffer
The flesh-fly blow my mouth! Hear my soul speak:
The very instant that I saw you did
My heart fly to your service, there resides

Miranda, Ferdinand implies, combines all the best features of women without their defects. Cf. Orlando's description of the composite Rosalind in AYL 3.2.141–50.

50 glass mirror
51–2 In 1.2.446 Miranda states that Ferdinand is the third man she ever saw, thus including Prospero and Caliban in the trio. Here she omits Caliban and compares Ferdinand only with her father.

52 features bodily shapes, proportions abroad elsewhere, in the world at large
53 skilless ignorant modesty 'Womanly propriety of behaviour; scrupulous chastity of thought, speech, and conduct' (cited in OED 3a)
54 jewel . . . dower Miranda refers to her

virginity, the most precious gift she will bring as a dowry to her husband when she marries.

57 like of admire, derive pleasure from
58 Something somewhat, a little (cited in OED adv. B 2e)
59 condition 'social position, rank' (cited in OED s.b. 10)
60 I . . . so I wish it were not so.
62 wooden slavery an implied comparison with Caliban. Ferdinand alludes to his forced log-carrying and his virtual enslavement by Prospero.

suffer allow
63 flesh-fly a fly that lays its eggs in carrion
blow 'to deposit eggs on or in (a place)' (cited in OED v. 28c). Cf. LLL 5.2.408–9: 'these summer flies / Have blown me full of maggot ostentation'.

49 remember – this edn; remember, F 59 therein om. Pope 62 wooden] F2, Rowe; wodden F to] I would Pope
To make me slave to it, and for your sake
Am I this patient log-man.

MIRANDA
Do you love me?

FERDINAND
O heaven, O earth, bear witness to this sound,
And crown what I profess with kind event
If I speak true; if hollowly, invert
What best is boded me to mischief! I,
Beyond all limit of what else i'th' world,
Do love, prize, honour you.

MIRANDA
I am a fool
To weep at what I am glad of.

PROSPERO [aside]
Fair encounter
Of two most rare affections! Heavens rain grace
On that which breeds between 'em.

FERDINAND
Wherefore weep you?

MIRANDA
At mine unworthiness that dare not offer
What I desire to give, and much less take
What I shall die to want. But this is trifling,
And all the more it seeks to hide itself,
The bigger bulk it shows. Hence, bashful cunning,
And prompt me, plain and holy innocence!
I am your wife, if you will marry me;

66 it i.e. your service (stress probably on your, and similarly in 65)
69 kind event good fortune, happy outcome
70-1 invert ... mischief turn any promised good fortune into bad; mischief, misfortune, distress (OED sb. 1)
72 what whatever
75-6 Heavens ... 'em a reminder of Prospero's awareness of the dynastic implications in Ferdinand and Miranda's union; the child they breed will become heir to both Naples and Milan.
79 die to want die for lack of. Miranda fears she will die (metaphorically) for not having Ferdinand's love.
80-1 it ... itself ... it Miranda's desire. Barton suggests a metaphor of pregnancy (Penguin, 162).
81-2 Hence ... innocence! Away with coyness; may candid and pure innocence guide me.
3.1.84  The Tempest

If not, I’ll die your maid. To be your fellow
You may deny me, but I’ll be your servant
Whether you will or no.

FERDINAND  My mistress, dearest,
And I thus humble ever.

MIRANDA  My husband, then?

FERDINAND  Ay, with a heart as willing
As bondage e’er of freedom. Here’s my hand.

MIRANDA  And mine, with my heart in’t. And now farewell
Till half an hour hence.

FERDINAND  A thousand thousand!

Exeunt [Miranda and Ferdinand].

PROSPERO  So glad of this as they I cannot be,
Who are surprised withal, but my rejoicing
At nothing can be more. I’ll to my book,
For yet ere suppertime must I perform
Much business appertaining.

84  die your maid  Even though Miranda has confessed her desire, she rejects sexual relations outside marriage. Maid is thus used in the double sense of ‘virgin’ and ‘servant’. fellow spouse (cited in OED sb. 4a)
86  Whether . . . no.  proverbial; see Dent, W400.1: ‘Whether one will or no’. mistress the feminized form of ‘master’, with no suggestion of illicit sex; Ferdinand declares that Miranda is the ruler of his heart.
89  As . . . freedom  Ferdinand pledges himself to Miranda as eagerly as a person in bondage embraces freedom.
89–90  Miranda and Ferdinand’s pledge of betrothal is signified by the taking of hands.
91  A thousand thousand!  a million (farewells). Cf. TN 2.4.63: ‘A thousand, thousand sighs’.
93  withal by it
93–4  my . . . more  ‘Nothing could make me rejoice more.’
96  business appertaining  appropriate tasks; business refers to Prospero’s plans for the union of Miranda and Ferdinand and, more generally, to Prospero’s agenda in raising the tempest. Placing the adjective after the noun was a fairly common Shakespearian sequence (Abbott, §419, 420).
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3.2 Enter CALIBAN, STEPHANO and TRINCULO.

STEPHANO Tell not me. When the butt is out, we will drink water; not a drop before. Therefore bear up and board 'em. Servant monster, drink to me.

TRINCULO Servant monster? The folly of this island! They say there's but five upon this isle; we are three of them. If th'other two be brained like us, the state totters.

STEPHANO Drink, servant monster, when I bid thee. Thy eyes are almost set in thy head.

TRINCULO Where should they be set else? He were a brave monster, indeed, if they were set in his tail.

STEPHANO My man-monster hath drowned his tongue in sack. For my part, the sea cannot drown me. I swam, ere I could recover the shore, five and thirty leagues off

3.2.1 Tell not me. Trinculo has perhaps asked Stephano to stop drinking, but he refuses; or, Trinculo may have pointed out that the bottle is getting low, even if Stephano replenished it after 2.2.

bear up stay up, do not fall

board 'em a naval command used figuratively here to mean 'Drink up!'

Servant monster Ben Jonson de­rided Shakespeare's 'Servant-monster' in the Induction to Bartholomew Fair, 6. 16. In 4, Trinculo perhaps questions how the drunken monster can be a ser­vant when the master is as drunk as he is. If the rest of the island's population is equally pickled, the state totters (6).

folly Kermode suggests that Trinculo means 'freak' rather than generalized foolishness, perhaps in a reference to the freaks and monsters who inhabit Bartholomew Fair and thus to Caliban (Ard², 78). But the term could equally apply to Stephano's foolery or to the general absurdity of the island, in Stephano's drunken perception.

They say Although Trinculo suggests that he is reporting general knowledge - i.e. 'everyone knows' - the text gives no hint that he has communicated with anyone on the island but Stephano and Caliban, and only the latter can have known the number of people on the island. Even Caliban, of course, is unaware of the court party and Ferdinand.

brained . . . us as addle-brained as we are

brave probably in the sense of famous or worthy (OED a. 3), though other def­initions are possible. Cf. 2.2.183 and n. tail Richard Farmer refers to a story from Stowe's Survey: 'It seems, in the year 1574, a whale was thrown a shore near Ramsgate. "A monstrous fish . . . but not so monstrous as some reported, - for his eyes were in his head, and not in his back"' (Malone, 60).

leagues A league was a mea­sure of distance of approximately three miles, so the total distance described here would be about 100 miles. Stephano's drunken boast is inconsis­tent with his earlier claim that he floated to shore on 'a butt of sack' (2.2.118-19).
and on. By this light, thou shalt be my lieutenant, monster, or my standard.

TRINCULO Your lieutenant, if you list; he’s no standard.

STEPHANO We’ll not run, Monsieur Monster.

TRINCULO Nor go, neither; but you’ll lie like dogs and yet say nothing, neither.

STEPHANO Mooncalf, speak once in thy life, if thou be’est a good mooncalf.

CALIBAN How does thy honour? Let me lick thy shoe. I’ll not serve him; he is not valiant.

TRINCULO Thou liest, most ignorant monster. I am in case to jostle a constable. Why thou deboshed fish, thou, was there ever man a coward that hath drunk so much sack as I today? Wilt thou tell a monstrous lie, being but half a fish and half a monster?

CALIBAN Lo, how he mocks me. Wilt thou let him, my lord?

TRINCULO ‘Lord’, quoth he? That a monster should be such a natural!

CALIBAN Lo, lo again! Bite him to death, I prithee.

STEPHANO Trinculo, keep a good tongue in your head. If you prove a mutineer – the next tree! The poor monster’s my subject, and he shall not suffer indignity.

15, 16 standard ensign or flagbearer; the standard could also be the pole that bears the flag. Trinculo’s retort ‘is a reminder that none of these characters can now do much valiant standing’ (Everyman, 104).

16 list like
17 run run away from battle; perhaps with the suggestion that, like a good ensign, he’ll hold the standard high
18 go walk; proverbial from ‘He may ill run that cannot go’ (Dent, R208) lie like dogs proverbial; see Dent, D510.2: ‘To lie (in field, etc.) like a DOG (hound), but also with the sense of not telling the truth.
24–5 in case ready, or valiant enough
25 deboshed a variant of debauched. We retain the original spelling (modernized) because it may suggest the slurred quality of Trinculo’s drunken speech.
31 natural fool, idiot
33 keep...head proverbial for ‘be careful what you say’; see Dent, T402: ‘to keep a good TONGUE in one’s head’.
33–4 If...tree! ‘You’ll be hanged like a mutineer from the next tree.’


226
CALIBAN I thank my noble lord. Wilt thou be pleased to hearken once again to the suit I made to thee?

STEPHANO Marry, will I. Kneel and repeat it; I will stand, and so shall Trinculo.

Enter ARIEL, invisible.

CALIBAN

As I told thee before, I am subject to a tyrant,

A sorcerer, that by his cunning hath Cheated me of the island.

ARIEL [in Trinculo's voice]

Thou liest.

CALIBAN Thou liest, thou jesting monkey, thou.

I would my valiant master would destroy thee.

I do not lie.

STEPHANO Trinculo, if you trouble him any more in's tale, by this hand, I will supplant some of your teeth.

TRINCULO Why, I said nothing.

STEPHANO Mum, then, and no more. Proceed.

CALIBAN

I say, by sorcery he got this isle.

From me he got it. If thy greatness will

Revenge it on him – for I know thou dar'st,
But this thing dare not—

STEPHANO That’s most certain.

CALIBAN

Thou shalt be lord of it, and I’ll serve thee.

STEPHANO How now shall this be compassed? Canst thou bring me to the party?

CALIBAN

Yea, yea, my lord, I’ll yield him thee asleep,
Where thou mayst knock a nail into his head.


CALIBAN

What a pied ninny’s this? Thou scurvy patch!
I do beseech thy greatness, give him blows,
And take his bottle from him. When that’s gone,
He shall drink nought but brine, for I’ll not show him
Where the quick freshes are.

STEPHANO Trinculo, run into no further danger.
Interrupt the monster one word further, and by this hand I’ll turn my mercy out o’doors and make a stockfish of thee.

53 this thing Trinculo
56 compassed accomplished
59 knock . . . head Cf. Judges, 4.21, where Jael hammered a nail into Sisera’s temples.
61 pied ninny a reference to the jester and his costume; pied describes the parti-coloured garment and ninny is the simpleton who wears it. This passage, in accord with Crane’s ‘Names of the Actors’ listing Trinculo as a jester, lends credence to those who personate Trinculo as an official court fool dressed in motley. He was represented in the harlequin’s colourful costume in the RSC 1994 and A.R.T. 1995 productions, but some directors choose instead to costume him in a serviceable uni-
form similar to Stephano’s steward’s garb (see Introduction, pp. 12–13).
patch another term for fool or jester. According to *OED* s.v.2, ‘Patch’ was the name of Cardinal Wolsey’s domestic fool.
64 brine sea water
65 quick freshes flowing (quick) streams of fresh water. Caliban reported showing these to Prospero in 1.2.339.
68 turn . . . doors ‘banish any merciful feelings I might have’
68–9 make a stockfish stockfish, dried cod or other fish. *OED* 1b cites this as an example of a ‘jocular expression’ referring to the ‘beating of the fish before cooking’. See Dent, S867: ‘To beat one like a STOCKFISH’.

TRINCULO Why, what did I? I did nothing. I'll go farther off.

STEPHANO Didst thou not say he lied?


STEPHANO Do I so? Take thou that! [Hits Trinculo.] As you like this, give me the lie another time!

TRINCULO I did not give thee the lie. Out o'your wits and hearing too? A pox o'your bottle! This can sack and drinking do. A murrain on your monster, and the devil take your fingers.

CALIBAN Ha, ha, ha!

STEPHANO Now, forward with your tale. [to Trinculo] Prithee, stand farther off.

CALIBAN Beat him enough; after a little time, I'll beat him too.

STEPHANO [to Trinculo] Stand farther. [to Caliban] Come, proceed.

CALIBAN Why, as I told thee, 'tis a custom with him I'th' afternoon to sleep. There thou mayst brain him, Having first seized his books, or with a log Batter his skull, or paunch him with a stake, Or cut his wezand with thy knife. Remember

75 give ... lie accuse me of lying
77 pox a standard seventeenth-century curse, meaning may you be diseased. 'Pox' was often shorthand for 'the French pox' or syphilis, though it could be used less specifically.
78 murrain a plague or pestilence
82 stand farther off almost certainly addressed to Trinculo, but see Var, 167, where Furness opines that Stephano is telling the ill-smelling Caliban to move farther away
87–8 'tis . . . him Cf. Ham 1.5.59–70, where the ghost of Hamlet's father describes how he was murdered during his customary nap.
89 seized his books Caliban knows that Prospero's books are an important source of his magical powers.
90 paunch 'to stab or wound in the paunch' (i.e. stomach) (cited in OED v.1)
91 wezand windpipe
First to possess his books, for without them
He's but a sot, as I am, nor hath not
One spirit to command. They all do hate him
As rootedly as I. Burn but his books.
He has brave utensils (for so he calls them)
Which, when he has a house, he'll deck withal.
And that most deeply to consider is
The beauty of his daughter; he himself
Calls her a nonpareil. I never saw a woman
But only Sycorax, my dam, and she;
But she as far surpasseth Sycorax
As great'st does least.

STEPHANO  Is it so brave a lass?

CALIBAN
Ay, lord, she will become thy bed, I warrant,
And bring thee forth brave brood.

STEPHANO  Monster, I will kill this man. His daughter
and I will be king and queen – save our graces – and
Trinculo and thyself shall be viceroy. Dost thou like
the plot, Trinculo?

93 sot fool, but with the added connotation of 'drunkard'. OED sb. A 2 defines a sot as 'One who dulls or stupifies himself with drinking'.
95 rootedly in a 'firmly grounded manner' (first occurrence in OED adv.)
but especially or only
96 brave utensils (utensils) impressive implements, perhaps for magic or alchemy, perhaps merely household goods with which Caliban would presumably be unfamiliar
97 deck decorate, furnish
100 nonpareil a person having no equal. Capt. John Smith described Pocahontas as 'the only Nonpareil' of Powhatan's chiefdom (J. Smith, Relation, E3*). Shakespeare had previously used the word in AC, Cym, Mac and TN.
100–1 I... she Caliban is in a situation analogous to Miranda; raised and educated by Prospero on the island, he has never seen any women besides her and his own mother, while until now she had never seen any men but Caliban and her father.
101 dam mother
103 brave splendid
104 become grace, adorn (cited in OED v. 9c)
105 brood Like Prospero, Caliban speculates about Miranda's children; as the offspring of Stephano and Miranda, they would inherit the island.
108 viceroy those appointed to rule in place of the monarch or as deputies
109 plot plan or scheme; but Kermode also suggests the Elizabethan sense of
TRINCULO  Excellent.  110

STEPHANO  Give me thy hand. I am sorry I beat thee, but while thou livest, keep a good tongue in thy head.

CALIBAN

Within this half hour will he be asleep.

Wilt thou destroy him then?

STEPHANO  Ay, on mine honour.  115

ARIEL [aside]  This will I tell my master.

CALIBAN

Thou mak'st me merry; I am full of pleasure.

Let us be jocund. Will you troll the catch

You taught me but whilere?

STEPHANO  At thy request, monster. I will do reason, any reason. Come on, Trinculo, let us sing.  120

Sings.

Flout 'em and scout 'em,

And scout 'em and flout 'em,

Thought is free.

CALIBAN  That's not the tune.

Ariel plays the tune on a tabor and pipe.

STEPHANO  What is this same?  125

A 'skeleton programme giving a synopsis of a masque or entertainment' (Ard2, 82).

1.3.68, where Maria says the same thing, in the sense of 'I can think whatever I like'.

10a]. A catch, like a modern round (e.g. 'Three Blind Mice'), begins with one voice singing the opening line and then proceeding to the next line while a second voice sings the first line, and so forth until at least three are singing different parts of the song at once.

whilere a short time ago; the only use of the word in Shakespeare (cited in OED adv. arch.)

119 do reason do anything reasonable
121 *scout 'mock' or 'deride'. F's reading, 'cout', is emended here to match skowt in 122, but, as Orgel implies (Oxf1, 161), the original reading of 'cout' (colt, gibe) also could have connoted an obscenity, such as 'cut' or 'cunt'.

123 Cf. TN 1.3.68, where Maria says the same thing, in the sense of 'I can think whatever I like'.

124 SD tabor small drum used to accompany a tubular wind instrument (pipe) which, in this case, was played with one hand
3.2.126  The Tempest

TRINCULO  This is the tune of our catch, played by the picture of Nobody.

STEPHANO  If thou be'st a man, show thyself in thy likeness. If thou be'st a devil, take't as thou list.

TRINCULO  O, forgive me my sins!

STEPHANO  He that dies pays all debts. I defy thee. Mercy upon us!

CALIBAN  Art thou afeard?

STEPHANO  No, monster, not I.

CALIBAN

Be not afeard. The isle is full of noises, Sounds and sweet airs that give delight and hurt not. Sometimes a thousand twangling instruments Will hum about mine ears; and sometimes voices, That if I then had waked after long sleep, Will make me sleep again; and then in dreaming, The clouds, methought, would open and show riches Ready to drop upon me, that when I waked I cried to dream again.

STEPHANO  This will prove a brave kingdom to me, where I shall have my music for nothing.

127 picture of Nobody Kermode suggests a topical allusion here to a picture of a man all head, legs and arms, with no trunk (body), which appeared on the title-page of the comedy No-body and Some-body (1606). John Trundle, a London bookseller who in 1603 helped to publish the first quarto of Hamlet, used the sign of Nobody (Ard², 83–4; Var, 171). Whether this was a topical joke or not, Trinculo is responding to Ariel’s music, which more clearly seems to come from nowhere and be performed by Nobody.

129 If... list proverbial; see Dent, T27: ‘TAKE as you will (list, please)’ – in effect, ‘if you’re a devil, do what you will; we can’t stop you’.

131 He... debts. proverbial; see Dent, D148: ‘DEATH pays all debts’; i.e. the dead are free of debts. The jailor tells Posthumus in Cym 5.4.158–9 that ‘the comfort’ of his impending execution ‘is, you shall be call’d to no more pay-ments, fear no more tavern-bills’.

137 twangling instruments Pope emended to ‘twangling’, but F’s reading (cited in OED ppl. a) connotes more clearly the sounds of stringed instruments. Hortensio complains that after Kate brained him with the lute, she called him a ‘twangling Jack’ (TS 2.1.158).
The Tempest

3.3.2

CALIBAN When Prospero is destroyed.

STEPHANO That shall be by and by. I remember the story.

TRINCULO The sound is going away. Let's follow it, and after do our work.

STEPHANO Lead, monster, we'll follow. I would I could see this taborer; he lays it on.


Exeunt.

3.3

Enter ALONSO, SEBASTIAN, ANTONIO, GONZALO, ADRIAN, FRANCISCO and others.

GONZALO

By'r lakin, I can go no further, sir;
My old bones aches. Here's a maze trod, indeed,
Through forthrights and meanders! By your patience, I needs must rest me.

ALONSO Old lord, I cannot blame thee, Who am myself attached with weariness To th’ dulling of my spirits. Sit down and rest. Even here I will put off my hope and keep it No longer for my flatterer. He is drowned Whom thus we stray to find, and the sea mocks Our frustrate search on land. Well, let him go.

ANTONIO [aside to Sebastian] I am right glad that he’s so out of hope. Do not, for one repulse, forgo the purpose That you resolved t’effect.

SEBASTIAN [aside to Antonio] The next advantage Will we take thoroughly.

ANTONIO Let it be tonight, For now they are oppressed with travail; they Will not, nor cannot, use such vigilance As when they are fresh.

SEBASTIAN I say tonight. No more.
The Tempest

3.3.25

Solemn and strange music, and PROSPERO on the top (invisible).
Enter several strange shapes, bringing in a banquet, and dance about it with gentle actions of salutations, and inviting the King etc. to eat, they depart.

ALONSO

What harmony is this? My good friends, hark!

GONZALO

Marvellous sweet music!

ALONSO

Give us kind keepers, heavens! What were these?

SEBASTIAN

A living drollery! Now I will believe
That there are unicorns; that in Arabia
There is one tree, the phoenix’ throne, one phoenix
At this hour reigning there.

ANTONIO

I’ll believe both;
And what does else want credit, come to me

17.1 the top (invisible) As Orgel notes, the top was ‘a technical term for the level above the upper stage gallery, within which the musicians sat’ (Oxf1, 164). From this, the highest vista of the theatre, Prospero can view the ensuing action without being seen by the court party.

20 keepers protecting spirits, guardian angels were F’s use of the past tense here suggests that Prospero’s Spirits do exactly what the SD directs — bring in a banquet, invite the court party to eat, and depart. Hence we see no merit in moving F’s 17.4 (‘they depart’) to later in the scene as some editions do.

21 living drollery a comic puppet show enacted by living beings

22 unicorns mythological four-footed beasts with horns in the centre of their foreheads; when ground into powder, the horn was believed to be an aphrodisiac. Pliny described a ‘Licorne or monoceros: his bodie resembleth an horse, his head a stagge, his feet an Elephant, his taile a bore; he loweth after an hideous manner; one blacke horn he hath in the mids of his forehead, bearing out two cubits in length: by report, this wild beast cannot possibly be caught alive’ (106). Sometimes the unicorn was confused with the rhinoceros, which explorers had encountered in Africa.

23-4 phoenix’ . . . there The phoenix was a mythological Arabian bird which was miraculously reborn from the ashes of its own funeral pyre (throne) every 500 years; only one bird existed at any given time. Shakespeare’s enigmatic ‘The Phoenix and Turtle’ appeared in a 1601 collection of poems appended to Robert Chester’s Love’s Martyr.

25 want credit lack credibility

17.1] Pope: after fresh F  PROSPERO] Rowe: Prosper F  heavens] (heauës); heaven Pope were] are F4, Rowe 17.3 salutations] salutation Rowe2
And I'll be sworn 'tis true. Travellers ne'er did lie,
Though fools at home condemn 'em.

GONZALO

If in Naples
I should report this now, would they believe me?
If I should say I saw such islanders
(For certes, these are people of the island),
Who, though they are of monstrous shape, yet note
Their manners are more gentle, kind, than of
Our human generation you shall find
Many – nay, almost any.

PROSPERO [aside] Honest lord,
Thou hast said well, for some of you there present
Are worse than devils.

ALONSO I cannot too much muse
Such shapes, such gesture and such sound, expressing
(Although they want the use of tongue) a kind
Of excellent dumb discourse.

PROSPERO [aside] Praise in departing.

26 Travellers... lie Antonio inverts the proverbial expression, 'A TRAVELER may lie with authority' (Dent, T476). In 21–4 Sebastian refers to the improbable stories brought home by travellers such as John Mandeville, whose fourteenth-century travelogue, first published in English in 1503, included numerous woodcuts. It was published several more times and circulated widely in sixteenth-century England.

30 certes certainly
31–4 These lines seem to echo Montaigne's discussion of the comparative merits of Brazilian Indian culture and European ways in 'Of the Caniballes'. See Appendix 1.2.
33 Our human generation (1) our nation, (2) our 'race', or, more likely, (3) our species – i.e. humankind. Although Gonzalo calls them people (30), he implies that the creatures are not human, which comports with other evidence, e.g. 3.2.4–5.
36 muse wonder at
39 Praise in departing. Prospero ruefully responds to Alonso's praise of the vanishing figures with a proverbial expression (Dent, P83) that advises guests to reserve their praise for the host's entertainment until they depart, lest they prematurely praise something that will prove unsatisfactory. This should not be taken, as some editors suggest, as a reference to the Spirits' departure, which occurred some twenty lines earlier, but as Prospero's ironic aside. He knows what other entertainment is in store for the Neapolitans and that it won't be nearly as pleasant as the spectacle they have just experienced.
FRANCISCO
They vanished strangely!

SEBASTIAN No matter, since
They have left their viands behind, for we have
stomachs.
Will't please you taste of what is here?

ALONSO Not I.

GONZALO
Faith, sir, you need not fear. When we were boys,
Who would believe that there were mountaineers
Dewlapped like bulls, whose throats had hanging at 'em
Wallets of flesh? Or that there were such men
Whose heads stood in their breasts, which now we find
Each putter-out of five for one will bring us
Good warrant of?

ALONSO I will stand to and feed,
Although my last; no matter, since I feel

41 viands dishes of food
42 stand to come forward; set to work
43 stomachs appetites
44-6 mountaineers . . . flesh exotic people dwelling in the mountains whose
neck have a dewlap or fold of skin
45 hanging down. Wallets are wattles, or
protuberant nodules of flesh (cited in
OEDsb.l).
46-7 men . . . breasts Travellers' tales reported the existence of strange 'men
whose heads / Do grow beneath their
shoulders' (Oth 1.3.144–5). See Pliny,
96, where Blemmyi are described with
'no heads, but mouth and eies both in
their breast'.
48 putter-out . . . one English travellers often insured their trips with London
brokers. Before leaving, they
49 deposited a specified sum; if they
50 returned with proof they had reached
their destination, the broker owed
them five times the amount. Given the
difficulties of travel in that period, the
odds were in favour of the broker. Theobald first clarified the passage
with a reference to Ben Jonson's Every
Man out of his Humour, in which
Puntravolo, 'A Vaine-glorous Knight',
declares: 'I doe intend this yeere of
Jubile . . . to travaile: and (because I will
not altogether goe upon expence) I am
determined to put forth some five
thousand pound, to be paid me, five for
one, upon the returne of my selfe, my
wife, and my dog, from the Turkes
court in Constantinople. If all, or either
of us miscarry in the journey, 'tis gone:
if we be successfull, why, there will be
five and twenty thousand pound, to
entertaine time withall' (3.423, 477).
In Shakespeare's passage, as Orgel
notes, the putter-out is either the trav­
eller or the broker; either would be in
a position to give Good warrant of the
traveller's veracity (Oxf1, 166).
The best is past. Brother, my lord the Duke, Stand to and do as we.

*Thunder and lightning.* Enter **ARIEL**, like a *harpy*, claps his wings upon the table, and with a *quaint device* the banquet vanishes.

**ARIEL**

You are three men of sin, whom *destiny*,
That hath to *instrument* this lower world
And what is in’t, the never-surfeited sea
Hath caused to belch up you, and on this island
Where man doth not inhabit – you ’mongst men
Being most unfit to live – I have made you mad;
And even with such-like valour, men hang and drown
Their proper selves.

[**Alonso, Sebastian and Antonio draw their swords.**]

You fools! I and my fellows

52.1 *harpy* a mythical predatory bird with a woman’s head, talons for hands and the body of a vulture, associated with divine retribution. The spectacle that follows visually alludes to the *Aeneid*. After landing on the Strophades, Aeneas and his men are twice accosted by a band of harpies who disturb their attempts to dine. When the men draw their swords on the birds, Celaeno (their leader) predicts that Aeneas will find Italy: ‘ye shall not gird with walls your promised city until dread hunger and the wrong of violence towards us force you to gnaw with your teeth and devour your very tables!’ (Bk 3, 209–77).

52.2 *quaint device* an example of Crane’s descriptive SD. A prompter would have specified the mechanism to be used; instead, Crane describes what the spectator would have seen. See Introduction, pp. 129–30.

52.3 *banquet vanishes* Some mechanical device was probably used to make the banquet disappear; in many productions the table top is quickly overturned or swivelled to reveal a bare surface where the food had been. Mowat notes that this sort of magical disappearance was a common juggler’s trick (*‘Hocus’,* 301).

53–4 *destiny* . . . *world* ‘*Destiny uses the lower, material world to enact its plans.*’ *destiny* supernatural or preordained outcome (cited in *OED sb. 4*)

54 *to instrument* as its instrument

55–6 the . . . you ‘The object precedes the verb; ‘*destiny has caused the sea to belch you up*’.”

59 *such-like valour* ‘the quality of mind which enables a person to face danger with boldness or firmness’ (*OED* valour 3); the context here suggests excessive or misguided courage.

60 *Their proper selves* their own (as property) selves
Are ministers of fate. The elements
Of whom your swords are tempered may as well
Wound the loud winds, or with bemocked-at stabs
Kill the still-closing waters, as diminish
One dowl that’s in my plume. My fellow ministers
Are like invulnerable. If you could hurt,
Your swords are now too massy for your strengths
And will not be uplifted. But remember
(For that’s my business to you) that you three
From Milan did supplant good Prospero,
Exposed unto the sea, which hath requit it,
Him and his innocent child; for which foul deed,
The powers delaying, not forgetting, have
Incensed the seas and shores – yea, all the creatures –
Against your peace. Thee of thy son, Alonso,
They have bereft, and do pronounce by me
Ling’ring perdition, worse than any death
Can be at once, shall step by step attend
You and your ways, whose wrath to guard you from —
Which here, in this most desolate isle, else falls
Upon your heads — is nothing but heart’s sorrow
And a clear life ensuing.

He vanishes in thunder. Then, to soft music, enter the
shapes again and dance with mocks and mows, and
carry out the table.

PROSPERO
Bravely the figure of this harpy hast thou
Performed, my Ariel; a grace it had, devouring.
Of my instruction hast thou nothing bated
In what thou hadst to say. So, with good life
And observation strange, my meaner ministers
Their several kinds have done. My high charms work,
And these, mine enemies, are all knit up
In their distractions. They now are in my power;
And in these fits I leave them while I visit

79 whose wrath the anger of The powers (73)
81–2 is . . . ensuing ‘There is no means
but heartfelt repentance and a pure life
hereafter.’
82.1 He vanishes Dessen argues that in
this case, vanishes simply indicates
Ariel’s sudden disappearance, not the
use of a special stage mechanism (213).
82.2 mocks and mows This descriptive
SD draws on the traditional associa-
tion between two terms for grimacing
facial expressions. See Dent, M1030:
‘To MOCK (mop) and mow’. Cf. KL
4.1.61–2, where Poor Tom raves about
‘Flibbertigibbet, of mopping and mowing’.
83 Bravely admirably, splendidly
figure in the obsolete sense of ‘art
enacted’ (cited in OED sb. 11a)
84 devouring could mean that (1) Ariel’s
impersonation gracefully devoured
(consumed) the banquet, or (2) Ariel’s
impersonation displayed a ‘ravishing
grace’. Both senses may be in play at
once.
85 bated omitted, neglected
86–7 good . . . strange energy or vivacity
and attention to detail
87 observation ‘observant care, heed’
cited in OED 4
meaner ministers the (lesser) Spirits
who assisted Ariel
88 several kinds according to their spe-
cific natures
high charms Prospero thinks his
magic (charms) are of the most elevated
(high) or superior kind, perhaps in con-
trast to the low charms of the witch
Sycorax.
89–90 knit . . . distractions entangled by
their temporary madness

79 from — Cam'; from, F 81 heads — Cam'; heads, F  heart’s sorrow] (hearts-sorrow) 82.3
carry] Capell: carrying F 83 harpy] (Harpe)
Young Ferdinand (whom they suppose is drowned)
And his, and mine, loved darling. [Exit.]

GONZALO
I' th' name of something holy, sir, why stand you
In this strange stare?

ALONSO 
O, it is monstrous, monstrous!
Methought the billows spoke and told me of it;
The winds did sing it to me, and the thunder –
That deep and dreadful organpipe – pronounced
The name of Prosper. It did bass my trespass.
Therefore my son i’ th’ ooze is bedded, and
I'll seek him deeper than e'er plummet sounded,
And with him there lie mudded. Exit.

SEBASTIAN
But one fiend at a time,
I'll fight their legions o'er.

ANTONIO
I'll be thy second.
Exeunt [Sebastian and Antonio].

GONZALO
All three of them are desperate: their great guilt,
Like poison given to work a great time after,
Now 'gins to bite the spirits. I do beseech you

92 whom who; see Abbott, §410.
96 it Alonso's trespass (99)
98 deep 'Low in pitch, grave' (cited in OED a. 14)
99 bass my trespass OED (bass v. 2) uses this as its only example of 'To utter or proclaim with bass voice or sound'. Bass is a pun as well on the baseness of Alonso's actions.
101 plummet a weight-based mechanism used to determine vertical distances, especially in navigation. This line is echoed in 5.1.56.
103-4 But ... o'er. 'If they come one by one, I'll battle whole legions of devilish spirits.'
106 poison Leicester's Commonwealth, a scurrilous tract attacking the Earl of Leicester and originally published as The Copie of a Leter . . . , refers to a poison that 'might so be tempered and given as it should not appeare presentlie, and yet should kill the partie afterward at what time should be appointed' (London, 1584, 29).
107 bite the spirits erode their vitality
That are of suppler joints, follow them swiftly,  
And hinder them from what this ecstasy  
May now provoke them to.

ADRIAN  Follow, I pray you.  110

_Exeunt omnes._

4.1  _Enter PROSPERO, FERDINAND and MIRANDA._

PROSPERO [to Ferdinand]
If I have too austerely punished you,  
Your compensation makes amends, for I  
Have given you here a third of mine own life,  
Or that for which I live, who once again  
I tender to thy hand. All thy vexations  
Were but my trials of thy love, and thou  
Hast strangely stood the test. Here, afore heaven,  
I ratify this my rich gift. O Ferdinand,  
Do not smile at me that I boast her off,
For thou shalt find she will outstrip all praise
And make it halt behind her.

FERDINAND

I do believe it
Against an oracle.

PROSPERO

Then as my gift and thine own acquisition
Worthily purchased, take my daughter. But
If thou dost break her virgin-knot before
All sanctimonious ceremonies may
With full and holy rite be ministered,
No sweet aspersion shall the heavens let fall
To make this contract grow; but barren hate,
Sour-eyed disdain and discord shall bestrew
The union of your bed with weeds so loathly
That you shall hate it both. Therefore take heed,
As Hymen’s lamps shall light you.

FERDINAND

As I hope

11 halt limp (OED v.1). Miranda is so far beyond praise that praise itself limps behind her.
12 i.e. in defiance of an oracle’s testimony
13 *gift Modern editors have accepted Rowe’s emendation of F’s ‘guest’ to ‘gift’ on the basis of Crane’s characteristic spelling, ‘guift’, which the compositor may have misread as ‘guest’. Gift suggests the cultural practice in which men exchange a woman to consolidate their relationship. Prospero’s gift of Miranda to Ferdinand establishes a kinship tie between the men (Singh, 199).
15 virgin-knot maidenhead. Prospero warns of the dangers of taking Miranda’s virginity before marriage, in what could be an allusion to the Latin expression zonam solvere – to untie the girdle, a euphemism for loss of virginity. Shakespeare might also have had in mind Catullus’ poem to Hymen (LXI, 52–3): ‘tibi virgines zonula soluunt sinus’ – ‘for thee the virgins loose their garments from their girdle’ (Loeb trans., Cambridge, Mass., 1988), an allusion that only makes sense if the girdle is knotted.
16 sanctimonious holy, sacred
17 *rite F’s ‘right’ is not implausible, but the context of sanctimonious ceremonies (holy in character) suggests that the modern rite was intended, although either or both meanings could apply. Cf. Oth. 1.3.257, where Desdemona’s ‘rites’ (F) conflates the sense of sexual rights (as a wife) with the sense of ceremonial rites (marriage rituals).
18 sweet aspersion sprinkling or shower of, presumably, holy water (cited in OED aspersion 2). Prospero suggests that the marriage will be barren and miserable if the couple engages in premarital sex.
20-1 bestrew . . . weeds cover the marriage bed (and the marriage) with weeds rather than the customary flowers
23 Hymen’s lamps Hymen, god of marriage in Greek and Roman mythology, carried a torch that shone brightly on a
For quiet days, fair issue and long life,  
With such love as 'tis now, the murkiest den,  
The most opportune place, the strong'st suggestion  
Our worser genius can, shall never melt  
Mine honour into lust to take away  
The edge of that day's celebration,  
When I shall think or Phoebus' steeds are foundered  
Or night kept chained below.

PROSPERO Fairly spoke.  
Sit then and talk with her; she is thine own.  
What, Ariel! My industrious servant Ariel!

Enter ARIEL.

ARIEL  
What would my potent master? Here I am.

PROSPERO  
Thou and thy meaner fellows your last service  
Did worthily perform, and I must use you  
In such another trick. Go bring the rabble  
(O'er whom I give thee power) here to this place.
Incite them to quick motion, for I must
Bestow upon the eyes of this young couple
Some vanity of mine art. It is my promise,
And they expect it from me.

ARIEL

Presently?

PROSPERO

Ay, with a twink.

ARIEL

Before you can say ‘come’ and ‘go’,
And breathe twice and cry ‘so, so’,
Each one tripping on his toe,
Will be here with mop and mow.
Do you love me, master? No?

PROSPERO

Dearly, my delicate Ariel. Do not approach
Till thou dost hear me call.

ARIEL

Well, I conceive. Exit.

PROSPERO [to Ferdinand]

Look thou be true. Do not give dalliance
Too much the rein. The strongest oaths are straw
The Tempest

To th' fire i'th' blood. Be more abstemious
Or else good night your vow!

FERDINAND     I warrant you, sir,
The white cold virgin snow upon my heart
Abates the ardour of my liver.

PROSPERO     Well! –
Now come, my Ariel; bring a corollary
Rather than want a spirit. Appear, and pertly.    Soft music.
No tongue, all eyes. Be silent!

Enter IRIS.

IRIS
Ceres, most bounteous lady, thy rich leas
Of wheat, rye, barley, vetches, oats and peas;
Thy turfy mountains where live nibbling sheep,
And flat meads thatched with stover them to keep;

54 good night farewell to warrant guarantee, assure
55-6 The . . . liver Ferdinand, his heart 'as chaste (pure) as ice' (Dent, II, from Ham 3.1.135), combats his liver's passions. The liver, according to humoural theory, was the seat of physical passion and desire.
57-8 bring . . . spirit i.e. bring an extra spirit (meamer fellow) rather than have too few for the task. Corollary is an obsolete word for 'something additional', or 'supernumerary' (cited in OED 4).
58 want lack pertly smartly, quickly (cited in OED adv. 3)
59 No tongue In some modern performances, Ferdinand and Miranda are caught 'French-kissing' here, but it is far more likely that Prospero simply asks them to be quiet. Cf. Faustus's request to the emperor and his court to remain in 'dumb silence' while he presents the shapes of Alexander and his paramour (Marlowe, B text (1616), 4.1.96).
59.1 IRIS See List of Roles, n. 16; Introduction, pp. 70-1.
60 Ceres See List of Roles, n. 17; Introduction, pp. 70-2.
leas fields, meadows
61 vetches coarse crops often used for fodder, tares; sometimes spelled 'fetches' before the late seventeenth century but almost always vetches thereafter. See Gerard, 1052—4.
62 turfy covered with grass (OED a.)
63 meads . . . keep meadows covered with growth of fodder for sheep. Stover is any type of grass that is stored to make fodder.

53 abstemious] (abstenious) 61 vetches] (Fetches) peas] (Pease) 62 turfy mountains] (Turphie-Mountaines) 63 thatched with] (thetchd with); with thatched Hanmer
Thy banks with pioned and twilled brims,
Which spongy April at thy hest betrims
To make cold nymphs chaste crowns; and thy
broomgroves
Whose shadow the dismissed bachelor loves,
Being lass-lorn; thy pole-clipped vineyard,
And thy sea-marge, sterile and rocky-hard,
Where thou thyself dost air – the queen o’th’ sky,
Whose watery arch and messenger am I,
Bids thee leave these, and with her sovereign grace,
JUNO descends.

Here on this grass-plot, in this very place,
To come and sport. Her peacocks fly amain.
Approach, rich Ceres, her to entertain.

Enter CERES.

CERES

Hail, many-coloured messenger, that ne’er
Dost disobey the wife of Jupiter;
Who, with thy saffron wings, upon my flowers
Diffusest honey-drops, refreshing showers,
And with each end of thy blue bow dost crown
My bosky acres and my unshrubbed down,
Rich scarf to my proud earth. Why hath thy queen
Summoned me hither to this short-grassed green?

72.1 Eighteenth-century editors usually moved F’s SD to 102, arguing that Juno’s appearance is not noted until Ceres announces, Great Juno comes. But, as Jowett contends, ‘descends’ may refer to the ‘convention of the floating deity’ whereby the deity would be expected, upon appearing from the heavens, to remain suspended in the air rather than to come down to the stage. Ceres’ announcement of Juno’s arrival at 102 marks the ‘second stage of the descent to earth’. See Jowett, 115–17.

74 peacocks fly amain Peacocks, Juno’s sacred birds, draw her carriage speedily. In many stage productions Juno appears in a chariot drawn by actors dressed as peacocks, but she may also descend astride a single giant bird.

75 to entertain ‘to show hospitality to’ (OED v. 13)

76 many-coloured messenger addressed to Iris, the gods’ messenger, who probably wears a costume suggesting her sign, the rainbow

77 wife of Jupiter Juno; Jupiter was the king of gods.

78 saffron wings yellow-coloured wings, perhaps reflecting the sun

79 Diffusest honey-drops shed sweet drops of rain

81 bosky . . . down cited in OED bosky a.¹ to illustrate ‘Consisting of or covered with bushes or underwood; full of thickets, bushy’—hence, shrub-covered fields and bare undulating hills (unshrubbed down)

82 Rich scarf Iris, the rainbow or many-coloured messenger, forms a colourful scarf that covers Ceres’ earth (first occurrence in OED scarf sb.¹ 3c as a figurative use).

83 short-grassed green the lawn on which the masque is imagined to take place, which was probably mowed short and thus made suitable for dancing. As Orgel contends, this phrase
IRIS  
A contract of true love to celebrate,  
And some donation freely to estate  
On the blessed lovers.  

CERES  
Tell me, heavenly bow,  
If Venus or her son, as thou dost know,  
Do now attend the queen? Since they did plot  
The means that dusky Dis my daughter got,  
Her and her blind boy’s scandals company  
I have forsworn.  

IRIS  
Of her society  
Be not afraid. I met her deity  
Cutting the clouds towards Paphos, and her son  
Dove-drawn with her. Here thought they to have done  
Some wanton charm upon this man and maid,  

may also refer to the ‘green cloth that carpeted the dancing area when the Banqueting House was set up for a masque’ or to green rushes that covered the stages in public theatres (Oxf1, 175).  

85 donation ... estate gift generously to bestow  
86 blessed ... bow rainbow, Iris’ sign  
87 Venus ... son the goddess of love and her son Cupid. Both were associated with sexual desire. See Introduction, pp. 70–1.  
89 dusky Dis dark Pluto. Shakespeare uses a Roman name for the god of the underworld: ‘dis’, a contraction of divus, divus or deus, all of which mean ‘divine’; since the number of his subjects increased exponentially, Dis was considered the richest of all gods. In a myth that explains the changing seasons, Pluto, aided by Venus and Cupid, kidnaps Ceres’ (Demeter’s) daughter Proserpina and continues to keep her in the underworld half of every year. While Proserpina lives with Pluto in the underworld, it is winter and the earth is barren; when she returns, the earth bears fruit in spring, summer and early autumn. Cf. Perdita’s reference to Dis and Proserpina in WT 4.4.116–18.  
90 blind boy’s Cupid’s. He was often portrayed with a blindfold (‘LOVE is blind’, Dent, L506). scanted ‘disgraceful, shameful’ (first occurrence in OED ppl. a. obs.). Cupid’s companionship is to be avoided because of his complicity with Venus, according to Ovid, in causing Pluto to abduct Ceres’ daughter Proserpina. See Introduction, pp. 71–2.  
93 Paphos Venus’ sacred home on the island of Cyprus; cf. VA 1189–94, which describes Venus’ journey to Paphos.  
94 Dove-drawn Venus’ chariot was pulled by doves, the birds of love (Dent, D573).  
94–5 done ... charm When Cupid’s arrows strike the eyes, the recipient of the wound is overcome with sexual desire. Venus and Cupid intended to charm Ferdinand and Miranda into lust.
Whose vows are that no bed-right shall be paid
Till Hymen’s torch be lighted, but in vain.
Mars’s hot minion is returned again;
Her waspish-headed son has broke his arrows,
Swears he will shoot no more, but play with sparrows
And be a boy right out.

CERES

Highest queen of state,
Great Juno comes; I know her by her gait.

JUNO

How does my bounteous sister? Go with me
To bless this twain that they may prosperous be,
And honoured in their issue.

They sing.

JUNO

Honour, riches, marriage-blessing,
Long continuance and increasing,
Hourly joys be still upon you;
Juno sings her blessings on you.

96 bed-right consummation of the marriage
97 Hymen’s torch as in 23. Prospero has asked Ferdinand and Miranda to postpone the consummation of their marriage until the wedding rites are complete and Hymen’s torch (or lamp) is lit. See also 23n.
98 Mars’s hot minion Mars, god of war, had an adulterous affair with Venus, his hot minion. Venus has returned to Paphos and is no longer a threat.
99 waspish-headed son the stingingly mischievous Cupid, who may also have broken his arrows in anger (cited in OED waspish a.1 2 for the meaning ‘irascible, petulantly spiteful’). Silvius in AYL (4.3.9–11) observes that Phebe’s ‘waspish action’ in writing a letter suggests its ‘angry’ message.
broke his arrows Cupid can no longer cast his spell on the lovers because he has broken his arrows.
100 sparrows appropriate playmates for Cupid, in accordance with the proverb ‘As lustful as SPARROWS’ (Dent, S715)
101 right out outright (first occurrence in OED adv. 4); i.e. behave properly, or (perhaps) behave like a human child instead of a god
102 Great . . . comes See 72.1. Juno’s chariot arrives at centre stage, sometimes by descending from where it has been suspended aloft, or, in modern productions, sometimes from doors at the rear of the stage.
gait manner of walking or general bearing. Cf. Aeneid, Bk 1, 404–5, where Aeneas recognizes his mother by her gait. Ceres does not necessarily mean that Juno is walking along the stage, although she often does so in modern productions.
104 twain pair, couple (cited in OED sb. 2)
CERES
Earth’s increase, foison plenty,
Barns and garners never empty.
Vines with clustering bunches growing,
Plants with goodly burden bowing;
Spring come to you at the farthest,
In the very end of harvest.
Scarcity and want shall shun you,
Ceres’ blessing so is on you.

FERDINAND
This is a most majestic vision, and
Harmonious charmingly. May I be bold
To think these spirits?

PROSPERO
Spirits, which by mine art
I have from their confines called to enact
My present fancies.

FERDINAND
Let me live here ever!
So rare a wondered father and a wise
Makes this place paradise.

Juno and Ceres whisper, and send Iris on employment.

PROSPERO
Sweet now, silence!

110 SP *Theobald first added this SP: in the light of the reference to Ceres’ blessing at 117, Ceres must here take over the speech.
foison abundance (also in 2.1.164)
111 garners granaries
113 bowing bending
114–15 Spring . . . harvest May spring follow immediately after the autumn harvest – meaning there will be no winter but, instead, constant fair weather and abundance. See Introduction, pp. 70–2.
119 charmingly enchantingly, delightfully (first occurrence in OED adv.)
121 confines confines; presumably where the Spirits lurk when they are not performing Prospero’s bidding
enact perform
123 wondered performing such rare wonders (cited in OED ppl. a. 2), with play on Miranda’s name. See Abbott, §294.
124 Sweet . . . silence! Prospero appears to be addressing Ferdinand, although some editors have suggested that Miranda is about to speak when her father intercedes; other editors have assigned this phrase and the subsequent line to Miranda. Elsewhere (e.g. 3H6 2.5.137: ‘good sweet Exeter’) Shakespeare’s male characters apply sweet to each other.
There's something else to do. Hush and be mute,
Or else our spell is marred.

IRIS

You nymphs, called naiads, of the windring brooks,
With your sedged crowns and ever-harmless looks,
Leave your crisp channels, and on this green land
Answer your summons; Juno does command.
Come, temperate nymphs, and help to celebrate
A contract of true love. Be not too late.

Enter certain Nymphs.

You sunburned sicklemen, of August weary,
Come hither from the furrow and be merry;
Make holiday! Your rye-straw hats put on,
And these fresh nymphs encounter every one
In country footing.

128 naiads water nymphs. Samuel Daniel's *Tethys' Festival*, a masque performed in 1610 for Prince Henry's investiture as Prince of Wales, describes 'Naydes' as 'attired in light robes adorned with flowers, their hair hanging downe, and waving with Garlands of water ornaments on their heads' (sig. E3v).

windring This word is not found elsewhere (*OED* cites this line only and suggests a misprint for winding). Shakespeare may have conflated 'winding' and 'wandering'. Whatever its origin, the word evokes the brooks' curving paths.

129 sedged crowns crowns or coronets woven from a rush-like river plant (first occurrence in *OED* sedged)

ever-harmless guiltless, innocent (*OED* a. 3); or, causing no harm (*OED* a. 4)

130 crisp channels rippling waterways

green land grassy lawn; see 83 and n.

132 temperate abstemious (*OED* a. 1b).

Temperate nymphs (fresh nymphs in 137) are appropriate for a marriage of chaste lovers.

134 sicklemen harvesters with sickles of August weary tired from harvest labours

136 rye-straw straw made from rye, a cereal grain (and therefore appropriate to Ceres' pageant)

137 encounter stand opposite. The Nymphs and Reapers line up in pairs for the dance.

138 country footing The dance of Nymphs and Reapers that immediately follows should be rustic rather than courtly.
Enter certain Reapers, properly habited. They join with the Nymphs in a graceful dance, towards the end whereof Prospero starts suddenly and speaks; after which, to a strange hollow and confused noise, they heavily vanish.

PROSPERO [aside]
I had forgot that foul conspiracy
Of the beast Caliban and his confederates
Against my life. The minute of their plot
Is almost come. [to the Spirits] Well done. Avoid, no more!

[Spirits depart.]

FERDINAND [to Miranda]
This is strange. Your father’s in some passion
That works him strongly.

MIRANDA Never till this day
Saw I him touched with anger so distempered!

PROSPERO
You do look, my son, in a moved sort,
As if you were dismayed. Be cheerful, sir.
Our revels now are ended. These our actors,
As I foretold you, were all spirits and
Are melted into air, into thin air;
And – like the baseless fabric of this vision –
The cloud-capped towers, the gorgeous palaces,
The solemn temples, the great globe itself,
Yea, all which it inherit, shall dissolve,
And like this insubstantial pageant faded,
Leave not a rack behind. We are such stuff
As dreams are made on, and our little life
Is rounded with a sleep. Sir, I am vexed;
Bear with my weakness; my old brain is troubled.
Be not disturbed with my infirmity.
If you be pleased, retire into my cell
And there repose. A turn or two I'll walk
To still my beating mind.

FERDINAND, MIRANDA  We wish your peace.  Exeunt.

the things we deeme, / In those figures which they seeme. / But these pleasures vanish fast, . . . Glory is most bright and gay / In a flash, and so away' (italics removed). Daniel added a third spectacle after the vanishing sea nymphs 'to avoid the confusion which usually attendeth the desolve of these shewes' (sig. F3v); perhaps Prospero was not alone in finding such sudden disappearances disconcerting.

149 foretold you told you before
151 baseless ... vision i.e. this spectacle, having no foundation in reality
152 cloud-capped towers towers so tall that they are 'Capped with heavy clouds about [their] summit[s]' (first occurrence in OED a.)
153 great globe the world, though probably with a simultaneous reference to the Globe playhouse for which Shakespeare wrote plays after 1599
154 all ... inherit i.e. all people who will subsequently live on the earth and, perhaps also, all who will perform in or attend (and possibly own) the Globe

156 rack 'driving mist or fog' (cited in OED sb.1 2b), which like the pageant . . . Leave(s) scarcely a trace behind
157 on of
158 rounded with finished by, completed by (cited in OED v.1 4a). Cf. Orgel, who argues against this usage and in favour of 'surrounded' (Oxf1, 181).
162-3 A ... mind. 'A short walk will calm my agitated mind'; see 1.2.176: 'still, 'tis beating in my mind'. Cf. 2H6 1.3.152-3: 'my choler being overblown / With walking once about the quadrange'.
163 your We follow F here, although many editors have preferred 'you', which appears in F4 and Rowe. We see no justification for the change but recognize the plausibility of a scribe's or compositor's misreading of the manuscript.
PROSPERO

Come with a thought, I thank thee, Ariel. Come!

Enter ARIEL.

ARIEL

Thy thoughts I cleave to. What's thy pleasure?

PROSPERO

Spirit, we must prepare to meet with Caliban.

ARIEL

Ay, my commander. When I presented Ceres,
I thought to have told thee of it, but I feared
Lest I might anger thee.

PROSPERO

Say again, where didst thou leave these varlets?

ARIEL

I told you, sir, they were red-hot with drinking,
So full of valour that they smote the air
For breathing in their faces, beat the ground
For kissing of their feet, yet always bending

164 with a thought as quickly as a thought; as soon as I think of you. Cf. Dent, T240: 'As swift as thought'.

165 I thank thee In Riv Prospero addresses these words to Miranda and Ferdinand, which is certainly plausible, but the previous and subsequent words, as well as the singular thee, make Ariel the more likely addressee. Prospero's appreciation of Ariel's alacrity contrasts with his impatience in 1.2 and suggests a closer relationship between master and servant.

166 cleave to adhere to; obey

167 presented Ceres This phrase is generally taken to mean that the actor who performs Ariel also doubles in Ceres' role, though Ariel might be using presented in the sense of serving as a stage manager. Prospero's meditation in 148-63 allows the actor playing Ariel / Ceres plenty of time to change costumes.

170 varlets scoundrels

171 red-hot literally red-faced and figuratively highly inflamed or excited, though it may also mean fired with false courage, as the next line suggests.

172-3 So . . . faces 'They were so quarrelsome that they picked a fight with the air for surrounding their faces.'

173-4 beat . . . feet 'They beat the ground for being under (kissing) their feet', i.e. they walked (staggered?) heavily.

174 bending proceeding, turning (OED bend v. 20). Cf. AW 3.2.53-5: 'for thence we came; / And after some dispatch in hand at court, / Thither we bend again'.

169 Lest] (Least)
Towards their project. Then I beat my tabor, 175
At which like unbacked colts they pricked their ears,
Advanced their eyelids, lifted up their noses
As they smelt music; so I charmed their ears
That calf-like they my lowing followed, through
Toothed briars, sharp furzes, pricking gorse and thorns, 180
Which entered their frail shins. At last I left them
I’th’ filthy-mantled pool beyond your cell,
There dancing up to th’ chins, that the foul lake
O’erstunk their feet.

PROSPERO This was well done, my bird.
Thy shape invisible retain thou still. 185
The trumpery in my house: go bring it hither,
For stale to catch these thieves.

ARIEL I go, I go. Exit.

PROSPERO A devil, a born devil, on whose nature

175-9 Then . . . followed For a similar
image of music’s effect on wild horses,
see MV 5.1.71–9.
175 tabor drum. See 3.2.124 SD and n.
176 unbacked colts unbroken young
horses
177 Advanced raised, lifted
178 As . . . music as if they could smell
music
179 calf-like as docile as a calf
lowing mooing; making the sound of
cattle
180 sharp . . . gorse prickly shrubs
182 filthy-mantled covered with slime
or scum
184 O’erstunk their feet stank even
worse than, or drowned the stench of,
their feet (only occurrence in OED
overstink v.)
my bird This epithet suggests (1)
Ariel’s ability to fly, and (2) Prospero’s
affection for a faithful pet.
186 trumpery fancy garments; worthless
finery (first occurrence in OED sb. 2d),
referred to in 193.1 as glistering apparel
187 stale Several uses of the word may
apply here: (1) decoy, almost certainly,
and perhaps also (2) prostitute, (3)
cover for sinister designs and (4) play­
ing on the horse piss of 199, horse’s
urine.
188–9 nature/Nurture In Shakespeare’s
day, as in ours, the respective roles of
nature and nurture in the formation
of human character were debated. If, as
Prospero charges, Caliban is the son of
a witch and the devil, he is immune to
the benefits of nurture. But not quite:
Prospero and Miranda taught him
language and, they claim (1.2.308–63),
much else. Prospero’s emphasis
here is probably on moral nature, to
which Caliban (in Prospero’s and Mir­
anda’s eyes, at least) appears wholly
resistant.

180 gorse] (gosse)
Nurture can never stick; on whom my pains
Humanely taken – all, all lost, quite lost!
And, as with age his body uglier grows,
So his mind cankers. I will plague them all,
Even to roaring. Come, hang them on this line.

Enter ARIEL, loaden with glistening apparel, etc.
Enter CALIBAN, STEPHANO and TRINCULO, all wet.

CALIBAN

Pray you tread softly, that the blind mole may
Not hear a footfall. We now are near his cell.

STEPHANO Monster, your fairy, which you say is a
harmless fairy, has done little better than played the
jack with us.

TRINCULO Monster, I do smell all horse piss, at which
my nose is in great indignation.

STEPHANO So is mine. Do you hear, monster? If I should

189–90 pains . . . taken Prospero earlier
claimed to have used Caliban with
humane care (1.2.346–7).
192 cankers grows malignant, decays
plague afflict, torment
193 line For theatrical purposes, line is
often interpreted as clothes line, but
the subsequent reference to line grove
(5.1.10) argues for a botanical mean­
ing: the line, lime or linden tree.
Clothes can, of course, be hung from
a tree, but if ropes were used in the
staging of 1.1, they could here be used
equally well for a clothes line.
194 blind mole Moles, in their dark tun­
nels, could hear but not see footfalls.
Topsell explained in The Historie of
Foure-footed Beasts (London, 1607):
‘These Moles have no eares, and yet
they heare in the earth more nimbly
and perfectly then men can above the
same, for at every step or small noise
and almost breathing, they are terrified
and run away’ (499). Caliban asks
Stephano and Trinculo to tread so
softly that even the mole will not notice
them.
196 your fairy This may suggest that
Caliban has told the conspirators about
Ariel; many postwar appropriations
expand on the relationship between
Ariel and Caliban, but this line is one
of the few indications that Caliban
knew of the sprite. Yet they must have
been acquainted before Prospero and
Miranda arrived on the island, and
Sycorax would presumably (if she
lived until Caliban reached the age of
understanding) have informed her son
about the recalcitrant spirit–servant
she confined for twelve years in a
cloven pine (1.2.274–7).
198 jack trickster (cited in OED sb.1 2b)
199 horse piss All three conspirators
must reek from the filthy-mantled pool
(182).
take a displeasure against you, look you!

TRINCULO  Thou wert but a lost monster.

CALIBAN
  Good my lord, give me thy favour still.
  Be patient, for the prize I’ll bring thee to
  Shall hoodwink this mischance. Therefore speak softly;
  All’s hushed as midnight yet.

TRINCULO  Ay, but to lose our bottles in the pool –

STEPHANO  There is not only disgrace and dishonour in
  that, monster, but an infinite loss.

TRINCULO  That’s more to me than my wetting, yet this
  is your harmless fairy, monster.

STEPHANO  I will fetch off my bottle, though I be o’er ears
  for my labour.

CALIBAN
  Prithee, my king, be quiet. Seest thou here;
  This is the mouth o’th’ cell. No noise, and enter.
  Do that good mischief which may make this island
  Thine own forever, and I, thy Caliban,
  For aye thy foot-licker.

STEPHANO  Give me thy hand. I do begin to have bloody
  thoughts.

TRINCULO  [Sees the clothes.]  O King Stephano! O peer!
  O worthy Stephano! Look what a wardrobe here is for
  thee!

203 lost ruined; probably meant in the sense of ‘as good as dead’
206 hoodwink this mischance cover up this mistake
207 hushed as midnight ‘As still as MIDNIGHT’ (Dent, M919.1)
212 harmless fairy See 196n.
213 fetch off retrieve o’er ears over my ears in the filthy-mantled horse pond (182)
217 good mischief This oxymoron fits the purpose of the three conspirators:

208 pool – Hanmer; Poole. F  211–14] Pope: as verse F, lined wetting: / Monster. / bottle, / labour./
220–4] Pope: as verse F, lined hand, / thoughts. / worthy Stephano, / thee. /  222 SD] this edn

harm, evil (OED mischief sb. 2), that could serve them well.
219 aye ever foot-licker Although Caliban resents being treated as a slave earlier in the play, here he seems willing to submit to Stephano’s authority.
222 King . . . peer a reference to the old ballad ‘King Stephen was a worthy peer’, which links clothing with social status. Iago sings it in Oth 2.3.89–96.

258
CALIBAN
Let it alone, thou fool; it is but trash.

TRINCULO O ho, monster; we know what belongs to a frippery! O King Stephano! [Puts on a garment.]

STEPHANO Put off that gown, Trinculo. By this hand, I'll have that gown.

TRINCULO Thy grace shall have it.

CALIBAN
The dropsy drown this fool! What do you mean To dote thus on such luggage? Let't alone And do the murder first. If he awake, From toe to crown he'll fill our skins with pinches, Make us strange stuff.

STEPHANO Be you quiet, monster. Mistress Line, is not this my jerkin? Now is the jerkin under the line! Now jerkin you are like to lose your hair and prove a bald jerkin.

227 *frippery* old-clothing shop; Trinculo denies that the garments before them are, as Caliban contends, *trash*.
228 *gown* Trinculo has apparently donned a gown that reflects, because of its finery or insignia, more rank or elegance than 'King' Stephano can tolerate.
230 *grace* a courtesy title that in Shakespeare's day was reserved for the monarch, although its application was spreading to high ranks in church and state. Here it is appropriate for 'King' Stephano.
231 *dropsy* a disease in which the body retains fluids
232 *luggage* meant in a general sense of goods, in this case worthless garments
236 *Mistress Line* Stephano begins a series of puns that has defied satisfactory explanation, largely because 'line' has a remarkable range of meanings, several of which may be invoked here. The initial reference (*Mistress Line*) is to the line (linden) tree, or to a rope; in either case it holds the *wardrobe* (223; see also 193n.).
237 *jerkin* jacket of leather (usually) and fur, often sleeveless (*OED a*) under the line may mean only that the jerkin is now under, rather than on, the tree (or rope), but most editors take *line* in this instance to be the equator, where seafarers were believed to go bald from tropical fevers or, in a parody of that possibility, sailors sometimes shaved the heads of those crossing the equator for the first time. A more persuasive explanation is offered by R. Levin, who modifies and extends Steevens's attribution of hair loss to venereal disease: *under the line* should be read anatomically, with Stephano tucking the jerkin into his trousers and associating it with the body's lower and hotter regions, where it may lose the hair from the head (or, we suggest, from the pubic region) from syphilis.
TRINCULO  Do, do. We steal by line and level, an't like your grace.

STEPHANO  I thank thee for that jest; here's a garment for't. Wit shall not go unrewarded while I am king of this country. 'Steal by line and level' is an excellent pass of pate. There's another garment for't.

TRINCULO  Monster, come put some lime upon your fingers and away with the rest.

CALIBAN

I will have none on't. We shall lose our time, And all be turned to barnacles, or to apes With foreheads villainous low.

STEPHANO  Monster, lay to your fingers. Help to bear this away where my hogshead of wine is, or I'll turn you out of my kingdom! Go to; carry this.

TRINCULO  And this.

STEPHANO  Ay, and this.

239 Do, do. yes; bravo
line and level literally, a plumb line and a carpenter's level, but also a proverbial expression, 'To work by line and level (measure)' (Dent, L305), or, more loosely, to work with craftsmanly precision — i.e. 'we're skilful thieves'. Trinculo thus adds a third meaning to the pun on line (see 236n.).

239–40 an't . . . grace if your grace pleases

243–4 pass of pate witty jab or stroke (first occurrence in OED pass sb. 2 9b). A pass is a thrust in fencing, while pate refers to the head. Stephano makes his own pun while praising Trinculo's foray into clever wordplay.

245 lime probably birdlime, a sticky substance used to catch birds; it would cause clothing to stick to the fingers. See Dent, F236: 'His fingers are lime twigs'.

247 on't of it

248 barnacles either the hardshelled sea creatures that fasten onto rocks and ship bottoms or, more likely, the barnacle goose, which was widely believed at the time to originate in such sea life and thereby to signify a strange or stupid creature.

249 villainous vilely (cited in OED a. 5b)

250 lay to 'to put or bring into action' (cited in OED v. 1 58b)

252 Go to Get moving.

239 an't] (and't) 247 none] done F2 lose] (loose) 248 to] om. Pope
A noise of hunters heard. Enter diverse Spirits in shape of dogs and hounds, hunting them about, Prospero and Ariel setting them on.

PROSPERO  Hey, Mountain, hey! 255
ARIEL  Silver! There it goes, Silver!
PROSPERO
Fury, Fury! There, Tyrant, there! Hark, hark!
[The Spirits chase Caliban, Stephano and Trinculo off stage.]
Go, charge my goblins that they grind their joints
With dry convulsions, shorten up their sinews
With aged cramps, and more pinch-spotted make them 260
Than pard or cat o’mountain.

ARIEL  Hark, they roar!

PROSPERO
Let them be hunted soundly. At this hour
Lies at my mercy all mine enemies.
Shortly shall all my labours end, and thou
Shalt have the air at freedom. For a little, 265
Follow and do me service.

Exeunt.
5.1  

Enter PROSPERO, in his magic robes, and ARIEL.

PROSPERO

Now does my project gather to a head.  
My charms crack not; my spirits obey; and time 
Goes upright with his carriage. How's the day?

ARIEL

On the sixth hour, at which time, my lord, 
You said our work should cease.

PROSPERO

I did say so,  
When first I raised the tempest. Say, my spirit,  
How fares the King and’s followers?

ARIEL

Confined together  
In the same fashion as you gave in charge,  
Just as you left them; all prisoners, sir,

5.1 Although Prospero and Ariel were on stage at the end of 4.1, they normally go off stage so that Prospero can put on the magic robes called for at the beginning of Act 5 in F’s SD. If the magus merely donned a cloak, the quick change would not have disrupted continuous staging at the Globe. At the Blackfriars a musical interval could have allowed the actor time to exit and change his costume.

1 project Prospero’s plan, whether to wreak vengeance on his enemies or to arrange Miranda’s marriage, with the added connotation of an alchemist’s experiment, wherein ‘projection’ is the ‘casting of the powder of philosopher’s stone . . . upon a metal in fusion to effect its transmutation into gold or silver’ (OED sb. 2a)

2 crack another alchemical reference, to the breaking of the alembic if it is boiled over too high a heat. Since Prospero’s charms crack not, his project seems to be a success (Simonds, ‘Charms’, 555–6).

3 Goes . . . carriage travels ‘without stooping because his burden (carriage, what he carries) is no longer heavy’ (Oxf1, 187). In contrast to his anxiety in 4.1.139–42, Prospero declares that at this time his work is coming to a successful conclusion.

How’s the day? What time is it?

4 On approaching sixth hour In 1.2.239 Ariel told Prospero that it was ‘Past the mid-season’; three hours, more or less, have passed since the storm that began the play and it is almost six, the hour by which Prospero said his project would be finished. Repeated references to the hours create a sense of urgency and remind the audience that the unity of time has been observed.

7 How fares Here again, F has a singular verb with a plural subject.

8 ‘exactly as you ordered’
In the line grove which weather-fends your cell. 10
They cannot budge till your release. The King,
His brother and yours abide all three distracted,
And the remainder mourning over them,
Brimful of sorrow and dismay; but chiefly
Him that you termed, sir, the good old Lord Gonzalo. 15
His tears run down his beard like winter’s drops
From eaves of reeds. Your charm so strongly works ’em
That, if you now beheld them, your affections
Would become tender.

PROSPERO Dost thou think so, spirit?

ARIEL

Mine would, sir, were I human.

PROSPERO And mine shall. 20

Hast thou, which art but air, a touch, a feeling
Of their afflictions, and shall not myself
(One of their kind, that relish all as sharply,
Passion as they) be kindlier moved than thou art? Though with their high wrongs I am struck to th’ quick, Yet with my nobler reason ’gainst my fury Do I take part. The rarer action is In virtue than in vengeance. They being penitent, The sole drift of my purpose doth extend Not a frown further. Go, release them, Ariel. My charms I’ll break; their senses I’ll restore; And they shall be themselves.

ARIEL I’ll fetch them, sir. Exit.

PROSPERO [Traces a circle.]
Ye elves of hills, brooks, standing lakes and groves,
And ye that on the sands with printless foot
Do chase the ebbing Neptune, and do fly him
When he comes back; you demi-puppets that
By moonshine do the green sour ringlets make,
Whereof the ewe not bites; and you whose pastime
Is to make midnight-mushrooms, that rejoice
To hear the solemn curfew, by whose aid —
Weak masters though ye be — I have bedimmed
The noontide sun, called forth the mutinous winds,
And 'twixt the green sea and the azured vault
Set roaring war; to the dread-rattling thunder
Have I given fire and rifted Jove's stout oak
With his own bolt: the strong-based promontory

Shakespeare's borrowing from Ovid's original, Golding's translation, and other sources, see Bate, *Ovid*, 251–5.

34 printless foot leaving no print or trace (first occurrence in *OED a*). Because the elves are not corporeal, they leave no footprints. Cf. *VA* 147–8, where nymphs dance on the sands without leaving footprints.

35 ebbing Neptune As the god of the sea, Neptune appears in the ebb and flow of the waves.

36 demi-puppets half-sized or dwarf puppets (only occurrence in *OED*), i.e. fairy, elf

37 green sour ringlets rings that appear in the grass at the base of toadstools, supposedly caused by dancing fairies

38 ewe not bites Sheep won't eat the sour grass that circles the toadstools.

39 midnight-mushrooms mushrooms that spring up during the night that who

40 solemn curfew the evening bell, rung at nine o'clock. After curfew, spirits were thought to be free to roam the earth until sunrise.

41 Weak masters The *elves* and demi-puppets who assist Prospero are subject to the magician, yet they are also masters in their own supernatural domains.

41–2 bedimmed . . . sun caused eclipses of the sun (cited in *OED* bedim v.: 'make dim, cover with dimness, becloud')

42 mutinous winds Perhaps a reference to an episode in the *Odyssey*, where Odysseus, driven by curiosity, untied the sack given to him by Aeolus that contained the contrary winds which later impeded his journey.

43 azured vault the sky

44 roaring war the tumult of tempests, such as was manifest in 1.1

45 fire i.e. lightning. Cf. 1.2.191 and 203. rifted split

Jove's . . . oak As king of the gods, Jove demonstrated his power by hurling a thunderbolt. The oak, known for its hard wood, was sacred to him.

46 *strong-based promontory* Rowe's emendation of F's 'strong bass'd' better suits *promontory*, suggesting a mountain peak with a broad or sturdy base. 'Bass'd', however, could refer to the 'bass' sound made by the *promontory* as it shakes.
Have I made shake, and by the spurs plucked up
The pine and cedar; graves at my command
Have waked their sleepers, ope'd and let 'em forth
By my so potent art. But this rough magic
I here abjure; and when I have required
Some heavenly music (which even now I do)
To work mine end upon their senses that
This airy charm is for, I'll break my staff,
Bury it certain fathoms in the earth,
And deeper than did ever plummet sound
I'll drown my book.

Solemn music.

47 spurs the principal roots of the trees
cited in OED s.h. 9

48–9 graves ... forth A loose translation
of Ovid's 'manesque exire sepulcris',
which Golding rendered as 'I call up
dead men from their graves'. If these
lines are taken literally, Prospero must
be referring to events that occurred
before he came to the island; more
likely, Shakespeare includes the passage
from Ovid as a rhetorical climax to
Prospero's recitation of his magical
powers (Ovid, Bk 7, 275). The ability to
raise the dead was associated with black
magic. As Bate contends, Medea's
speech, here paraphrased, 'was viewed
in the Renaissance as witch-craft's great
set-piece'; Shakespeare's audience
would have realized at this point that
Prospero's magic must be rejected
because it was the 'selfsame black magic
as that of Medea' (Bate, Ovid, 252).

50 rough magic OED rough a. 5a
describes 'rough' actions as 'marked by
violence towards, or harsh treatment
of others'. In this sense, Prospero
hereby relinquishes his power to
wreak physical harm on his former ene­
mies - Alonso, Sebastian and Antonio -
as well as on his servants Caliban and
Ariel. Rough can also be taken in a more
benign sense, meaning 'rudely suffi­
cient', as in the Poet's reference to his
'rough work' in Tim 1.1.43 (OED a.
17a). Prospero could be self-deprecating
here, referring to the imperfect nature of
his craft (see also OED a. 13: un­
polished, rugged). The interpretation of
rough, therefore, depends upon
Prospero's present mood and on the
nature of his magic. Despite critical
claims that Prospero is really a 'white
magician' (see Introduction, pp. 62–6),
the preceding allusions to Medea's
incantation suggest to us that the adjec­
tive rough here indicates the underlying
danger of the magus's power.

51 abjure renounce, recant (cited in
OED v. 1)
required demanded. Cf. 132.

52 heavenly ... do In neo-Platonic
discourse, music was thought to be an
earthly embodiment of heavenly har­
mony; it soothes and heals the troubled
mind. Prospero's line calls for
Solemn music to sound in the background.

54 airy charm the heavenly music
break my staff Prospero will break
and bury his magic staff so that it can
never be used again.

55 fathoms A fathom was a distance of
6 feet (see 1.2.397n.); now (and usually
in this play) used primarily for nauti­
cal depth but in the seventeenth cen­
tury often applied to other contexts.

56 plummet a device used to measure
the vertical, in this case to sound the
depth of the ocean. See 3.3.101.
Here enters ARIEL before; then ALONSO with a frantic gesture, attended by GONZALO; SEBASTIAN and ANTONIO in like manner, attended by ADRIAN and FRANCISCO. They all enter the circle which Prospero had made and there stand charmed, which Prospero observing, speaks:

A solemn air and the best comforter
To an unsettled fancy, cure thy brains
(Now useless) boiled within thy skull. There stand,
For you are spell-stopped. –
Holy Gonzalo, honourable man,
Mine eyes, ev’n sociable to the show of thine,
Fall fellowly drops. [aside] The charm dissolves apace,
And as the morning steals upon the night,
Melting the darkness, so their rising senses
Begin to chase the ignorant fumes that mantle
Their clearer reason. – O good Gonzalo,
My true preserver and a loyal sir
To him thou follow’st, I will pay thy graces

57.3 circle See 33 SD and n.
57.5 Prospero observing Prospero stands outside the circle and addresses the Neapolitans, who cannot see or hear him until 106.
58–60 These lines are probably addressed to Alonso; then Prospero turns to the court party.
59 unsettled fancy troubled imagination
60 *boiled another alchemical reference; the ‘boil’ is a crucial step before base metal can be transformed to gold. See Simonds, ‘Charms’, 543.
61 spell-stopped put under a spell (first occurrence in OED sb.)
64 Fall fellowly drops emit ‘companionable, sympathetic’ tears (cited in OED fellow a. 2). At the sight of Gonzalo’s tears, Prospero sheds tears in fellowship.
SD *Prospero may be speaking to Ariel or mostly to himself.
apace speedily (OED adv. c); or, at once, immediately (OED adv. d)
66 rising Continuing the metaphor of sunrise, their senses emerge clear or (figuratively) emerge above the horizon (first occurrence in OED ppl. a. 3).
66–8 their . . . reason The courtiers’ returning senses dispel the fumes that had blocked their ability to think clearly.
67 ignorant . . . mantle ignorance-causing fumes (vapours) mantle (‘cover or conceal’) the Neapolitans’ understanding (cited in OED mantle v. 2). Fumes is perhaps another reference to the alchemist’s boil.
69 sir gentleman
70 him Alonso
70–1 pay . . . Home a proverbial expression meaning to repay a debt completely (Dent, H535.1). Compare to
Home, both in word and deed. – Most cruelly
Didst thou, Alonso, use me and my daughter.
Thy brother was a furtherer in the act. –
Thou art pinched for’t now, Sebastian! – Flesh and blood,
You, brother mine, that entertained ambition,
Expelled remorse and nature, whom with Sebastian
(Whose inward pinches therefore are most strong)
Would here have killed your king, I do forgive thee,
Unnatural though thou art. [aside] Their understanding
Begins to swell, and the approaching tide
Will shortly fill the reasonable shore
That now lies foul and muddy. Not one of them
That yet looks on me or would know me. – Ariel,
Fetch me the hat and rapier in my cell;

[Exit Ariel and returns immediately.]

I will discase me and myself present
As I was sometime Milan. Quickly, spirit,
Thou shalt ere long be free.

1H4 1.3.287-8, where Worcester maintains that the King believes the rebels should ‘think ourselves unsatisfied, / Till he hath found a time to pay us home’.

72 *Didst Although F’s compositor printed ‘Did’, Didst appears as the catchword at the bottom of the previous page and is more likely the manuscript’s original reading.

74 pinched hurt, tormented (OED v. 5 obs.). Cf. 1.2.329, where Prospero threatens Caliban: ‘thou shalt be pinched’.

75 *entertained harboured, cherished (OED v. 14c)

76 Expelled . . . nature ‘rejected pity and natural feelings’
whom sometimes emended to ‘who’ (Abbott, §274)

77 inward pinches inner torment,
ARIEL (Sings and helps to attire him.)

Where the bee sucks, there suck I,
In a cowslip's bell I lie;
There I couch when owls do cry.
On the bat's back I do fly
After summer merrily.
Merrily, merrily, shall I live now,
Under the blossom that hangs on the bough.

PROSPERO

Why, that's my dainty Ariel! I shall miss thee,
But yet thou shalt have freedom. — So, so, so. —
To the King's ship, invisible as thou art;
There shalt thou find the mariners asleep
Under the hatches. The master and the boatswain
Being awake, enforce them to this place,
And presently, I prithee.

ARIEL

I drink the air before me and return
Or ere your pulse twice beat.  

Exit.

88–94 For Robert Johnson's musical setting of Ariel's song, see Fig. 4.
89 cowslip's bell Gerard discusses seven types of cowslips, primroses and oxlips in chap. 118, and notes that the terms were often confused. All flowered early in the spring. Gerard's drawing of the field cowslips show bell-shaped blossoms, and it is to these that Ariel probably refers.
90 couch crouch or lie close (OED v.1 2). F3 and F4's 'crowch' works equally well here, though most modern editors prefer F's reading. In either case, Ariel's ability to lie or hide inside a cowslip's bell suggests his diminutive fairy nature.
92 After summer 'following summer from clime to clime' (Folg2, 150)
96 So, so, so. Prospero has donned his hat and rapier and is probably adjusting an item of clothing to indicate his readiness.
99 Under the hatches below the deck, inside the ship
99–100 The . . . awake 'when you have awakened them'
101 presently immediately, at once
102 I . . . me The Latin phrase viam vorare - to devour the way - meant to travel quickly. Thus in 2H4 1.1.47, a gentleman fleeing from battle is described: 'He seem'd in running to devour the way'. As an airy spirit, Ariel moves through the air, and the metaphor of drinking seems more appropriate than devouring to his spiritual nature.
103 Or ere before. Cf. 1.2.11n.
GONZALO

All torment, trouble, wonder and amazement
Inhabits here. Some heavenly power guide us
Out of this fearful country.

PROSPERO

Behold, sir King,
The wronged Duke of Milan, Prospero!
For more assurance that a living prince
Does now speak to thee, I embrace thy body,
And to thee and thy company I bid
A hearty welcome.

ALONSO

Whe'er thou be'st he or no,
Or some enchanted trifle to abuse me
(As late I have been), I not know. Thy pulse
Beats as of flesh and blood; and since I saw thee,
Th' affliction of my mind amends, with which
I fear a madness held me. This must crave —
An if this be at all — a most strange story.
Thy dukedom I resign and do entreat
Thou pardon me my wrongs. But how should Prospero
Be living, and be here?

PROSPERO [to Gonzalo]

First, noble friend,
Let me embrace thine age, whose honour cannot
Be measured or confined.

GONZALO Whether this be
Or be not, I'll not swear.

PROSPERO You do yet taste
Some subtleties o'th' isle that will not let you
Believe things certain. Welcome, my friends all;
[aside to Sebastian and Antonio] But you, my brace of
lords, were I so minded,
I here could pluck his highness' frown upon you
And justify you traitors! At this time
I will tell no tales.

SEBASTIAN The devil speaks in him.

PROSPERO No.
For you, most wicked sir, whom to call brother
Would even infect my mouth, I do forgive
Thy rankest fault – all of them; and require
My dukedom of thee, which perforce I know
Thou must restore.

ALONSO If thou be'st Prospero,
Give us particulars of thy preservation, 135
How thou hast met us here, whom three hours since
Were wrecked upon this shore, where I have lost
(How sharp the point of this remembrance is!)
My dear son Ferdinand.

PROSPERO I am woe for't, sir.

ALONSO Irreparable is the loss, and patience 140
Says it is past her cure.

PROSPERO I rather think
You have not sought her help, of whose soft grace
For the like loss I have her sovereign aid
And rest myself content.

ALONSO You the like loss?

PROSPERO As great to me as late; and supportable 145
To make the dear loss have I means much weaker
Than you may call to comfort you, for I

135 particulars the details
136 whom emended by F2 and Rowe to
‘who’, but cf. 76 and n.
since ago
139 I . . . for’t ‘I am sorry (grieved, mis-
erable (OED C 1a)) for it.’ Cf. Cym
5.5.297, where the King declares, ‘I am
sorrow for thee’. Shakespeare occasion-
ally used woe as an adjective
(Abbott, §230).
141 past her cure beyond her ability to
cure
142 her help the help of patience
soft compassionate, kind (OED a. 8a)
143–4 her . . . content With the aid of
patience, Prospero has accepted the
loss of his daughter (sovereign, effica-
cious, potent).
145 late recent
145–7 supportable . . . you The difficult
syntax of this passage probably
inspired F3, F4 and Rowe’s emenda-
tion to ‘insupportable’. Prospero
claims to lack the compensations that
Alonso has for the (presumed) death of
Ferdinand to make the loss of his
daughter supportable (‘bearable, toler-
able, endurable’ (cited in OED sup-
portable a. 2)). Some editors have
speculated that Claribel, Alonso’s
daughter, is the compensation Pros-
pero has in mind, but the magician
could also be referring to Alonso’s
royal power and prerogatives. More
likely, Prospero simply considers the
loss of his daughter through marriage
as a dear loss, dearer than Alonso could
comprehend (Melchiori, 69).
146 dear severe, grievous (OED a.2 2)
147 comfort console, solace

136 whom] who F2  139 I am] I’m Pope  145 supportable] insupportable F3
Have lost my daughter.

ALONSO A daughter?
O heavens, that they were living both in Naples,
The king and queen there! That they were, I wish
Myself were mudded in that oozy bed
Where my son lies. When did you lose your daughter?

PROSPERO
In this last tempest. – I perceive these lords
At this encounter do so much admire
That they devour their reason and scarce think
Their eyes do offices of truth, their words
Are natural breath. – But howsoe’er you have
Been jostled from your senses, know for certain
That I am Prospero and that very duke
Which was thrust forth of Milan, who most strangely
Upon this shore where you were wrecked, was landed
To be the lord on’t. No more yet of this,
For ’tis a chronicle of day by day,

148 daughter . . . daughter The implication of this exchange is that Alonso did not know that Prospero had a daughter, which seems implausible but not impossible – either because he had never been told of Miranda’s birth or because during the twelve years since their exile, he had forgotten. It is even less plausible that Alonso knew of Miranda’s birth but not that she had been exiled with her father.

151 mudded buried in the mud. Cf. 3.3.102 (these are the only occurrences cited in OED v. 1.3a).
oozy bed the ocean’s floor

154–5 so . . . reason ‘their reason is swallowed up in amazement’ (Oxf1, 196). They may also be open-mouthed in astonishment. Admire, wonder, marvel.

156 do . . . truth perform the duties of truth; i.e. they think their eyes are deceiving them.

156 their Capell’s emendation to ‘these’, adopted in Oxf, on the assumption that the compositor misread ‘theis’, changes F’s sense, making Prospero refer to his own words. But since the point is to stress the Neapolitans’ astonishment, there is no reason why they couldn’t have trouble believing their own words and responses to what they are hearing. See Var, 248.

157 natural breath ‘ordinary speech’; the Neapolitans are so amazed they can hardly believe the words they are saying.

160 of from strangely in a most unusual way (OED adv. 3)

162 on’t of it

163 chronicle narrative account
day by day ‘to be told over many days’ (Oxf1, 196), or ‘of daily events, over many years’
Not a relation for a breakfast, nor
Befitting this first meeting. – Welcome, sir.
This cell’s my court; here have I few attendants,
And subjects none abroad. Pray you, look in.
My dukedom since you have given me again,
I will requite you with as good a thing,
At least bring forth a wonder to content ye
As much as me my dukedom.

Here Prospero discovers Ferdinand and Miranda, playing at chess.

**MIRANDA**
Sweet lord, you play me false.

**FERDINAND** No, my dearest love,
I would not for the world.

**MIRANDA**
Yes, for a score of kingdoms you should wrangle,
And I would call it fair play.

**ALONSO**
If this prove

---

164 relation report
167 abroad elsewhere
168 you have The metre requires an eli-
sion to ‘you’ve’.
170 wonder Prospero deliberately puns on his daughter’s name.

161.1 Furness contends that chess was asso-
ciated with royalty in Jacobean England; it was ‘a deeply intellectual pastime, above the reach of the vulgar, confined to royal and princely personages’. Moreover, Naples was known as a centre of chess-playing (Var, 250–1). Chess was featured in many courtly-love allegories and is found in Renaissance discourses on government. See Loughrey & Taylor. *discovery* Dessen defines the theatrical term ‘discover’: ‘to part a curtain or otherwise reveal to the playgoer (and often to onstage figures) something hitherto unseen’ (42), in this instance, the seemingly miraculous existence of Ferdinand and Miranda; the former had perished, the court party believes, in the tempest and the latter is no longer an infant but a lovely young woman. Alonso wonders if it is another ‘vision of the island’ (176), but this is the only spectacle Prospero produces without the aid of magic.

172 play me false Miranda claims that Ferdinand is cheating.
174 a score of twenty. Miranda checks Ferdinand with twenty kingdoms, as opposed to the world.
175 And Oxf interprets as ‘An’ (meaning ‘If’), making Ferdinand’s wrangling conditional upon Miranda’s approval. F’s *And seems* preferable; Miranda approves no matter what Ferdinand does.
fair play Miranda would call Ferdinand’s cheating fair play (because she loves him).

168 you have] you’ve Pope 172 dearest] dear Pope; dear’st Capell 175 And] An Oxf
A vision of the island, one dear son
Shall I twice lose.

SEBASTIAN A most high miracle!

FERDINAND [Sees Alonso and the others.]
Though the seas threaten, they are merciful.
I have cursed them without cause. [He kneels.]

ALONSO Now all the blessings
Of a glad father compass thee about!
Arise and say how thou cam’st here.

MIRANDA O wonder!
How many goodly creatures are there here!
How beauteous mankind is! O brave new world
That has such people in’t.

PROSPERO 'Tis new to thee.

ALONSO What is this maid with whom thou wast at play?
Your eld’st acquaintance cannot be three hours.
Is she the goddess that hath severed us
And brought us thus together?

FERDINAND Sir, she is mortal,
But by immortal providence she’s mine;
I chose her when I could not ask my father
For his advice, nor thought I had one. She
Is daughter to this famous Duke of Milan –
Of whom so often I have heard renown
But never saw before – of whom I have
Received a second life; and second father
This lady makes him to me.

ALONSO I am hers.
But O, how oddly will it sound that I
Must ask my child forgiveness.

PROSPERO There, sir, stop.
Let us not burden our remembrances with
A heaviness that’s gone.

GONZALO I have inly wept,
Or should have spoke ere this. Look down, you gods,
And on this couple drop a blessed crown,
For it is you that have chalked forth the way
Which brought us hither.

ALONSO I say ‘amen’, Gonzalo.

GONZALO Was Milan thrust from Milan that his issue

194 of whom from whom

renown report, rumour (with the
implication of being widely celebrated
(cited in OED sb. 3))

195 second life Ferdinand acknowledges
Prospero’s role in his new life (after
nearly losing his life in the shipwreck)
on the island.

second father Prospero will be
Ferdinand’s father-in-law, a term
rarely used in Shakespeare's time. The
spouse’s parents were referred to as
‘father’ and ‘mother’.

196 I am hers. ‘I am her (in law)’;
i.e. Alonso consents to the marriage.

198 forgiveness This line shows that
Alonso repents his involvement in
Prospero’s usurpation.

There, sir, stop. In some performances, Alonso tries to kneel before
Miranda while asking forgiveness but

is prevented by Prospero who raises
him back up with this line.

200 heaviness sadness, grief (cited in
OED e)
inly ‘Inwardly’; or ‘thoroughly,
extremely’ (OED adv.)

202 blessed blessed
crown the combined crowns of Naples
and Milan

203 chalked forth marked out, as if with
chalk, ‘as a course to be followed’ (cited
in OED chalk v. 4c fig.). Cf. H8
1.1.59–60: ‘ancestry, whose grace /
Chalks successors their way’.

205 1 Milan the Duke of Milan. Shake-
spere and his contemporaries fre-
quently conflated the names of
countries with their rulers. Cf. AC
4.15.41, where Antony refers to
Cleopatra as ‘Egypt’.
Should become kings of Naples? O, rejoice
Beyond a common joy, and set it down
With gold on lasting pillars: in one voyage
Did Claribel her husband find at Tunis;
And Ferdinand, her brother, found a wife
Where he himself was lost; Prospero his dukedom
In a poor isle; and all of us ourselves,
When no man was his own.

ALONSO [to Ferdinand and Miranda]
Give me your hands.
Let grief and sorrow still embrace his heart
That doth not wish you joy.

GONZALO
Be it so; amen.

Enter ARIEL, with the Master and Boatswain
amazedly following.

O look, sir, look, sir; here is more of us!
I prophesied, if a gallows were on land
This fellow could not drown. [to Boatswain] Now,
blasphemy,
That swear’st grace o’erboard, not an oath on shore?

206 Naples Prospero’s descendants will
inherit both Naples and Milan by
virtue of Ferdinand and Miranda’s
marriage.

208 lasting pillars Kay describes the pillars’ ‘recognized iconographic signi-
cance’: after Charles V combined the pillars of Hercules with the motto plus ultra (greater than the greatest), European monarchs, including Elizabeth, adopted the emblem to signify their imperial ambitions. ‘Gonzalo’s pillars’, Kay concludes, ‘would derive their status as an emblem of rule, ambition, dynastic continuity, and the operation of Providence’ and resonate with the play’s political concerns.

214 still ever, always

his heart the heart of anyone

215 That who
Be it probably elided to ‘Be’t’ to fit the metre

216 here is Pope emended to ‘here are’
but, as Abbott indicates, a singular verb preceding a plural subject is com-
mon in Shakespeare (§335).

218 blasphemy one who blasphemes. Sebastian had called the Boatswain a
‘bawling, blasphemous, incharitable
dog’ in 1.1.39–40, though we never
actually heard the Boatswain say any-
thing blasphemous.

219 swear’st grace o’erboard Gonzalo
charges that the Boatswain’s swearing
will send grace overboard.
Hast thou no mouth by land? What is the news?

**BOATSWAIN**

The best news is that we have safely found
Our King and company. The next: our ship,
Which but three glasses since we gave out split,
Is tight and yare and bravely rigged as when
We first put out to sea.

**ARIEL** [to Prospero]

Sir, all this service
Have I done since I went.

**PROSPERO**

My tricksy spirit!

**ALONSO**

These are not natural events; they strengthen
From strange to stranger. Say, how came you hither?

**BOATSWAIN**

If I did think, sir, I were well awake,
I’d strive to tell you. We were dead of sleep
And – how we know not – all clapped under hatches,
Where but even now with strange and several noises
Of roaring, shrieking, howling, jingling chains
And more diversity of sounds, all horrible,
We were awaked; straightway at liberty,
Where we, in all our trim, freshly beheld

---

220 Hast . . . land? Can’t you speak on land?
223 three glasses three hours, each hour consuming one hourglass
gave out reported
tight and yare shipshape, seaworthy, easily manageable (cited in OED
yare a. arch. 2b)
bravely finely, handsomely
tricksy ‘full of or given to tricks, or pranks; playful, sportive; mischievous, capricious, whimsical’ (cited in OED
a. 2)
227 strengthen ‘become strong or stronger, grow in strength or intensity’
(first occurrence in OED v. 10)
228 strange to stranger curioser and curioser
dead of sleep deeply asleep
clapped under hatches confined under the deck
even The metre suggests an elision to ‘e’en’.
our trim Assuming that the Boatswain referred to the ship, Theobald emended to ‘her trim’, and some modern editors have followed suit. Even without the emendation, the
Our royal, good and gallant ship; our master
Cap’ring to eye her. On a trice, so please you,
Even in a dream, were we divided from them
And were brought moping hither.

ARIEL [to Prospero] Was’t well done? 240

PROSPERO
Bravely, my diligence. Thou shalt be free.

ALONSO
This is as strange a maze as e’er men trod,
And there is in this business more than nature
Was ever conduct of. Some oracle
Must rectify our knowledge.

PROSPERO Sir, my liege, 245
Do not infest your mind with beating on
The strangeness of this business. At picked leisure,
Which shall be shortly, single I’ll resolve you
(Which to you shall seem probable) of every

reference (however oddly placed) may be to the ship: ‘our trim on our ship’.
But the Boatswain more likely refers to himself and his fellow sailors, the trim being their garments or perhaps their personal equipment.

freshly recently, lately (cited in OED adv. 1)

237 gallant stately, noble; ‘often used as an admiring epithet for a ship’ (OED A 4b)

238 Cap’ring dancing with joy

On a trice instantly, without delay
(Dent, T517)

239 them the other crew members

240 moping confused, bewildered

241 Bravely, my diligence i.e. well done, my diligent one

242 maze Gonzalo compared the winding path the Neapolitans have travelled to a maze in 3.3.2, and Alonso here echoes the same theme.

244 conduct director. Alonso finds this business beyond or outside nature’s usual guidance.

246 infest . . . on Emended to ‘infect’ in F4 and Rowe, F’s ‘infect’ – ‘to attack, assail, annoy, or trouble in a persistent manner’ (OED v. 2 1) – accords better with beating on, defined by Orgel as ‘hammering, insistently thinking’ (Oxf1, 201).

247 picked leisure a time that is deliberately selected

248 *shortly, single Since Rowe, editors have added a comma after shortly, making single an adverb that modifies the verb resolve. Prospero wants to talk to Alonso privately, in single company, without Sebastian and Antonio present. resolve you explain to you, make you understand

249 probable ‘capable of being proved; demonstrable, provable’ (OED a. 1); or, ‘likely’ (OED a. 3a)
These happened accidents. Till when, be cheerful And think of each thing well. [aside to Ariel] Come hither, spirit. Set Caliban and his companions free; Untie the spell. [Exit Ariel.] [to Alonso] How fares my gracious sir? There are yet missing of your company Some few odd lads that you remember not.

Enter ARIEL, driving in CALIBAN, STEPHANO and TRINCULO in their stolen apparel.

STEPHANO Every man shift for all the rest, and let no man take care for himself, for all is but fortune. Coraggio, bully monster, coraggio.

TRINCULO If these be true spies which I wear in my head, here’s a goodly sight.

CALIBAN O Setebos, these be brave spirits indeed! How fine my master is! I am afraid He will chastise me.

SEBASTIAN Ha, ha! What things are these, my lord Antonio? Will money buy ’em?

ANTONIO Very like. One of them

250 accidents unforeseen events 256–7 Drunken Stephano inverts the sense of what he surely intended or at least what custom called for: ‘Let each man shift for himself and not bother with the others, for only chance (fortune) can save us from this predicament’, i.e. ‘Every man for himself’.

258 Coraggio . . . coraggio the Italian exclamation for ‘Have courage!’ (cited in OED int.). Cf. AW 2.5.92: ‘Bravely, coraggio!’ Andrews suggests that F’s ‘Coraggio . . . Corasso’ was the playwright’s way of conveying Stephano’s inebriation (Everyman, 172), but it may have been a compositorial error. bully gallant 259 ‘If I can believe my eyes’ 261 Setebos Caliban’s god. See 1.2.374 and n. brave splendid, wonderful 262 fine finely dressed. Caliban had not, presumably, ever seen Prospero in his ducal attire.
Is a plain fish and no doubt marketable.

PROSPERO

Mark but the badges of these men, my lords,
Then say if they be true. This misshapen knave,
His mother was a witch, and one so strong
That could control the moon, make flows and ebbs,
And deal in her command without her power.
These three have robbed me, and this demi-devil
(For he’s a bastard one) had plotted with them
To take my life. Two of these fellows you
Must know and own; this thing of darkness I
Acknowledge mine.

CALIBAN

I shall be pinched to death.

---

266 fish perhaps a reference, probably not literal, to Caliban’s appearance, or, more likely, to his smell (see 4.1.183–4 and 199–200). Cf. Trinculo’s reaction in 2.2.25–35.

267 badges signs of employment. In Renaissance great houses, servants wore livery that identified their employer.

268 true genuine, legitimate; i.e. Prospero challenges the Neapolitans to certify that the servants are their own.

269 mother Sycorax. Cf 1.2.263–9 and 266n.

270 control the moon Ovid’s Medea claims to control the moon in Metamorphoses, 7.207.

271 deal...power Sycorax could usurp some of the moon’s authority but not all her power, although without her power might also mean ‘beyond the limits of the moon’s power’, or ‘without need to rely on the moon’s power’.

272 demi-devil In 1.2.320–1 Prospero claimed that Caliban’s sire was a devil.

273 bastard one Prospero could mean that Caliban was illegitimate or that he was a ‘mongrel hybrid of inferior breed’ (OED bastard B 2a).

275 thing of darkness Caliban’s darkness has traditionally been interpreted as a sign of his moral depravity, or at least Prospero’s conviction that he is morally depraved. Recently it has sometimes been taken as an epithet implying Caliban’s African or Native American ancestry. See, e.g. two scholarly works: Hall, Things of Darkness, and Brown, “This thing of darkness”.

276 Acknowledge mine Prospero may be merely acknowledging Caliban as his servant (as opposed to Stephano and Trinculo who are Alonso’s responsibility), but this line has often been taken as Prospero’s ‘anagnorisis’, a recognition of his own part in the darker side of humanity or, more particularly, in Caliban’s lust for Miranda. See, e.g., Bate, Ovid, 254–7, and Melchiori, 71–2.

pinched Caliban expressed his fear of the pinches inflicted by Prospero’s Spirits in 2.2.4–6.
5.1.277 The Tempest

ALONSO
Is not this Stephano, my drunken butler?

SEBASTIAN
He is drunk now. Where had he wine?

ALONSO
And Trinculo is reeling ripe! Where should they
Find this grand liquor that hath gilded 'em?

How cam'st thou in this pickle?

TRINCULO I have been in such a pickle since I saw you
last, that I fear me will never out of my bones. I shall
not fear fly–blowing.

SEBASTIAN Why, how now, Stephano?

STEPHANO O touch me not; I am not Stephano, but a

cramp!

PROSPERO You'd be king o'the isle, sirrah?

STEPHANO I should have been a sore one then.

ALONSO
This is a strange thing as e'er I looked on.

279 reeling ripe so drunk that he 'reels', staggering or perhaps losing his balance

280 liquor . . . 'em Theobald first noted that this line is an alchemical reference and emended liquor to 'lixir' to make the connection clearer (73). Liquor has boiled their brains (see 60 above and n.), turning their base metal into gold; liquor may also have flushed their faces and thereby gilded them. There could also be a resonance of gild / guilt, as in Mac 2.2.52–4: 'If he do bleed, / I'll gild the faces of the grooms withal, / For it must seem their guilt'.

281 pickle (1) The liquor, as a preservative, has turned Trinculo into a pickle; (2) Trinculo has got himself into a sad predicament (Dent, P276). Both meanings are probably intended. Cf. AC 2.5.65–6, where Cleopatra threatens the messenger: 'Thou shalt be whipt with wire, and stew'd in brine, / Smarting in ling'ring pickle'.

284 fly–blowing Because he is 'pickled', Trinculo will not worry about flies which otherwise would deposit their eggs on him as on raw meat.

286–7 I . . . cramp 'I've been so tormented by cramps (inflicted by Ariel's minions) that I've turned into one.'

288 sirrah 'a term of address used to men or boys expressing contempt, reprimand, or assumption of authority on the part of the speaker' (OED 1)

289 sore painful, aching (OED a.1 1), playing on cramp (287); or, 'distressed' (OED a.1 11)

278] Pope; F lines now; / wine? / 282–4] Pope; as verse F, lined last, / bones: / fly–blowing. / 290 This . . . as] 'Tis a strange thing as F3, F4, Rowe; This is as strange a thing as Folg2 (Capell)
PROSPERO

He is as disproportioned in his manners
As in his shape. Go, sirrah, to my cell;
Take with you your companions. As you look
To have my pardon, trim it handsomely.

CALIBAN

Ay, that I will; and I'll be wise hereafter
And seek for grace. What a thrice-double ass
Was I to take this drunkard for a god,
And worship this dull fool!

PROSPERO Go to, away.

ALONSO [to Stephano and Trinculo]

Hence, and bestow your luggage where you found it.

SEBASTIAN Or stole it, rather.

[Exeunt Caliban, Stephano and Trinculo.]

PROSPERO

Sir, I invite your highness and your train
To my poor cell, where you shall take your rest
For this one night, which (part of it) I'll waste
With such discourse as, I not doubt, shall make it

291–2 He...shape. Another suggestion that Caliban is somehow deformed, reflecting the common view that the physical body is a true reflector of the moral condition.

291 manners in the now obsolete sense of 'conduct in its moral aspect' (OED sb.4b); and, perhaps, 'customary rules of behaviour' (OED sb.4c)

293 trim it handsomely decorate the cell admirably, beautifully

296 seek for grace This line is often taken to indicate Caliban's repentance and promise of reform. Grace can be read as either 'mercy' (forgiveness) or 'favour' in that Caliban will now seek Prospero's goodwill. In either case, Caliban now realizes how stupid he was to involve himself with Stephano and Trinculo.

298 worship intended in the general sense of 'honour' or 'treat with respect' (OED v.2b) as well as 'revere as a supernatural' (OED v.1a)

299 luggage the stolen apparel; cf. 4.1.232.

300 SD *F does not provide an exit for Stephano, Trinculo and Caliban here, but it is common stage practice for them to comply with Prospero's Go to, away.

301 train entourage

303 waste pass away the time
Go quick away – the story of my life, 
And the particular accidents gone by 
Since I came to this isle – and in the morn 
I’ll bring you to your ship, and so to Naples, 
Where I have hope to see the nuptial 
Of these our dear-beloved solemnized; 
And thence retire me to my Milan, where 
Every third thought shall be my grave.

ALONSO I long
To hear the story of your life, which must 
Take the ear strangely.

PROSPERO I’ll deliver all, 
And promise you calm seas, auspicious gales 
And sail so expeditious that shall catch 
Your royal fleet far off. [aside to Ariel] My Ariel, chick, 
That is thy charge. Then to the elements 
Be free, and fare thou well!
[to the others] Please you, draw near.

Exeunt omnes.

305 quick used here as an adverb; quickly
306 accidents events, occurrences
310 dear-beloved dear-beloved. F’s ‘belou’d’ may be the result of Crane’s habit of elision; we take instead Rowe’s reading (‘dear-beloved solemnized’), which makes the line metrical, with the extra accent on beloved (not on solemnized), and suggests the Anglican marriage ceremony’s ‘dearly beloved’.
312 third thought Prospero’s plan to meditate on his own death is sometimes taken as an indication that he is quite old and near death. Since the memento mori, a meditation on death, was a widespread religious convention, this resolve need not imply Prospero’s imminent mortality. We prefer to think of Prospero as a middle-aged man who looks forward to regaining his dukedom and watching his grand-

children grow up (see Introduction, pp. 24–5). Orgel contends that Prospero’s meditation may also be a form of gloating over Antonio’s loss of the throne to Ferdinand and Miranda (Oxf 1, 55).
314 Take the ear affect the listener; ‘captivating, delight, charm’ (OED take v. B 10) deliver all tell everything
316 shall catch They will catch up with the rest of the fleet, which was reported somewhere on the Mediterranean float (1.2.234).
317 chick literally, a young chicken but also a term of endearment (cited in OED sb. 3). In 4.1.184 Prospero called Ariel my bird; both epithets suggest the spirit’s avian qualities.
319 Be... well! In Ron Daniels’s 1984–5 RSC production, Prospero (Derek
Now my charms are all o’erthrown,  
And what strength I have’s mine own,  
Which is most faint. Now, ’tis true  
I must be here confined by you,  
Or sent to Naples. Let me not,  
Since I have my dukedom got  
And pardoned the deceiver, dwell  
In this bare island by your spell;  
But release me from my bands  

Jacobi) addressed these words to empty space. More melodramatically, in some performances of the 1994–5 RSC production, Simon Russell Beale’s Ariel spat at Prospero at this moment and then disappeared. As Dessen argues, Ariel’s departure here, rather than after Prospero’s last words, can call attention to his role as the agent of Prospero’s magical powers and suggest the magus’s loss and new-found vulnerability (214–15). Please . . . near. This line is usually delivered as Prospero draws the court party into his cell, off stage. If Prospero remains on stage for the Epilogue, the line can be delivered to the audience as he moves forward.

EPILOGUE The Epilogue is not required for a coherent reading or production because the play’s action is complete. Shakespeare may have added it for special performances, perhaps at court. However, the Epilogue, like 4.1.148–56, relates Prospero’s art to the dramatist’s skill, and the conventional request for applause also relates to the play’s themes of reconciliation and forgiveness. The octosyllabic couplets used in the Epilogue are similar to some of Gower’s choric speeches in Per; compare also Puck’s epilogue in MND.

1 charms . . . o’erthrown a reference to the magic Prospero has relinquished or to the role of the actor. In George C. Wolfe’s 1995 production for the New York Shakespeare Festival, Patrick Stewart gave up the microphone he had used throughout the outdoor performance and here addressed the audience without the aid of amplification. If Prospero has exited and returned, he may have doffed some of his ducal trappings and appear in a simple shirt or gown. Such theatrical choices can indicate Prospero’s loss of power or the actor’s loss of his role.

4 you the audience. As Orgel notes, ‘Prospero puts himself in the position of Ariel, Caliban, Ferdinand and the other shipwreck victims throughout the play, threatened with confinement, pleading for release from bondage’ (Oxf1, 204).

8 this bare island presumably the stage as well as its imaginary setting

9 bands bonds, confinement. Prospero is confined to the island, the actor to his role, until the audience releases him.

EPILOGUE[ 1 Now] Now, now F3  2 own] F2 (owne,); owne. F; own; Pope  3 Now] and now Pope
With the help of your good hands.  
Gentle breath of yours my sails 
Must fill, or else my project fails, 
Which was to please. Now I want 
Spirits to enforce, art to enchant; 
And my ending is despair, 
Unless I be relieved by prayer, 
Which pierces so that it assaults 
Mercy itself, and frees all faults. 
As you from crimes would pardoned be, 
Let your indulgence set me free.  

Exit.

10 good hands applause. It was thought that the sound of hands clapping could break a charm. Cf. Puck’s similar request in MND: ‘Give me your hands, if we be friends’ (5.1.437).

11 Gentle breath from the audience’s cheers or perhaps, as Orgel suggests, from their kind words about the performance (Oxf1, 205)

12 my project perhaps the alchemist’s experiment, though Prospero might also mean his project to regain his dukedom. The actor’s project is, of course, to please his audience. See 5.1.1.

13 please implying please you, the audience want lack

15–6 ending . . . prayer Warburton contended that these lines allude ‘to the old Stories told of the despair of Necromancers in their last moments; and of the efficacy of the prayers of their friends for them’ (89). But taken in context, the passage suggests that without his art – like the actor without his role – Prospero is simply human, in need of mercy and forgiveness like others.

17–18 pierces . . . faults Prayer is able to penetrate the heart of Mercy (a personification of divine grace) and attain pardon for all faults, in both the play and the performance.

19 crimes sins, offences

19–20 Cf. Matthew, 6.14 (Geneva Bible): ‘For if ye do forgive men their trespasses, your heavenlie Father wil also forgive you’.

20 indulgence favour; but perhaps also an irreverent pun on the Roman Catholic practice of offering remission of the punishment due to a sin in return for a donation to the Church
Unlike most of Shakespeare’s plays, *The Tempest* has no principal source for its plot nor even a cluster of sources for its central themes. It does, however, have several unquestionable general sources, such as Virgil’s *Aeneid* and Ovid’s *Metamorphoses*, for many of its minor themes, some of its characterizations and some of its language, as we discuss briefly in our Introduction and as books by Donna Hamilton and Jonathan Bate explain more fully. There are also two documents on which Shakespeare surely drew for specific passages: William Strachey’s ‘True Reportory’, for the opening scene and perhaps for a few later references to dissensions, conspiracies, and retributions; and Michel de Montaigne’s ‘Of the Caniballes’, for Gonzalo’s utopian musings and perhaps for some observations about cultural differences. For other sources and analogues that may underly *The Tempest*, see our Introduction and Bullough’s *Narrative and Dramatic Sources*, vol. VIII.

The following extracts from Strachey and Montaigne are here reproduced as first published in England (1625 and 1603 respectively), with minor modifications as set forth in our Preface, and with the omission of extraneous marginalia.

1 *Strachey, ‘A True Reportory’*

William Strachey (1572–1621) attended Emmanuel College, Cambridge, and was later a resident of the Blackfriars area of London, where he was an acquaintance of Ben Jonson and a member of the Virginia Company. In 1609 Strachey was aboard the *Sea Venture* when she was wrecked on the coast of Bermuda;
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after he and the other survivors reached Jamestown ten months later, Strachey composed his long narrative letter to a ‘noble Lady’ (probably Dame Sara Smith, wife of Sir Thomas Smith of the Virginia Company), which he sent to England that summer. It was first published by Samuel Purchas in *Purchas His Pilgrimes* in 1625, along with a portion of the Virginia Company’s *True declaration of the estate of the colony of 1610* (published several months after Strachey’s letter arrived in England) and possibly with changes to the original manuscript. That manuscript was among Hakluyt’s papers, acquired by Purchas on the former’s death in 1616, but it has since disappeared. The following selection is from Purchas’s version, vol. 4, pp. 1734–58.

*A true reportory of the wracke, and redemption of Sir THOMAS GATES Knight; upon, and from the Ilands of the Bermudas: his comming to Virginia, and the estate of that Colonie then, and after, under the government of the Lord LA WARRE, July 15. 1610. written by WILLIAM STRACHY, Esquire.*

I

*A most dreadfull Tempest (the manifold deaths whereof are here to the life described¹) their wracke on Bermuda, and the description of those Ilands.*

Excellent Lady, know that upon Friday late in the evening, we brake ground out of the Sound of Plymouth, our whole Fleete then consisting of seven good Ships, and two Pinnaces, all of which from the said second of June, unto the twenty three of July, kept in friendly consort together not a whole watch at any time, loosing the sight of each other.... [W]e were within seven or eight dayes at the most, by Cap. Newport's² reckoning of making Cape Henry upon the coast of Virginia: When on S. James his day, July 24. being Monday (preparing for no lesse all the blacke night before) the cloudes gathering thicke upon us, and the windes singing, and whistling most unusually, which made us

---

1 No lives were lost in the storm, but Strachey mentions the deaths of several people in Bermuda and others in Virginia.

2 Christopher Newport (c. 1565–1617) commanded the first expedition to Virginia in 1606–7; the ill-fated voyage of 1609 was his third of five trips to the English colony.
to cast off our Pinnace towing the same untill then asterne, a dreadfull storme and hideous began to blow from out the North-east, which swelling, and roaring as it were by fits, some houres with more violence then others, at length did beate all light from heaven; which like an hell of darkenesse turned blacke upon us, so much the more fuller of horror, as in such cases horror and feare use to overrunne the troubled, and overmastered sences of all, which (taken up with amazement) the eares lay so sensible to the terrible cries, and murmurs of the windes, and distraction of our Company, as who was most armed, and best prepared, was not a little shaken. For surely (Noble Lady) as death comes not so sodaine nor apparant, so he comes not so elvish and painfull (to men especially even then in health and perfect habitudes of body) as at Sea; who comes at no time so welcome, but our frailty (so weake is the hold of hope in miserable demonstrations of danger) it makes guilty of many contrary changes, and conflicts: For indeede death is accompanied at no time, nor place with circumstances every way so uncapable of particularities of goodnesse and inward comforts, as at Sea. . . .

For foure and twenty houres the storme in a restlesse tumult, had blowne so exceedingly, as we could not apprehend in our imaginations any possibility of greater violence, yet did wee still finde it, not onely more terrible, but more constant, fury added to fury, and one storme urging a second more outragious then the former; whether it so wrought upon our feares, or indeede met with new forces: Sometimes strikes in our Ship amongst women, and passengers, not used to such hurly and discomforts, made us looke one upon the other with troubled hearts, and panting bosomes: our clamours dround in the windes, and the windes in thunder. Prayers might well be in the heart and lips, but drowned in the outcries of the Officers: nothing heard that could give comfort, nothing seene that might incourage hope. It is impossible for me, had I the voyce of Stentor,¹ and expression of as many tongues, as his throate of voyces, to expresse the outcries and miseries, not languishing, but wasting his spirits, and art constant to his owne principles, but not prevailing. Our sailes wound up lay without their use, and if at any time wee bore but a Hollocke,² or halfe forecourse, to guide her

---

¹ The Iliad described the Greek herald Stentor as having the voice of fifty men.
² "[L]et us trie if she will endure the Hullocke of a Saile, which sometimes is a peece of the mizen saile or some other little saile part opened, to keepe her head to the sea' (J. Smith, Sea Grammar, 41).
before the Sea, six and sometimes eight men were not inough to hold the whipstaffe\(^1\) in the steerage, and the tiller below in the Gunner roome, by which may be imagined the strength of the storme: In which, the Sea swelled above the Clouds, and gave battell unto Heaven. It could not be said to raine, the waters like whole Rivers did flood in the ayre. And this I did still observe, that whereas upon the Land, when a storme hath powred it selfe forth once in drifts of raine, the winde as beaten downe, and vanquished therewith, not long after indureth: here the glut of water (as if throatling the winde ere while) was no sooner a little emptied and qualified, but instantly the windes (as having gotten their mouthes now free, and at liberty) spake more loud, and grew more tumultuous, and malignant. What shall I say? Windes and Seas were as mad, as fury and rage could make them; for mine owne part, I had bin in some stormes before, as well upon the coast of Barbary and Algeere, in the Levant, and once more distresfull in the Adriatique gulfe....

It pleased God to bring a greater affliction yet upon us; for in the beginning of the storme we had received likewise a mighty leake. And the Ship in every joynt almost, having spued out her Okam, before we were aware (a casualty more desperate then any other that a Voyage by Sea draweth with it) was growne five foote suddenly deepe with water above her ballast, and we almost drowned within, whilest we sat looking when to perish from above. This imparting no lesse terroure then danger, ranne through the whole Ship with much fright and amazement, startled and turned the bloud, and tooke downe the braves of the most hardy Marriner of them all, insomuch as he that before happily felt not the sorrow of others, now began to sorrow for himselfe, when he saw such a pond of water so suddenly broken in, and which he knew could not (without present avoiding) but instantly sinke him. So as joyning (onely for his owne sake, not yet worth the saving) in the publique safety; there might be seene Master, Masters Mate, Boateswaine, Quarter Master, Coopers, Carpenters, and who not, with candels in their hands, creeping along the ribs viewing the sides, searching every corner, and listening in every place, if they could heare the water runne....

I am not able to give unto your Ladiship every mans thought in this perplexity, to which we were now brought; but to me, this Leakage appeared as a wound given to men that were before dead. The Lord

---

1 The whipstaff was attached to the tiller, which in turn controlled the rudder.
knoweth, I had as little hope, as desire of life in the storme, & in this, it went beyond my will; because beyond my reason, why we should labour to preserve life; yet we did, either because so deare are a few lingring houres of life in all mankinde, or that our Christian knowledges taught us, how much we owed to the rites of Nature, as bound, not to be false to our selves, or to neglect the meanes of our owne preservation; the most despairefull things amongst men, being matters of no wonder nor moment with him, who is the rich Fountaine and admirable Essence of all mercy.

Our Governour, upon the tuesday morning (at what time, by such who had bin below in the hold, the Leake was first discovered) had caused the whole Company, about one hundred and forty, besides women, to be equally divided into three parts, and opening the Ship in three places (under the forecastle, in the waste, and hard by the Bitacke) appointed each man where to attend; and thereunto every man came duely upon his watch, tooke the Bucket, or Pumpe for one houre, and rested another. Then men might be seene to labour, I may well say, for life, and the better sort, even our Governour, and Admirall themselves, not refusing their turne, and to spell each the other, to give example to other. The common sort stripped naked, as men in Gallies, the easier both to hold out, and to shrink from under the salt water, which continually leapt in among them, kept their eyes waking, and their thoughts and hands working, with tyred bodies, and wasted spirits, three days and foure nights destitute of outward comfort, and desperate of any deliverance, testifying how mutually willing they were, yet by labour to keepe each other from drowning, albeit each one drowned whilst he laboured. . . .

During all this time, the heavens look'd so blacke upon us, that it was not possible the elevation of the Pole might be observed: nor a Starre by night, not Sunne beame by day was to be seen. Onely upon the thursday night Sir George Summers being upon the watch, had an

1 Bitacke: binnacle, the case that housed the compass
2 Thomas Gates (c.1560–1621), a founding member of the Virginia Company which in 1609 appointed him governor of its colony, where he served in 1610 and, after a return to England that year, resumed office in 1611–14
3 Sir George Somers (1554–1610), a founding member of the Virginia Company which in 1609 appointed him admiral of the expedition to Virginia. A month after his arrival at Jamestown in one of the vessels he helped to construct on Bermuda, he returned to the islands for food supplies and died there from — according to a contemporaneous report — excessive consumption of pig meat.
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apparition of a little round light, like a faint Starre, trembling, and streaming along with a sparkeling blaze, halfe the height upon the Maine Mast, and shooting sometimes from Shroud to Shroud, tempting to settle as it were upon any of the foure Shrouds: and for three or foure houres together, or rather more, halfe the night it kept with us, running sometimes along the Maine-yard to the very end, and then returning. At which, Sir George Summers called divers about him, and shewed them the same, who observed it with much wonder, and carefulnesse: but upon a sodaine, towards the morning watch, they lost the sight of it, and knew not what way it made. The superstitious Sea-men make many constructions of this Sea-fire, which nevertheless is usuall in stormes: the same (it may be) which the Graecians were wont in the Mediterranean to call Castor and Pollux, of which, if one onely appeared without the other, they tooke it for an evill signe of great tempest. The Italians, and such, who lye open to the Adriatique and Tyrrene Sea, call it (a sacred Body) Corpo sancto: the Spaniards call it Saint Elmo, and have an authentique and miraculous Legend for it. Be it what it will, we laid other foundations of safety or ruine, then in the rising or falling of it, could it have served us now miraculously to have taken our height by, it might have strucken amazement, and a reverence in our devotions, according to the due of a miracle. But it did not light us any whit the more to our knowne way, who ran now (as doe hoodwinked men) at all adventures, sometimes North, and North-east, then North and by West, and in an instant againe varying two or three points, and sometimes halfe the Compassé. East and by South we steered away as much as we could to beare upright, which was no small carefulnesse nor paine to doe, albeit we much unrigged our Ship, threw over-boord much luggage, many a Trunke and Chest (in which I suffered no meane losse) and staved many a Butt of Beere, Hogsheads of Oyle, Syder, Wine, and Vinegar, and heaved away all our Ordnance on the Starboord side, and had now purposed to have cut downe the Maine Mast, the more to lighten her, for we were much spent, and our men so weary, as their strengths together failed them, with their hearts, having travailed now from Tuesday till Friday morning, day and night, without either sleepe or foode; for the leakage taking up all the hold, wee could neither come by Beere nor fresh water; fire we could keepe none in the Cookeroome to dresse any meate, and carefulnesse, griefe, and our turne at the Pumpe or Bucket, were sufficient to hold sleepe from our eyes. . . .
And it being now Friday, the fourth morning, it wanted little, but that there had bin a generall determination, to have shut up hatches, and commending our sinfull soules to God, committed the Shippe to the mercy of the Sea: surely, that night we must have done it, and that night had we then perished: but see the goodnesse and sweet introduction of better hope, by our mercifull God given unto us. Sir George Summers, when no man dreamed of such happinesse, had discovered, and cried Land. Indeede the morning now three quarters spent, had wonne a little cleer enesse from the dayes before, and it being better surveyed, the very trees were seene to move with the winde upon the shoare side: whereupon our Governour commanded the Helme-man to beare up, the Boateswaine sounding at the first, found it thirteene fathome, & when we stood a little in seven fathom; and presently heaving his lead the third time, had ground at foure fathome, and by this, we had got her within a mile under the South-east point of the land, where we had somewhat smooth water. But having no hope to save her by comming to an anker in the same, we were inforced to runne her ashoare, as neere the land as we could, which brought us within three quarters of a mile of shoare, and by the mercy of God unto us, making out our Boates, we had ere night brought all our men, women, and children, about the number of one hundred and fifty, safe into the Hand.

We found it to be the dangerous and dreaded Iland, or rather Islands of the Bermuda: whereof let mee give your Ladyship a briefe description, before I proceed to my narration. And that the rather, because they be so terrible to all that ever touched on them, and such tempests, thunders, and other fearefull objects are seene and heard about them, that they be called commonly, The Devils Hands, and are feared and avoyded of all sea travellers alive, above any other place in the world. Yet it pleased our mercifull God, to make even this hideous and hated place, both the place of our safetie, and meanes of our deliverance.

And hereby also, I hope to deliver the world from a foule and general errour: it being counted of most, that they can be no habitation for Men, but rather given over to Devils and wicked Spirits; whereas indeed wee find them now by experience, to bee as habitable and commodious as most Countries of the same climate and situation: insomuch as if the entrance into them were as easie as the place it selfe is contenting, it had long ere this beene inhabited, as well as other Islands. . . .

It should seeme by the testimony of Gonzalus Ferdinandus Oviedus,
in his Booke intituled, *The Summary or Abridgement of his generall History of the West Indies*, written to the Emperor Charles the Fift, that they have beene indeed of greater compasse (and I easily beleve it) then they are now....

These Ilands are often afflicted and rent with tempests, great strokes of thunder, lightning and raine in the extreamity of violence: which (and it may well bee) hath so sundred and torne downe the Rockes, and whurried whole quarters of Ilands into the maine Sea (some sixe, some seven leagues, and is like in time to swallow them all) so as even in that distance from the shoare there is no small danger of them and with them, of the stormes continually raging from them, which once in the full and change commonly of every Moone (Winter or Summer) keepe their unchangeable round, and rather thunder then blow from every corner about them, sometimes fortie eight houres together....

The soile of the whole Iland is one and the same, the mould, dark, red, sandie, dry, and uncapable I beleve of any of our commodities or fruits. Sir *George Summers* in the beginning of August, squared out a Garden by the quarter, the quarter being set downe before a goodly Bay, upon which our Governour did first leape ashoare, and therefore called it (as aforesaid) *Gates his Bay*, which opened into the East, and into which the Sea did ebbe and flow, according to their tides, and sowed Muske Melons, Pease, Onyons, Raddish, Lettice, and many *English* seeds, and Kitchen Herbes. All which in some ten daies did appeare above ground, but whether by the small Birds, of which there be many kindes, or by Flies (Wormes I never saw any, nor any venomous thing, as Toade, or Snake, or any creeping beast hurtfull, onely some Spiders, which as many affirme are signes of great store of Gold: but they were long and slender legge Spiders, and whether venomous or no I know not; I beleve not, since wee should still find them amongst our linnen in our Chests, and drinking Cans; but we never received any danger from them: A kind of *Melontha*, or blacke Beetell there was, which bruised, gave a savour like many sweet and strong gums punned together) whether, I say, hindred by these, or by the condition or vice of the soyle they came to no proosfe, nor thrived. It is like enough that the commodities of the other Westerne Ilands would prosper there, as Vines, Lemmons, Oranges, and Sugar Canes: Our Governour made triall of the later, and buried some two or three in the Garden mould, which were reserved in the wracke amongst many which wee carried to
plant here in *Virginia*, and they beganne to grow, but the Hogs breaking in, both rooted them up and eate them: there is not through the whole Ilands, either Champion ground, Valleys, or fresh Rivers. They are full of Shawes of goodly Cedar, fairer then ours here of *Virginia*: the Berries, whereof our men seething, straining, and letting stand some three or foure daies, made a kind of pleasant drinke. . . .

Likewise there grow great store of Palme Trees, not the right Indian Palmes, such as in Saint John Port-Rico are called *Cocos*, and are there full of small fruites like Almonds (of the bignesse of the graines in Pomgranates) nor of those kind of Palmes which beares Dates, but a kind of Simerons\(^1\) or wild Palmes in growth, fashion, leaves, and branches, resembling those true Palmes: for the Tree is high, and straight, sappy and spongious, unfirme for any use, no branches but in the uppermost part thereof, and in the top grow leaves about the head of it (the most inmost part whereof they call *Palmeto*, and it is the heart and pith of the same Trunke, so white and thin, as it will peele off into pleates as smooth and delicate as white Sattin into twentie folds, in which a man may write as in paper) where they spread and fall downward about the Tree like an overblowne Rose, or Saffron flower not early gathered; so broad are the leaves, as an *Italian Umbrello*, a man may well defend his whole body under one of them, from the greatest storme raine that falls. For they being stiffe and smooth, as if so many flagges were knit together, the raine easily slideth off. . . .

Other kindes of high and sweet smelling Woods there bee, and divers colours, blacke, yellow, and red, and one which beares a round blew Berry, much eaten by our owne people, of a stiptick qualitie and rough taste on the tongue like a Slow to stay or binde the Fluxe, which the often eating of the luscious Palme berry would bring them into, for the nature of sweet things is to clense and dissolve. A kinde of Pease of the bignesse and shape of a *Katherine* Peare, wee found growing upon the Rockes full of many sharpe subtill prickes (as a Thistle) which wee therefore called, *The Prickle Peare*, the outside greene, but being opened, of a deepe murrie, full of juyce like a Mulberry, and just of the same substance and taste, wee both eate them raw and baked.

Sure it is, that there are no Rivers nor running Springs of fresh water to bee found upon any of them: when wee came first wee digged and

---

1 Simerons: cimarron, an American-Spanish word for wild, unruly
found certaine gushings and soft bublings, which being either in bottoms, or on the side of hanging ground, were onely fed with raine water, which nevertheless soone sinketh into the earth and vanisheth away, or emptieth it selfe out of sight into the Sea, without any channell above or upon the superficies of the earth: for according as their raines fell, we had our Wels and Pits (which we digged) either halfe full, or absolute exhausted and dry, howbeit some low bottoms (which the continuall descent from the Hills filled full, and in those flats could have no passage away) we found to continue as fishing Ponds, or standing Pooles, continually Summer and Winter full of fresh water.

The shoare and Bayes round about, when wee landed first afforded great store of fish, and that of divers kindes, and good, but it should seeme that our fiers, which wee maintained on the shoares side drave them from us, so as wee were in some want, untill wee had made a flat bottome Gundall of Cedar with which wee put off farther into the Sea, and then daily hooked great store of many kindes, as excellent Angell-fish, Salmon Peale, Bonetas, Stingray, Cabally, Scnappers, Hogge-fish, Sharkes, Dogge-fish, Pilcherds, Mullets, and Rock-fish, of which bee divers kindes: and of these our Governour dryed and salted. . . .

Wee have taken also from under the broken Rockes, Crevises oftentimes greater then any of our best English Lobsters; and likewise abundance of Crabbes, Oysters, and Wilkes. True it is, for Fish in everie Cove and Creeke wee found Snaules, and Skulles in that abundance, as (I thinke) no Iland in the world may have greater store or better Fish. For they sucking of the very water, which descendeth from the high Hills mingled with juyce and verdor of the Palmes, Cedars, and other sweet Woods (which likewise make the Herbes, Roots, and Weeds sweet which grow about the Bankes) become thereby both fat and wholsome. As must those Fish needes bee grosse, slimy, and corrupt the bloud, which feed in Fennes, Marishes, Ditches, muddy Pooles, and neere unto places where much filth is daily cast forth. . . .

Fowle there is great store, small Birds, Sparrowes fat and plumpe like a Bunting, bigger then ours, Robbins of divers colours greene and yellow, ordinary and familiar in our Cabbins, and other of lesse sort . . . and Battes in great store. And upon New-yeeres day in the morning, our Governour being walked forth with another Gentleman Master James Swift, each of them with their Peeces killed a wild Swanne, in a great Sea-water Bay or Pond in our Iland. A kinde of webbe-footed
Fowle there is, of the bignesse of an *English* greene Plover, or Sea-Meawe, which all the Summer wee saw not, and in the darkest nights of November and December (for in the night they onely feed) they would come forth, but not flye farre from home, and hovering in the ayre, and over the Sea, made a strange hollow and harsh howling... which Birds with a light bough in a darke night (as in our Lowbelling) wee caught. I have beene at the taking of three hundred in an houre, and wee might have laden our Boates. Our men found a prettie way to take them, which was by standing on the Rockes or Sands by the Sea side, and hollowing, laughing, and making the strangest out-cry that possibly they could: with the noyse whereof the Birds would come flocking to that place, and settle upon the very armes and head of him that so cryed, and still creepe neerer and neerer, answering the noyse themselves: by which our men would weigh them with their hand, and which weighed heaviest they tooke for the best and let the others alone, and so our men would take twentie dozen in two houres of the chiefest of them; and they were a good and well relished Fowle, fat and full as a Partridge....

[O]ur people would goe a hunting with our Ship Dogge, and sometimes bring home thirtie, sometimes fiftie Boares, Sowes, and Pigs in a weeke alive: for the Dog would fasten on them and hold, whilst the Hunts-men made in: and there bee thousands of them in the Ilands, and at that time of the yeere, in August, September, October, and November, they were well fed with Berries that dropped from the Cedars and the Palmes, and in our quarter wee made styes for them, and gathering of these Berries served them twice aday, by which meanes we kept them in good plight: and when there was any fret of weather (for upon every increase of wind the billow would be so great, as it was no putting out with our Gundall or Canow) that we could not fish nor take Tortoyses, then wee killed our Hogs. But in February when the Palme Berries began to be scant or dry, and the Cedar Berries failed two moneths sooner. True it is the Hogs grew poore, and being taken so, wee could not raise them to be better, for besides those Berries, we had nothing wherewith to franke them: but even then the Tortoyses came in againe, of which wee daily both turned up great store, finding them on Land, as also sculling after them in our Boate strooke them with an Iron goad, and sod, baked, and roasted them. The Tortoys is reasonable toothsom (some say) wholsome meate. I am sure our Company
liked the meate of them verie well, and one Tortoyse would goe further amongst them, then three Hogs. One Turtle (for so we called them) feasted well a dozen Messes, appointing sixe to every Messe. It is such a kind of meat, as a man can neither absolutely call Fish nor Flesh, keeping most what in the water, and feeding upon Sea-grasse like a Heifer, in the bottome of the Coves and Bayes, and laying their Egges (of which wee should finde five hundred at a time in the opening of a shee Turtle) in the Sand by the shoare side, and so covering them close leave them to the hatching of the Sunne. . . .

II

*Actions and Occurrents whiles they continued in the Ilands: Ravens sent for Virginia; Divers mutinies; [HENRY] PAINE executed: Two Pinnaces built.*

... And sure it was happy for us, who had now runne this fortune, and were fallen into the bottome of this misery, that we both had our Governour with us, and one so solicitous and carefull, whose both example (as I said) and authority, could lay shame, and command upon our people: else, I am perswaded, we had most of us finished our dayes there, so willing were the major part of the common sort (especially when they found such a plenty of victuals) to settle a foundation of ever inhabiting there; as well appeared by many practises of theirs (and perhaps of some of the better sort) Loe, what are our affections and passions, if not rightly squared? how irreligious, and irregular they expresse us? not perhaps so ill as we would be, but yet as wee are; some dangerous and secret discontents nourished amongst us, had like to have bin the parents of bloudy issues and mischiefes; they began first in the Sea-men, who in time had fastened unto them (by false baits) many of our land-men likewise, and some of whom (for opinion of their Religion) was carried an extraordinary and good respect. The Angles wherewith chiefly they thus hooked in these disquieted Pooles, were, how that in Virginia, nothing but wretchednesse and labour must be expected, with many wants, and a churlish intreaty, there being neither that Fish, Flesh, nor Fowle, which here (without wasting on the one part, or watching on theirs, or any threatning, and are of authority) at ease, and pleasure might be injoyed: and since both in the one, and the other place, they were (for the time) to loose the fruition both of their friends and Countrey, as good, and better were it for them, to repose and seate them where they
should have the least outward wants the while. This, thus preached, and published each to other, though by such who never had bin more onward towards Virginia, then (before this Voyage) a Sculler could happily rowe him (and what hath a more adamantive power to draw unto it the consent and attraction of the idle, untoward, and wretched number of the many, then liberty, and fulnesse of sensuality?) begat such a murmur, and such a discontent, and disunion of hearts and hands from this labour, and forwarding the means of redeeming us from hence, as each one wrought with his Mate how to divorce him from the same.

And first (and it was the first of September) a conspiracy was discovered, of which six were found principals, who had promised each unto the other, not to set their hands to any travaile or endeavour which might expedite or forward this Pinnace: and each of these had severally (according to appointment) sought his opportunity to draw the Smith, and one of our Carpenters, Nicholas Bennit, who made much profession of Scripture, a mutinous and dissembling Imposter; the Captaine, and one of the chiefe perswaders of others, who afterwards brake from the society of the Colony, and like outlaws retired into the Woods, to make a settlement and habitation there, on their party, with whom they purposed to leave our Quarter, and possesse another Iland by themselves: but this happily found out, they were condemned to the same punishment which they would have chosen (but without Smith or Carpenter) and to an Iland farre by it selfe, they were carried, and there left....

But soone they missed comfort (who were farre removed from our store) besides, the society of their acquaintance had wrought in some of them, if not a loathsomenesse of their offence, yet a sorrow that their complement was not more full, and therefore a weariness of their being thus untimely prescribed; insomuch, as many humble petitions were sent unto our Governor, fraught full of their seeming sorrow and repentance, and earnest vowes to redeeme the former trespass, with example of dutieies in them all, to the common cause, and generall businesse; upon which our Governour (not easie to admit any accusation, and hard to remit an offence, but at all times sorry in the punishment of him, in whom may appeare either shame or contrition) was easily content to reacknowledge them againe....

In these dangers and divellish disquiets (whilst the almighty God wrought for us, and sent us miraculously delivered from the calamities of the Sea, all blessings upon the shoare, to content and binde us to
gratefulnesse) thus inraged amongst our selves, to the destruction each of other, into what a mischiefe and misery had wee bin given up, had wee not had a Governour with his authority, to have suppressed the same? Yet was there a worse practise, faction, and conjuration a foote, deadly and bloudy, in which the life of our Governour, with many others were threatned, and could not but miscarry in his fall. But such is ever the will of God (who in the execution of his judgements, breaketh the firebrands upon the head of him, who first kindleth them) there were, who conceived that our Governour indeede neither durst, nor had authority to put in execution, or passe the act of Justice upon any one, how treacherous or impious so ever; their owne opinions so much deceiving them for the unlawfulness of any act, which they would execute: daring to justifie among themselves, that if they should be apprehended, before the performance, they should happily suffer as Martyrs. They persevered therefore not onely to draw unto them such a number, and associates as they could worke in, to the abandoning of our Governour, and to the inhabiting of this Iland. They had now purposed to have made a surprise of the Store-house, and to have forced from thence, what was therein either of Meale, Cloath, Cables, Armes, Sailes, Oares, or what else it pleased God that we had recovered from the wracke, and was to serve our generall necessity and use, either for the reliefe of us, while wee staied here, or for the carrying of us from this place againe, when our Pinnace should have bin furnished.

But as all giddy and lawlesse attempts, have alwayes something of imperfection, and that as well by the property of the action, which holdeth of disobedience and rebellion (both full of feare) as through the ignorance of the devisers themselves; so in this (besides those defects) there were some of the association, who not strong inough fortified in their owne conceits, brake from the plot it selfe, and (before the time was ripe for the execution thereof) discovered the whole order, and every Agent, and Actor thereof, who nevertheless were not suddenly apprehended, by reason the confederates were divided and seperated in place, some with us, and the chiefe with Sir George Summers in his Iland (and indeede all his whole company) but good watch passed upon them, every man from thenceforth commanded to weare his weapon, without which before, we freely walked from quarter to quarter, and conversed among our selves, and every man advised to stand upon his guard, his owne life not being in safety, whilst his next neighbour was not to be
trusted. The Centinels, and nightwarders doubled, the passages of both the quarters were carefully observed, by which means nothing was further attempted, till a Gentleman amongst them, one Henry Paine, the thirteenth of March, full of mischief, and every hour preparing something or other, stealing Swords, Adises, Axes, Hatchets, Sawes, Augers, Planes, Mallets, &c. to make good his own bad end, his watch night coming about, and being called by the Captaine of the same, to be upon the guard, did not only give his said Commander evil language, but strucke at him, doubled his blowes, and when he was not suffered to close with him, went off the Guard, scoffing at the double diligence and attendance of the Watch, appointed by the Governour for much purpose, as he said: upon which, the Watch telling him, if the Governour should understand of this his insolency, it might turne him to much blame, and happily be as much as his life were worth. The said Paine replied with a settled and bitter violence, and in such unreverent tearmes, as I should offend the modest ear too much to expresse it in his owne phrase; but the contents were, how that the Governour had no authoritie of that qualitie, to justifie upon any one (how meane soever in the Colonie) an action of that nature, and therefore let the Governour (said hee) kisse, &c. Which words, being with the omitted additions, brought the next day unto every common and publique discourse, at length they were delivered over to the Governour, who examining well the fact (the transgression so much the more exemplary and odious, as being in a dangerous time, in a Confederate, and the success of the same wishedly listened after, with a doubtfull conceit, what might be the issue of so notorious a boldnesse and impudency) calling the said Paine before him, and the whole Company, where (being soone convinced both by the witnesse, of the Commander, and many which were upon the watch with him) our Governour, who had now the eyes of the whole Colony fixed upon him, condemned him to be instantly hanged; and the ladder being ready, after he had made many confessions, hee earnestly desired, being a Gentleman, that hee might be shot to death, and towards the evening he had his desire, the Sunne and his life setting together.

III

Their departure from Bermuda and arrivall in Virginia: miseries there, departure and returne upon the Lord Lawarres arriving. James Towne described.
... Unto such calamity can sloath, riot, and vanity, bring the most settled and plentifull estate. Indeede (right noble Lady) no story can remember unto us, more woes and anguishes, then these people, thus governed, have both suffered and puld upon their owne heads. And yet true it is, some of them, whose voyces and command might not be heard, may easily be absolved from the guilt hereof, as standing untouched, and upright in their innocencies; whilst the privie factionaries shall never find time nor darknesse, to wipe away or cover their ignoble and irreligious practises, who, it may be, lay all the discredits, and imputations the while upon the Countrie. But under pardon, let me speake freely to them: let them remember that if riot and sloth should both meet in any one of their best Families, in a Countrie most stored with abundance and plentie in England, continuall wasting, no Husbandry, the old store still spent on, no order for new provisions, what better could befall unto the Inhabitants, Land-lords, and Tenants of that corner, then necessarily following cleannesse of teeth, famine and death? Is it not the sentence and doome of the Wiseman? Yet a little sleepe, a little slumber, and a little folding of the hands to sleepe: so thy poverty commeth, as one that travelleth by the way, and thy necessitie like an armed man.¹ And with this Idlenesse, when some thing was in store, all wastfull courses exercised to the heigth, and the headlesse multitude, (some neither of qualitie nor Religion) not imployed to the end for which they were sent hither, no not compelled (since in themselves unwilling) to sowe Corne for their owne bellies, nor to put a Roote, Herbe, &c. for their owne particular good in their Gardens or elsewhere: I say in this neglect and sensuall Surfet, all things suffered to runne on, to lie sick and languish; must it be expected, that health, plentie, and all the goodnesse of a well ordered State, of necessitie for all this to flow in this Countrey? You have a right and noble heart (worthy Lady) bee judge of the truth herein. Then suffer it not bee concluded unto you, nor beleevve, I beseech you, that the wants and wretchednesse which they have indured, ascend out of the povertie and vilenesse of the Countrey, whether bee respected the Land or Rivers: the one, and the other, having not only promised, but powred enough in their veines, to convince them in such calumnies, and to quit those common calamities, which (as the shadow accompanies the body) the precedent neglects touched at, if truely followed, and wrought upon. . . .

¹ marginal note: Proverbs 6
Appendix 1: Montaigne Of the Caniballes

2 Montaigne, ‘Of the Caniballes’

Michel Eyquem, seigneur de Montaigne¹ (1533–92), the renowned French essayist and sometime mayor of Bordeaux, published the first volume of his collected *Essais* in 1580; expanded editions followed in 1588 and 1595. The essay on can­ nibals was written in 1578–80 and is based in large part on infor­ mation that Montaigne gleaned from one of his servants, who had spent several years among the Tupinambas, and to a much lesser extent on his own conversation, imperfectly translated, with a Brazilian Indian at Rouen in 1562. In 1603, *The Essayes* were published in London, ‘done into English By… John Florio’ (1545–1625), the Oxford-educated son of an Italian immigrant, and later tutor to Prince Henry. The following selection is taken from chapter 30 (31 in later editions) of Florio’s translation, pp. 100–7.

*Of the Caniballes*

... I finde (as farre as I have beene informed) there is nothing in that nation [Brazil], that is either barbarous or savage, unlesse men call that barbarisme, which is not common to them. As indeede, we have no other ayme of truth and reason, then the example and *Idea* of the opin­ ions and customs of the countrie we live in. Where is ever perfect reli­ gion, perfect policie, perfect and compleate use of all things. They are even savage, as we call those fruités wilde, which nature of hir selfe, and of hir ordinarie progresse hath produced: whereas indeede, they are those which our selves have altered by our artificiall devises, and diverted from their common order, we should rather terme savage. In those are the true and most profitable vertues, and naturall proprieties most livelie and vigorous, which in these we have bastardized, applying them to the pleasure of our corrupted taste. And if notwithstanding, in divers fruités of those countries that were never tilled, we shall finde, that in respect of ours they are most excellent, and as delicate unto our

taste; there is no reason, arte should gaine the point of honour of our great and puissant mother Nature. We have so much by our inventions, surcharged the beauties and riches of hir workes, that we have altogether over-choaked hir: yet where ever hir puritie shineth, she makes our vaine, and frivolus enterprises wonderfully ashamed.

_Et veniunt hederae sponte sua melius,_
_Surgit & in solis formosior arbutus antris,_
_Et volucres nulla dulcius arte canunt._

Ivies spring better of their owne accord,
Un-hanted plots much fairer trees afford,
Birdes by no arte much sweeter notes record.

Al our endevours or wit, cannot so much as reach to represent the neast of the least birdlet, it’s contexture, beautie, profit and use, no nor the webbe of a seelie spider. _All things (saith Plato) are produced, either by nature, by fortune, or by arte. The greatest and fairest by one or other of the two first, the least and imperfect by the last._ Those nations seeme therefore so barbarous unto mee, because they have received very little fashion from humane wit, and are yet neere their originall naturalitie. The lawes of nature do yet commaund them, which are but little bastardized by ours. And that with such puritie, as I am sometimes grieved the knowleage of it came no sooner to light, at what time ther were men, that better than we could have judged of it. I am sorie, _Licurgus_ and _Plato_ had it not: for meseemeth that what in those nations wee see by experience, doth not onelie exceede all the pictures wherewith licentious Poesie hath prouldly imbellished the golden age, & al hir quaint inventions to faine a happy condition of man, but also the conception & desire of Philosophie. They could not imagine a genuitie so pure and simple, as we see it by experience; nor ever beleve our societie might be maintained with so little arte and humane combination. It is a nation, would I answere _Plato_, that hath no kinde of traffike, no knowledge of Letters, no intelligence of numbers, no name of magistrate, nor of politike supe-rioritie; no use of service, of riches, or of poverty; no contracts, no successions, no dividences, no occupation but idle; no respect of kinred,

---

1 Elegies, 1.2 from Roman poet Sextus Propertius (c. 50 BC–c. 16 BC).
2 Plato, _Laws_, 2
3 genuity: simplicity
4 Subsequent editions read ‘partitions’.
but common, no apparrrell but naturall, no manuring of lands, no use of wine, corne, or mettle. The very words that import lying, falshood, treason, dissimulation, covetousnes, envie, detraction, and pardon, were never heard of amongst them. How dissonant would hee finde his imaginary common-wealth from this perfection?

\[ Hos natura modos primùm dedit. \]

Nature at first uprise,
These manners did devise.

Furthermore, they live in a country of so exceeding pleasant and temperate situation, that as my testimonies have tolde me, it is very rare to see a sicke body amongst them; and they have further assured me, they never saw any man there, either shaking with the palsie, toothlesse, with eyes dropping, or crooked and stooping through age. They are seated alongst the sea-coast, encompassed toward the land with huge and steepie mountaines, having betweene both, a hundred leagues or there abouts of open and champainé ground. They have great abundance of fish and flesh, that have no resemblance at all with ours, and eate them without any sawces, or skill of Cookerie, but plaine boiled or broyled. The first man that brought a horse thither, although he had in many other voyages conversed with them, bred so great a horror in the land, that before they could take notice of him, they slew him with arrowes. Their buildings are very long, and able to containe two or three hundred soules, covered with barkes of great trees, fastned in the ground at one end, enterlaced and joyned close together by the toppes, after the manner of some of our Granges; the covering wherof hangs downe to the ground, and steadeth them as a flanke. They have a kinde of wood so hard, that ryving and cleaving the same, they make blades, swords, and grid-yrons to broile their meate with. Their beddes are of a kind of cotten cloth, fastened to the house-roofe, as our shippe-cab-banes: every one hath his severall cowch; for the women lie from their husbands. They rise with the Sunne, and feede for all day, as soone as they are up: and make no meales after that. They drinke not at meat, as Suidas reporteth of some other people of the East, which dranke after

1 from Virgil, \textit{Georgics}, 2
2 champaine (champaign): flat, broad countryside
3 Suidas, tenth-century AD Greek encyclopedist
meales, but drinke manie times a day, and are much given to pledge carowses.\(^1\) Their drinke is made of a certaine roote, and of the colour of our Claret wines, which lasteth but two or three dayes; they drinke it warme: It hath somewhat a sharp taste, wholsome for the stomake, nothing headie, but laxative for such as are not used unto it, yet verie pleasing to such as are accustomed unto it. Instead of bread, they use a certain white composition, like unto Corianders confected. I have eaten some, the taste whereof is somewhat sweete and wallowish.\(^2\) They spend the whole day in dancing. Their yong men goe a hunting after wilde beastes with bowes and arrowes. Their women busie themselves therewhilst with warming of their drinke, which is their chiefest office. Some of their old men, in the morning before they goe to eating, preach in common to all the householde, walking from one end of the house to the other, repeating one selfe-same sentence many times, till he have ended his turne (for their buildings are a hundred paces in length) hee commends but two things unto his auditorie; *First, valour against their enemies, then lovingnesse unto their wives.* They never misse (for their restraint) to put men in minde of this duetie, that it is their wives which keepe their drincke luke-warme and well-seasoned. The forme of their beddes, cordes, swordes, blades, and woorden bracelets, wherewith they cover their hand-wrists when they fight, and great Canes open at one end, by the sound of which they keepe time and cadence in their dauncing, are in many places to be seene, and namely in mine own house. They are shaven all-over, much more close and cleaner than wee are, with no other Razers than of wood or stone. They beleive their soules to be eternall, and those that have deserved well of their Gods, to be placed in that part of heaven where the Sunne riseth; and the cursed toward the West in opposition. They have certaine Prophets and Priestes, which commonly abide in the mountaines, & very seldom shew them-selves unto the people; but when they come downe, there is a great feast prepared, and a solemn assembly of manie townships together (each Grange as I have described maketh a village, and they are about a French league one from an other.) The Prophet speakes to the people in publike, exhorting them to embrace vertue, and follow their duetie. All their morall discipline containeth but these two articles; first

---

\(^1\) **pledge carowses (carouses):** indulge in excessive toasts or drinking parties

\(^2\) **wallowish:** tasteless
an undismayed resolution to warre, then an inviolable affection to their
wives. Hee dooth also prognosticate of things to come, and what suc-
cesse they shall hope for in their enterprises: hee either persuadeth or
disswadeth them from warre; but if hee chance to misse of his divina-
tion, and that it succeede other-wise than hee fore-tolde them, if hee be
taken, hee is hewen in a thousand peeces, and condemned for a false
prophet. And therefore he that hath once mis-reckoned him selfe is
never seene againe. Divination is the gift of God; the abusing wherof
should be a punishable imposture. When the Divines amongst the
Scithians had foretold an untruth, they were couched along upon hur-
dles full of heath or brush-wood, and so maniced hand and foote,
burned to death. Those which manage matters subject to the conduct
of mans sufficiencie, are excusable, although they shew the utmost of
their skill. But those that gull and coni-catch\(^1\) us with the assurance of
an extraordinarie facultie, and which is beyond our knowledge, ought
to be double punished; first because they performe not the effect of their
promise, then for the rashnes of their imposture and unadvisednes of
their fraude. They warre against the nations, that lye beyond their
mountaines, to which they goe naked, having no other weapons, then
bowes, or woodden swords, sharpe at one ende, as our broaches are. It
is an admirable thing to see the constant resolution of their combates,
which never ende but by effusion of bloud & murther: for they know
not what feare or rowts are. Every Victor brings home the head of the
enimie he hath slaine as a Trophey of his victorie, and fastneth the same
at the entrance of his dwelling-place. After they have long time used
and entreated their prisoners well, and with all commodities they can
devise, hee that is the Maister of them, summoning a great assembly of
his acquaintance; tieth a corde to one of the prisoners armes, by the end
whereof hee holds him fast, with some distance from him, for feare he
might offend him, and giveth the other arme, bound in like maner, to
the dearest friend he hath, and both in the presence of all the assemblie
kill him with swordes: which doone, they roste, and then eate him in
common, and send some slices of him to such of their friendes as are
absent. It is not as some imagine, to nourish themselves with it, (as
anciently the Scithians wont to do,) but to represent an extreame, and
inexpiable revenge. Which we prove thus; some of them perceiving the

\(^1\) coni-catch (conycatch): to dupe or swindle
Portugales, who had confederated themselves with their adversaries, to use another kinde of death, when they tooke them prisoners; which was, to burie them up to the middle, and against the upper part of the body to shoote arrowes, and then being almost dead, to hang them up; they supposed, that these people of the other world (as they who had sowed the knowledge of many vices amongst their neighbours, and were much more cunning in all kindes of evilles and mischiefe then they) undertooke not this maner of revenge without cause, and that consequently it was more smartfull, and cruell then theirs, and thereupon began to leave their olde fashion to folio we this. I am not sory we note the barbarous horror of such an action, but grieved, that prying so narrowly into their faults, we are so blinded in ours. I think there is more barbarisme in eating men alive, than to feede upon them being dead; to mangle by tortures and torments a body full of lively sense, to roast him in pieces, to make dogges and swine to gnaue and teare him in mameckes (as we have not onely read, but seene very lately, yea and in our owne memorie, not amongst ancient enemies, but our neighbours and fellow-citizens; and which is worse, under pretence of piety and religio) then to roast and teare him after he is dead. Chrysippus and Zeno, Arch-pillers of the Stoicke sect, have supposed that it was no hurt at all, in time of neede, and to what end soever, to make use of our carrion bodies, and to feede upon them, as did our forefathers, who being besieged by Caesar in the Cittie of Alexia, resolved to sustaine the famine of the siege, with the bodies of old men, women, and other persons unserviceable & unfit to fight.

Vascones (fama est) alimentis talibus usi
Prodixere animas.\(^1\)

Gascoynes (as fame reportes)
Liv’d with meates of such sortes.

And Phisitians feare not, in all kindes of compositions availefull to our health, to make use of it, be it for outward or inward applications: But there was never any opinion found so unnaturall and immodest, that would excuse treason, treachery, disloyalty, tyrannie, crueltie, and such like, which are our ordinary faults. We may then well call them barbarous, in regarde of reasons rules, but not in respect of us that

---

\(^1\) from Decimus Junius Juvenalis (Juvenal), first/second-century AD Roman poet, *Satires*, 15
exceede them in all kinde of barbarisme. Their warres are noble and
generous, and have as much excuse and beautie, as this humane infirmitie may admit: they ayme at nought so much, and have no other foundation amongst them, but the meere jealosie of vertue. They contend not for the gaining of new landes; for to this day they yet enjoy that naturall ubertie\textsuperscript{1} and fruitefulnesse, which without labouring-toyle, doth in such plenteous abundance furnish them with all necessary things, that they neede not enlarge their limites. They are yet in that happy estate, as they desire no more, then what their naturall necessities direct them: whatsoever is beyond it, is to them superfluous. Those that are much about one age, doe generally enter-call one another brethren, and such as are yonger, they call children, and the aged are esteemed as fathers to all the rest. These leave this full possession of goods in common, and without individuitie\textsuperscript{2} to their heires, without other claime or title, but that which nature doth plainely imparte unto all creatures, even as she brings them into the world. If their neighbours chance to come over the mountaines to assaile or invade them, and that they get the victory over them, the Victors conquest is glorie, and the advantage to be and remaime superiour in valour and vertue: else have they nothing to doe with the goods and spoyles of the vanquished, and so returne into their countrie, where they neither want any necessary thing, nor lacke this great portion, to know how to enjoy their condition happily, and are contented with what nature affordeth them. So doe these when their turne commeth. They require no other ransome of their prisoners, but an acknowledgement and confession that they are vanquished. And in a whole age, a man shall not finde one, that doth not rather embrace death, then either by word or countenance remissely to yeeld one jot of an invincible courage. There is none seene that would not rather be slaine and devoured, then sue for life, or shew any feare: They use their prisoners with all libertie, that they may so much the more holde their lives deare and precious, and commonly entertaine them with threates of future death, with the torments they shall endure, with the preparations intended for that purpose, with mangling and slicing of their members, and with the feast that shall be kept at their charge. All which is done, to wrest some remisse, and exact some faint-yielding speech of submission from them, or to possesse them with a

\textsuperscript{1} ubertie (uberty): fertility, abundance

\textsuperscript{2} individuitie: subsequent editions read ‘divisions’.
desire to escape or run away; that so they may have the advantage to have danted and made them afraid, and to have forced their constance. For certainly true victory consisteth in that onely point.

- *Victoria nulla est*  
  *Quàm quae confessos animo quoque subjugat hostes.*

No conquest such, as to suppress  
Foes hearts, the conquest to confess.

The Hungarians, a most warre-like nation, were whilome wont to pursue their prey no longer then they had forced their enemie to yield unto their mercie. For, having wrested this confession from him, they set him at libertie without offence or ransom, except it were to make him swear, never after to beare armes against them. Wee get many advantages of our enemies, that are but borrowed and not ours: It is the qualitie of a porterly rascal, and not of vertue, to have stronger armes, and sturdier legs: Disposition is a dead and corporall qualitie. It is a tricke of fortune to make our enemie stoope, and to bleare his eyes with the Sunnes-light: It is a pranke of skill and knowledge to be cunning in the arte of fencing, and which may happen unto a base and worthless man. The reputation and worth of a man consisteth in his heart and will: therein consists true honour: Constancie is valour, not of armes and legs, but of minde and courage: it consisteth not in the spirit and courage of our horse, nor of our armes, but in ours. Hee that obstinately faileth in his courage, *Si succiderit, de genu pugnat, If he slip or fall, he fights upon his knee.*

He that in danger of iminent death, is no whit danted in his assurednesse; he that in yeelding up his ghost beholdeth his enemie with a scornfull and fierce looke, he is vanquished, not by us, but by fortune: he is slaine, but not conquered. The most valiant, are often the most unfortunate. So are there triumphant losses in envie of victories. Not those foure sister-victories, the fairest that ever the Sunne beheld with his all-seeing eye, of *Salamine, of Platea, of Mycale,* and of *Sicilia,* durst ever dare to oppose all their glorie together, to the

---

1 from the Latin poet Claudius Claudianus (Claudian), c. 370–c. 404), quoted from Justus Lipsius, *Politics,* 5  
2 from Seneca, *De Providentia,* 2  
3 Salamis, Platea, Micale, and Sicilia, sites of Greek victories in the Persian wars of the fifth century B.C. For these and other episodes referred to by Montaigne in the following lines, see Herodotus, *Histories,* 4.59
glory of the King *Leonidas* his discomfiture and of his men, at the passage of *Thermopyles*: what man did ever runne with so glorious an envie, or more ambitious desire to the goale of a combat, than Captaine *Ischolas* to an evident losse and overthrow? who so ingeniously or more politikely did ever assure him-selfe of his wel-fare, than he of his ruine? He was appointed to defend a certaine passage of *Peloponensus* against the *Arcadians*, which finding himselfe altogether unable to performe, seeing the nature of the place, and inequalitie of the forces, and resolving, that whatsoever should present it selfe unto his enemie, must necessarily be utterly defeated: On the other side, deeming it unworthy both his vertue and magnanimitie, and the Lacedemonian name, to faile or faint in his charge, betwenee these two extremeties he resolved upon a meane and indifferent course, which was this. The yongest and best disposed of his troupe, he reserved for the service and defence of their countrie, to which hee sent them backe; and with those whose losse was least, and who might best be spared, hee determined to maintaine that passage, and by their death to force the enemie, to purchase the entrance of it as deare as possibly he could; as indeede it followed. For being sodainely environed round by the Arcadians: After a great slaughter made of them, both himselfe and all his were put to the sword. Is any Trophey assigned for conquerours, that is not more duly due unto these conquered? A true conquest respecteth rather an undanted resolution, and honourable end, then a faire escape, and the honour of vertue doth more consist in combating then in beating. But to returne to our History, these prisoners, howsoever they are dealt withall, are so farre from yeelding, that contrariwise during two or three moneths that they are kept, they ever carry a cheerefull countenance, and urge their keepers to hasten their triall, they outragiously defie, and injure them. They upbray them with their cowardlinesse, and with the numbers of battels, they have lost against theirs. I have a song made by a prisoner, wherein is this clause, Let them boldly come altogether, and flocke in multitudes, to feede on him; for, with him they shall feede upon their fathers, and grandfathers, that heretofore have served his body for foode and nourishment: These muscles, (saith he) this flesh, and these veines, are your owne; fond men as you are, know you not that the substance of your forefathers limbes is yet tied unto ours? Taste them well, for in them shall you finde the rellish of your owne flesh: An invention, that hath no shew of barbarisme. Those that paint them dying, and that
represent this action, when they are put to execution, delineate the prisoners spitting in their executioners faces, and making mowes at them. Verily, so long as breath is in their bodie, they never cease to brave and defy them, both in speech and countenance. Surely, in respect of us these are very savage men: for either they must be so in good sooth, or we must bee so indeede: There is a wondrous distance betweene their forme and ours. Their men have many wives, and by how much more they are reputed valiant, so much the greater is their number. The maner and beautie in their marriages is woondrous strange and remarkable: For, the same jealosie our wives have to keepe us from the love and affection of other women, the same have theirs to procure it. Being more carefull for their husbands honour and content, then of any thing else: They endeavour and apply all their industry, to have as many rivalls as possibly, they can, forasmuch as it is a testimonie of their husbands vertue. Our women would count it a wonder, but it is not so: It is a vertue properly Matrimoniall; but of the highest kinde. And in the Bible, Lea, Rachel, Sara, and Jacobs wives, brought their fairest maiden-servants unto their husbands beds. And Livia seconded the lustfull appetites of Augustus to her great prejudice. And Stratonica the wife of King Dejotarus did not onely bring a most beauteous chambermaide, that served her to her husbands bed, but very carefully brought up the children he begot on her, and by all possible meanes ayded and furthered them to succeede in their fathers royaltie. And least a man should thinke, that all this is done by a simple, and servile, or awefull dutie unto their custome, and by the impression of their ancient customes authoritie, without discourse or judgement, and because they are so blockish, and dull-spirited, that they can take no other resolution, it is not amisse, wee alleadge some evidence of their sufficiencie. Besides what I have saide of one of their warlike songs, I have another amorous canzonet, which beginneth in this sence: Adder stay, stay good adder, that my sister may by the paternge of thy partie-coloured coate drawe the fashion and worke of a rich lace, for me to give unto my love; so may thy beautie, thy nimblenesse or disposition be ever preferred before al other serpents. This first couplet is the burthen of the song. I am so conversant with Poésie, that I may judge, this invention hath no barbarisme at all in it, but is altogether Anacreontike.¹ Their language is a kinde of pleasant speech,

¹ Anacreontike (Anachreontic): like the lyric poetry of the sixth-century BC Greek, Anacreon
and hath a pleasing sound, and some affinitie with the Greeke terminations. Three of that nation, ignoring how deare the knowledge of our corruptions will one day cost their repose, securitie, and happinesse, and how their ruine shall proeede from this commerce, which I imagine is already well advanced, (miserable as they are to have suffered themselves to be so cosoned by a desire of new-fangled novelties, and to have quit the calmenesse of their climate, to come and see ours) were at Roane in the time of our late King Charles the ninth, who talked with them a great while. They were shewed our fashions, our pompe, and the forme of a faire Cittie; afterward some demanded their advise, and would needes knowe of them what things of note and admirable they had observed amongst us: they answered three things, the last of which I have forgotten, and am very sorie for it, the other two I yet remember. 

They saide, First, they found it very strange, that so many tall men with long beardes, strong and well armed, as were about the Kings person (it is very likely they meant the Swizzers of his guarde) would submit themselves to obey a beardlesse childe, and that we did not rather chuse one amongst them to commaund the rest. Secondly (they have a maner of phrase whereby they call men but a moytie of men from others). They had perceived, there were men amongst us full gorged with all sortes of commodities, and others which hunger-starven, and bare with neede and povertie, begged at their gates: and found it strange, these moyties so needie could endure such an injustice, and that they tooke not the others by the throte, or set fire on their houses. I talked a good while with one of them, but I had so bad an interpreter: and who did so ill apprehend my meaning, and who through his foolishnesse was so troubled to conceive my imaginations, that I could drawe no great matter from him. Touching that point, wherein I demaunded of him, what good he received by the superioritie hee had amongst his countriemen (for he was a Captaine and our Marriners called him King) he told me, it was to march formost in any charge of warre: further, I asked him, how many men did follow him; he shewed me a distance of place, to signifie they were as many as might be contained in so much ground, which I guessed to be about 4. or 5. thousand men: moreover I demanded, if when warres were ended, all his authoritie expired? he answered, that hee had onely this left him,

1 moiety: half; Montaigne seems to be saying that the Indians believe that men are half of each other, though he may refer to the widespread American native custom of dividing nations or tribes into halves for a variety of structural and social functions.
which was, that when he went on progresse, and visited the villages depending of him, the inhabitants prepared paths and high-ways athwart the hedges of their woodes, for him to passe through at ease. All that is not very ill; but what of that? They weare no kinde of breeches or hosen.
Shakespeare’s dramas, like those of any playwright, are frequently adapted — rewritten or performed in ways that veer, sometimes sharply, from the original text but are similar enough to retain a clear identification with it. Appropriations, by contrast, borrow characters (usually) or themes or specific language from a well-known play for philosophical, political or social purposes which may have no relation to the drama itself apart from the widespread recognition of the borrowed symbol — Hamlet as indecisive, for example, or Lady Macbeth as manipulative. The Tempest, as we argue in the Introduction, has been uncommonly susceptible to appropriation for well over a century.

The following examples are representative. In the first, the English philosophical poet Robert Browning makes Caliban his spokesman in a late nineteenth-century meditation on divinity; in the second, the Uruguayan ideological sociologist José Enrique Rodó invokes Ariel as his early twentieth-century symbol of spiritual perfection; in the third, the French psychoanalyst and civil servant Octave Mannoni uses Prospero and Caliban to exemplify mid-twentieth-century colonialism’s human dynamics. The three works were widely influential in their times, and were often emulated in their application of Tempest tropes to a variety of literary forms — dramatic poetry, philosophical essays, political treatises — and to a wide variety of topics. We reprint this illustrative sample to exemplify The Tempest’s rich afterlife.

1 Robert Browning, ‘Caliban upon Setebos’

Robert Browning (1812–89) began writing ‘Caliban upon Setebos’ as early as 1859, the same year that Charles Darwin
published *On the Origin of Species by means of Natural Selection*. Browning's poem was not published, however, until 1864, when it was included in a collection of dramatic monologues, *Dramatis Personae*. Although many commentators have assumed Browning's Caliban is a Darwinian 'missing link', the poem is not about evolution. Browning's dramatic monologue reveals Caliban's secret thoughts about his god Setebos. Caliban's 'natural theology' is based on the ecological phenomena he observes around him, not upon any supernatural revelations. The poem's central organizing principle is analogy: Caliban reasons that his god Setebos is similar to himself. Whatever Caliban would think or do, so would He (see *Constellation*, 120–43). Caliban's speculations are carefully framed. The poem's first twenty-three lines set the scene (and are distinguished by the author's square brackets at either end of the passage); Prospero and Miranda are sleeping, and, for the moment, Caliban is free to gaze at the sea and ponder. Because he is so afraid that Setebos, a cruel deity at best, might hear him, Caliban speaks of himself in the third person. The capitalized 'He' is Setebos. In the final twelve lines (also bracketed in the original), Caliban fears that a raven flying overhead will report his remarks back to Setebos; in anticipation of Setebos' wrath, he fearfully falls flat.

'Caliban upon Setebos' is taken from volume 7 of *The Poetical Works of Robert Browning* of 1888; this is the last edition published in the poet's lifetime, and it includes his final revisions.

*Caliban upon Setebos; or, Natural Theology in the Island*

'Thou thoughtest that I was altogether such a one as thyself. ’

['Will sprawl, now that the heat of day is best,
Flat on his belly in the pit's much mire,
With elbows wide, fists clenched to prop his chin.
And, while he kicks both feet in the cool slush,'  

1 The epigraph is from Psalm 50:21 where God reproves the wicked for their errors.
And feels about his spine small eft-things\textsuperscript{1} course,
Run in and out each arm, and make him laugh:
And while above his head a pompion-plant,\textsuperscript{2}
Coating the cave-top as a brow its eye,
Creeps down to touch and tickle hair and beard,
And now a flower drops with a bee inside,
And now a fruit to snap at, catch and crunch, –
He looks out o’er yon sea which sunbeams cross
And recross till they weave a spider-web
(Meshes of fire, some great fish breaks at times)
And talks to his own self, howe’er he please,
‘Touching that other, whom his dam called God.\textsuperscript{3}
Because to talk about Him, vexes – ha,
Could He but know! and time to vex is now,
When talk is safer than in winter-time.
Moreover Prosper and Miranda sleep
In confidence he drudges at their task,
And it is good to cheat the pair, and gibe,
Letting the rank tongue blossom into speech.]

Setebos, Setebos, and Setebos!
"Thinketh, He dwelleth i’ the cold o’ the moon.

"Thinketh He made it, with the sun to match,
But not the stars; the stars came otherwise;
Only made clouds, winds, meteors, such as that:
Also this isle, what lives and grows thereon,
And snaky sea which rounds and ends the same.

"Thinketh, it came of being ill at ease:
He hated that He can not change His cold,
Nor cure its ache. ’Hath spied an icy fish
That longed to ’scape the rock-stream where she lived,
And thaw herself within the lukewarm brine
O’ the lazy sea her stream thrusts far amid,
A crystal spike ’twixt two warm walls of wave;

\textsuperscript{1} eft-things: an eft is a newt; Caliban refers to water lizards who swim about him.
\textsuperscript{2} pompion: pumpkin
\textsuperscript{3} Caliban refers to his mother’s god Setebos in Tem 1.2.374.
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Only, she ever sickened, found repulse
At the other kind of water, not her life,
(Green-dense and dim-delicious, bred o’ the sun)
Flounced back from bliss she was not born to breathe,
And in her old bounds buried her despair,
Hating and loving warmth alike: so He.

’Thinketh, He made thereat the sun, this isle,
Trees and the fowls here, beast and creeping thing.
Yon otter, sleek-wet, black, lithe as a leech;
Yon auk,1 one fire-eye in a ball of foam,
That floats and feeds; a certain badger brown
He hath watched hunt with that slant white-wedge eye
By moonlight; and the pie2 with the long tongue
That pricks deep into oawkwarts for a worm,
And says a plain word when she finds her prize,
But will not eat the ants; the ants themselves
That build a wall of seeds and settled stalks
About their hole – He made all these and more,
Made all we see, and us, in spite: how else?
He could not, Himself, make a second self
To be His mate; as well have made Himself:
He would not make what he mislikes or slights,
An eyesore to Him, or not worth His pains:
But did, in envy, listlessness or sport,
Make what Himself would fain, in a manner, be –
Weaker in most points, stronger in a few,
Worthy, and yet mere playthings all the while,
Things He admires and mocks too, – that is it!
Because, so brave, so better tho’ they be,
It nothing skills if He begin to plague.
Look now, I melt a gourd-fruit into mash,
Add honeycomb and pods, I have perceived,
Which bite like finches when they bill and kiss, –
Then, when froth rises bladdery, drink up all,
Quick, quick, till maggots scamper thro’ my brain;

1 auk: a seabird with small narrow wings
2 pie: magpie
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Last, throw me on my back i’ the seeded thyme, And wanton, wishing I were born a bird. Put case, unable to be what I wish, I yet could make a live bird out of clay: Would not I take clay, pinch my Caliban Able to fly? – for, there, see, he hath wings, And great comb like the hoopoe’s¹ to admire, And there, a sting to do his foes offence, There, and I will that he begin to live, Fly to yon rock-top, nip me off the horns Of grigs² high up that make the merry din, Saucy thro’ their veined wings, and mind me not. In which feat, if his leg snapped, brittle clay, And he lay stupid-like, – why, I should laugh; And if he, spying me, should fall to weep, Beseech me to be good, repair his wrong, Bid his poor leg smart less or grow again, – Well, as the chance were, this might take or else Not take my fancy: I might hear his cry, And give the mankin³ three sound legs for one, Or pluck the other off, leave him like an egg, And lessoned he was mine and merely clay. Were this no pleasure, lying in the thyme, Drinking the mash, with brain become alive, Making and marring clay at will? So He. ’Thinketh, such shows nor right nor wrong in Him, Nor kind, nor cruel: He is strong and Lord. ’Am strong myself compared to yonder crabs That march now from the mountain to the sea, ’Let twenty pass, and stone the twenty-first, Loving not, hating not, just choosing so. ’Say, the first straggler that boasts purple spots Shall join the file, one pincer twisted off; ’Say, this bruised fellow shall receive a worm,

¹ hoopoe: a bird with distinctively patterned plumage and a fanlike crest
² grigs: crickets or grasshoppers
³ mankin: diminutive of ‘man’; a puny man
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And two worms he whose nippers end in red;  
As it likes me each time, I do: so He.

Well then, 'supposeth He is good i' the main,  
Placable if His mind and ways were guessed,  
But rougher than His handiwork, be sure!  
Oh, He hath made things worthier than Himself,  
And envieth that, so helped, such things do more  
Than He who made them! What consoles but this?  
That they, unless thro' Him, do naught at all,  
And must submit: what other use in things?  
'Hath cut a pipe of pithless elder-joint  
That, blown through, gives exact the scream o' the jay  
When from her wing you twitch the feathers blue:  
Sound this, and little birds that hate the jay  
Flock within stone's throw, glad their foe is hurt:  
Put case such pipe could prattle and boast forsooth  'I catch the birds, I am the crafty thing,  
'I make the cry my maker can not make  
'With his great round mouth; he must blow thro' mine!'  
Would not I smash it with my foot? So He.

But wherefore rough, why cold and ill at ease?  
Aha, that is a question! Ask, for that,  
What knows, - the something over Setebos  
That made Him, or He, may be, found and fought,  
Worsted, drove off and did to nothing, perchance.  
There may be something quiet o'er His head,  
Out of His reach, that feels nor joy nor grief,  
Since both derive from weakness in some way.  
I joy because the quails come; would not joy  
Could I bring quails here when I have a mind:  
This Quiet, all it hath a mind to, doth.  
'Esteemeth stars the outposts of its couch,  
But never spends much thought nor care that way.  
It may look up, work up, - the worse for those  
It works on! 'Careth but for Setebos  
The many-handed as a cuttle-fish,¹

¹ cuttle-fish: also called inkfish, the cuttle-fish has many tentacles
Who, making Himself feared thro’ what He does,
Looks up, first, and perceives he cannot soar
To what is quiet and hath happy life;
Next looks down here, and out of very spite
Makes this a bauble-world to ape yon real,
These good things to match those as hips\(^1\) do grapes.
’T is solace making baubles, ay, and sport.
Himself peeped late, eyed Prosper at his books
Careless and lofty, lord now of the isle:
Vexed, ’stitched a book of broad leaves, arrow-shaped,
Wrote thereon, he knows what, prodigious words;
Has peeled a wand and called it by a name;
Weareth at whiles for an enchanter’s robe
The eyed skin of a supple oncelot;\(^2\)
And hath an ounce sleeker than youngling mole,
A four-legged serpent he makes cower and couch,
Now snarl, now hold its breath and mind his eye,
And saith she is Miranda and my wife:
’Keeps for his Ariel a tall pouched bill crane
He bids go wade for fish and straight disgorge;
Also a sea-beast, lumpish, which he snared,
Blinded the eyes of, and brought somewhat tame,
And split its toe-webs, and now pens the drudge
In a hole o’ the rock and calls him Caliban;
A bitter heart that bides its time and bites.
’Plays thus at being Prosper in a way,
Taketh his mirth with make-believes: so He.

His dam held that the Quiet made all things
Which Setebos vexed only: ’holds not so.
Who made them weak, meant weakness He might vex.
Had He meant other, while His hand was in,
Why not make horny eyes no thorn could prick,
Or plate my scalp with bone against the snow,
Or overscale my flesh ’neath joint and joint,

---

1 hips: the hard fruits of the rose plant
2 oncelot: a spotted wildcat, like the French ocelot or the Spanish oncela
Like an orc's armour? Ay, – so spoil His sport!
He is the One now: only He doth all.

'Saith, He may like, perchance, what profits Him.
Ay, himself loves what does him good; but why?
'Gets good no otherwise. This blinded beast
Loves whoso places flesh-meat on his nose,
But, had he eyes, would want no help, but hate
Or love, just as it liked him: He hath eyes.
Also it pleaseth Setebos to work,
Use all His hands, and exercise much craft,
By no means for the love of what is worked.
'Tasteth, himself, no finer good i' the world
When all goes right, in this safe summer-time,
And he wants little, hungers, aches not much,
Than trying what to do with wit and strength.
'Falls to make something: 'piled yon pile of turfs,
And squared and stuck there squares of soft white chalk,
And, with a fish-tooth, scratched a moon on each,
And set up endwise certain spikes of tree,
And crowned the whole with a sloth's skull a-top,
Found dead i' the woods, too hard for one to kill.
No use at all i' the work, for work's sole sake;
'Shall some day knock it down again: so He.

'Saith He is terrible: watch His feats in proof!
One hurricane will spoil six good months' hope.
He hath a spite against me, that I know,
Just as He favours Prosper, who knows why?
So it is, all the same, as well I find.
'Wove wattles half the winter, fenced them firm
With stone and stake to stop she-tortoises
Crawling to lay their eggs here: well, one wave,
Feeling the foot of Him upon its neck,
Gaped as a snake does, lolled out its large tongue,
And licked the whole labour flat: so much for spite!
'Saw a ball flame down late (yonder it lies)

1 orc: killer whale
2 ball: asteroid or meteorite
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Where, half an hour before, I slept i’ the shade:
Often they scatter sparkles: there is force!
’Dug up a newt He may have envied once
And turned to stone, shut up inside a stone.
Please Him and hinder this? – What Prosper does?
Aha, if He would tell me how! Not He!
There is the sport: discover how or die!
All need not die, for of the things o’ the isle
Some flee afar, some dive, some run up trees;
Those at His mercy, – why, they please Him most
When . . when . . well, never try the same way twice!
Repeat what act has pleased, He may grow wroth.
You must not know His ways, and play Him off,
Sure of the issue. ’Doth the like himself:
’Spareth a squirrel that it nothing fears
But steals the nut from underneath my thumb,
And when I threat, bites stoutly in defence:
’Spareth an urchin\(^1\) that contrariwise,
Curls up into a ball, pretending death
For fright at my approach: the two ways please.
But what would move my choler more than this,
That either creature counted on its life
To-morrow, next day and all days to come,
Saying forsooth in the inmost of its heart,
‘Because he did so yesterday with me,
‘And otherwise with such another brute,
‘So must he do henceforth and always.’ – Ay?
’Would teach the reasoning couple what ‘must’ means!
’Doth as he likes, or wherefore Lord? So He.

‘Conceiveth all things will continue thus,
And we shall have to live in fear of Him
So long as He lives, keeps His strength: no change,
If He have done His best, make no new world
To please Him more, so leave off watching this, –
If He surprise not even the Quiet’s self

\(^1\) urchin: hedgehog; see *Tern* 2.2.5 and note.
Some strange day, – or, suppose, grow into it
As grubs grow butterflies: else here are we,
And there is He, and nowhere help at all.

’Believeth with the life, the pain shall stop.
His dam held different, that after death
He both plagued enemies and feasted friends:
Idly! He doth His worst in this our life,
Giving just respite lest we die thro’ pain,
Saving last pain for worst, – with which, an end.
Meanwhile, the best way to escape His ire
Is, not to seem too happy. ’Sees, himself,
Yonder two flies, with purple films and pink,
Bask on the pompion-bell above: kills both.
’Sees two black painful beetles roll their ball
On head and tail as if to save their lives:
Moves them the stick away they strive to clear.

Even so, ’would have Him misconceive, suppose
This Caliban strives hard and ails no less,
And always, above all else, envies Him;
Wherefore he mainly dances on dark nights,
Moans in the sun, gets under holes to laugh,
And never speaks his mind save housed as now:
Outside, ’groans, curses. If He caught me here,
O’erheard this speech, and asked ‘What chucklest at?’
’Would, to appease Him, cut a finger off,
Or of my three kid yearlings burn the best,
Or let the toothsome apples rot on tree,
Or push my tame beast for the orc to taste:
While myself lit a fire, and made a song
And sung it, ‘What I hate, be consecrate
’T’to celebrate Thee and Thy state, no mate
’For Thee; what see for envy in poor me?’
Hoping the while, since evils sometimes mend,
Warts rub away and sores are cured with slime,
That some strange day, will either the Quiet catch
And conquer Setebos, or likelier He
Decrepit may doze, doze, as good as die.
Appendix 2: José Enrique Rodó, Ariel

José Enrique Rodó (1872–1917), prominent Uruguayan philosopher and writer, was a major figure in Latin America’s modernismo movement of the early twentieth century and was the editor for many years of one of its leading journals, La Revista Nacional de Literatura y Ciencias Sociales, published in Montevideo. His Ariel (1900) expressed Latin American yearnings for spiritual and intellectual identity and for a cultural future that would not be dominated by the United States. Rodó’s brief, idealistic book was an instant bestseller in Latin America and remained a pervasive cultural document throughout the twentieth century (an annotated list of its many editions appears on pp. 115–23 of the edition cited below). Among Rodó’s collections of essays is El mirador de Prospero (Prospero’s balcony), published in 1913.

The following selection from Ariel includes the opening and closing pages, with some omissions.

Reproduced from Ariel by José Enrique Rodó, translated by Margaret Sayers Peden, © 1988, pp. 31–33, 96–101; by permission of the University of Texas Press.

1 whelks: sea molluscs
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That afternoon, at the end of a year of classes, the venerable old teacher, who by allusion to the wise magician of Shakespeare’s *Tempest* was often called Prospero, was bidding his young disciples farewell, gathering them about him one last time.

The students were already present in the large classroom in which an exquisite yet austere decor honored in every fastidious detail the presence of Prospero’s books, his faithful companions. An exquisite bronze of *The Tempest*’s Ariel, like the presiding spirit of that serene atmosphere, dominated the room. It was the teacher’s custom to sit beside this statue, and this is why he had come to be called Prospero, the magician who in the play is attended and served by the fanciful figure depicted by the sculptor. Perhaps, however, an even deeper reason and meaning for the name lay in the master’s teaching and character.

Shakespeare’s ethereal Ariel symbolizes the noble, soaring aspect of the human spirit. He represents the superiority of reason and feeling over the base impulses of irrationality. He is generous enthusiasm, elevated and unselfish motivation in all actions, spirituality in culture, vivacity and grace in intelligence. Ariel is the ideal toward which human selection ascends, the force that wields life’s eternal chisel, effacing from aspiring mankind the clinging vestiges of Caliban, the play’s symbol of brutal sensuality.

The regal statue represented the ‘airy spirit’ at the very moment when Prospero’s magic sets him free, the instant he is about to take wing and vanish in a flash of light. Wings unfolded; gossamer, floating robes damascened by the caress of sunlight on bronze; wide brow uplifted; lips half-parted in a serene smile – everything in Ariel’s pose perfectly anticipated the graceful beginnings of flight. Happily, the inspired artist who formed his image in solid sculpture had also preserved his angelic appearance and ideal airiness.

Deep in thought, Prospero stroked the statue’s brow. Then he seated the young men about him and in a firm voice – a *masterful* voice capable of seizing an idea and implanting it deep within the listener’s mind with all the penetrating illumination of a beam of light, the incisive ring of chisel on marble, or the life-infusing touch of brush upon canvas or sculpting wave upon sand – he began to speak, surrounded by his affectionate and attentive students.
Here beside the statue that has daily witnessed our friendly gatherings – from which I have tried to remove any unwelcome austerity – I am going to speak with you one last time, so that our farewell may be the seal stamped on a covenant of emotions and ideas.

I call upon Ariel to be my numen,¹ so that my words will be the most subtle and most persuasive I have ever spoken. I believe that to address the young on any noble and elevated subject is a kind of sacred discourse. I also believe that a young mind is hospitable soil in which the seed of a single timely word will quickly yield immortal fruit.

It is my wish to collaborate on but one page of the agenda that you will draw up in your innermost being and shape with your personal moral character and strength while preparing to breathe the free air of action. This individual agenda – which sometimes may be formulated or written but sometimes is revealed only during the course of action itself – is always to be found in the spirit of those groups and peoples who rise above the multitudes. If, when referring to the philosophy of individual choice, Goethe² could say with such profundity that the only man worthy of liberty and life is the man capable of winning them for himself with each new day, can it not also be said – with even greater truth – that the honor of each generation requires it to win liberty and life through its increasing intellectual activity, its own particular efforts, its faith in resolutely expressing the ideal, and its place in the evolution of ideas? ... 

Perhaps it is a rash and ingenuous hope to believe that with a continuous and felicitous acceleration of evolution, with efficacious effort on your part, the period of one generation might suffice to transform the conditions of intellectual life in America³ from the early stages at which we now find ourselves to a level that would truly benefit society, to a truly dominant peak of achievement. But even when a total transformation is not within the realm of possibility, there can be progress. Even if you know that the first fruits of the soil you so laboriously worked were never to be served on your table, the work itself, if you are generous and strong, would be its own satisfaction. The most invigorating work is that which is realized without anticipation of immediate

---

¹ numen: guiding spirit
² Johann Wolfgang von Goethe (1749–1832), prolific German poet, novelist and dramatist, best known for the dramatic poem Faust
³ Rodó here means primarily Latin America.
success. The most glorious effort is that which places hope just beyond the visible horizon. And the purest abnegation is that which denies in the present, not merely resounding applause and the reward of the laurel, but even the moral voluptuousness of satisfaction in a job well done.

There were in antiquity altars for the ‘unknown gods.’ I urge you to dedicate a part of your soul to the unknown future. As a society advances, concern about the future becomes a major factor in its evolution, an inspiration in its labors. From the confusion and lack of foresight of the savage, who can see into the future only as far as the hours remaining until sunset, and who has no concept that it is possible to have partial control over the days ahead, to our own thoughtful and prudent preoccupation with posterity, there is an enormous distance that some day may seem brief and insignificant. We are capable of progress only to the degree that we become capable of adapting our acts to conditions that are increasingly distant from us in space and time. The certainty that we are contributing to work that will survive us, work that will benefit the future, enhances our sense of human dignity, helping us to triumph over the limitations of our nature. If, through some calamity, humanity were to despair of the immortality of the individual consciousness, the most religious sentiment that could replace it would be the one born from the belief that even after the dissolution of the soul, the best of what it has felt and dreamed – its most personal, its purest, essence – will persist in the heritage transmitted by generations of human beings, in the same way that the shining ray of a dead star lives on in infinity to touch us with its tender and melancholy light.

In the life of human societies, the future is a perfect equivalence of visionary thought. From the pious veneration of the past and the cult of tradition, on the one hand, and, on the other, from bold movement toward what is to come, is composed the noble strength that in raising the collective spirit above the limitations of the present communicates the sentiments and agitations of a society. Men and nations, in the opinion of Fouillée,¹ work under the inspiration of ideas, while irrational beings react to the stimulus of the instincts. According to that same thinker, the society that struggles and labors, often unknowingly, to

¹ Alfred Jules Emile Fouillée (1838–1912), a French sociologist and philosopher who argued that ideas were almost autonomous entities in the evolution of societies. His L'idée moderne du droit en Allemagne, en Angleterre et en France (1878) invoked symbols from The Tempest, especially Ariel.
make an idea reality is imitating the instinctive work of a bird that, as it constructs its nest, obsessed by an imperious internal image, is obeying both an unconscious memory of the past and a mysterious presentiment of the future.

... I have taken my inspiration from the gentle and serene image of my Ariel. The beneficent spirit that Shakespeare — perhaps with the divine unawareness frequent in inspired intuitions — imbued with such high symbolism is clearly represented in the statue, his ideals magnificently translated by art into line and contour. Ariel is reason and noble sentiment. Ariel is the sublime instinct for perfectibility, by virtue of which human clay — the miserable clay of which Arimanthes’ spirits spoke to Manfred¹ — is exalted and converted into a creature that lives in the glow of Ariel’s light: the center of the universe. Ariel is for Nature the crowning achievement of her labors, the last figure in the ascending chain, the spiritual flame. A triumphant Ariel signifies idealism and order in life; noble inspiration in thought; selflessness in morality; good taste in art; heroism in action; delicacy in customs. He is the eponymous hero in the epic of the species. He is the immortal protagonist: his presence inspired the earliest feeble efforts of rationalism in the first prehistoric man when for the first time he bowed his dark brow to chip at rock or trace a crude image on the bones of the reindeer; his wings fanned the sacred bonfire that the primitive Aryan, progenitor of civilized peoples, friend of light, ignited in the mysterious jungles of the Ganges in order to forge with his divine fire the scepter of human majesty. In the later evolution of superior races, Ariel’s dazzling light shines above souls that have surpassed the natural limits of humankind, above heroes of thought and fantasy, as well as those of action and sacrifice, above Plato on the promontory of Sunium, as well as above St. Francis of Assisi in the solitude of Monte della Verna. Ariel’s irresistible strength is fueled by the ascendant movement of life. Conquered a thousand times over by the indomitable rebellion of Caliban, inhibited by victorious barbarism, asphyxiated in the smoke of battles, his transparent wings stained by contact with the ‘eternal dunghill of Job,’ Ariel rebounds, immortal; Ariel recovers his youth and beauty and responds with agility to Prospero’s call, to the call of all those who love him and invoke him in reality. At times his beneficent empire reaches even those

¹ in Lord Byron’s *Manfred: A Dramatic Poem* (1816), which draws on themes from Goethe’s *Faust*
who deny him and ignore him. He often directs the blind forces of evil and barbarism so that, like others, they will contribute to the work of good. Ariel will pass through human history, humming, as in Shakespeare’s drama, his melodic song to animate those who labor and those who struggle, until the fulfillment of the unknown plan permits him—in the same way that in the drama he is liberated from Prospero’s service—to break his material bonds and return forever to the center of his divine fire.

I want you to remember my words, but even more, I beseech you to cherish the indelible memory of my statue of Ariel. I want the airy and graceful image of this bronze to be imprinted forever in the innermost recesses of your mind. I remember that once while enjoying a coin collection in a museum my attention was captured by the legend on an ancient coin: the word Hope, nearly effaced from the faded gold. As I gazed at that worn inscription, I pondered what its influence might have been. Who knows what noble and active role in forming the character and affecting the lives of human generations we could attribute to that simple theme’s working its insistent suggestion upon those who held it in their hands? Who knows, as it circulated from hand to hand, how much fading joy was renewed, how many generous plans brought to fruition, how many evil proposals thwarted, when men’s gaze fell upon the inspiring word incised, like a graphic cry, on the metallic disc. May this image of Ariel—imprinted upon your hearts—play the same imperceptible but decisive role in your own lives. In darkest hours of discouragement, may it revive in your consciousness an enthusiasm for the wavering ideal and restore to your heart the ardor of lost hope. Once affirmed in the bastion of your inner being, Ariel will go forth in the conquest of souls. I see him, far in the future, smiling upon you with gratitude from above as your spirit fades into the shadows. I have faith in your will, in your strength, even as I have faith in the will and strength of those to whom you will give life, to whom you will transmit your work. Often I am transported by the dream that one day may be a reality: that the Andes, soaring high above our America, may be carved to form the pedestal for this statue, the immutable altar for its veneration.

These were the words of Prospero. After pressing the master’s hand with filial affection, the youthful disciples drifted away. His gently spoken words, like the lament of ringing crystal, lingered in the air. It was
the last hour of the day. A ray from the dying sun penetrated the room, pierced the shadows, and fell upon the bronze brow of the statue, seeming to strike a restless spark of life in Ariel’s exalted eyes. Lingering in the gloom, the beam of light suggested the gaze the spirit, captive in the bronze, cast upon the departing youths. They left in silent unanimity, each absorbed in serious thought — the delicate distillation of meditation that a saint exquisitely compared to the slow and gentle fall of dewdrops upon the fleece of a lamb. When their harsh encounter with the throng brought them back to the surrounding reality, it was night. A warm, serene summer night. The grace and quietude the night spilled upon the earth from its ebony urn triumphed over the rudeness of man’s accomplishments. Only the presence of the multitude forbade ecstasy.

A warm breeze rippled the evening air with languid and delicious abandon, like wine trembling in the goblet of a bacchant. The shadows cast no darkness on the pure night sky, but painted its blue with a shade that seemed to reflect a pensive serenity.

3 Octave Mannoni, Prospero and Caliban: The Psychology of Colonization

Octave Mannoni (1899–1989), a French official in Madagascar, wrote *Psychologie de la Colonisation* in 1948. By training and usually by vocation, Mannoni was a social scientist, especially a psychoanalyst, but in the widespread European tradition of intellectuals-as-public servants, he was for several years an administrator in France’s largest colony. His book is partly a response to the island’s anti-colonial uprising and its lethal suppression, and partly a theoretical analysis of ‘colonial situations’, to which Mannoni had already devoted articles in the French journal *Psyché* in 1947 and 1948. Mannoni’s book was first published in Paris in 1950; it was translated into English in 1956 by Pamela Powesland as *Prospero and Caliban: The Psychology of Colonization*, with a brief Author’s Note by Mannoni and a Foreword by Philip Mason; the same translation was reissued in 1964, supplemented by an Author’s Note to the second edition; and reissued again in 1990, with all of the foregoing front matter
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plus a critical New Foreword by Maurice Bloch.

Part I of Prospero and Caliban describes what Mannoni perceives as 'the general characteristics most typical of the Malagasy personality in relation to the structure of the family and the cult of the ancestors'; Part II analyses 'the attitude of the European colonial to the image of the native'; and Part III is 'an examination of the different aspects of the interhuman relationship which arises in a colonial situation' (pp. 34–5). The following selection comprises most of the first chapter (pp. 97–102, 104–9), entitled 'Crusoe and Prospero', of Part II, from the edition of 1956.

The dependence relationship requires at least two members, and where a colonial situation exists, if one of them is the native of the colony, the other is likely to be the colonizer, or rather the colonial, for he it is who offers us the more interesting subject of study. The real colonizer is almost of necessity a man of strong character, a creator rather than an accepter of relationships, at least at the outset. It is only later that he becomes a colonial. The typical colonial, on the other hand, finds the relationship ready made; he takes it up, adapts himself to it, and very often exploits it. And in any case, whether he accepts it passively or seized upon it greedily, the relationship changes him more than he it. It is precisely this transformation which sets a stamp on him, which makes him a colonial. And it is this which we must now study if we are to find out the exact psychological nature of the relations which form between the European colonial and the dependent native - if we are to understand how and why these relations change with time and what effect they have on the two members.

The reader will see that in trying to discover how it is that a European, to all appearances indistinguishable from other Europeans, can become, sometimes in a very short space of time, a typical colonial and very different from his former self, I have reached a conclusion which is at first sight paradoxical - namely, that the personality of the colonial is made up, not of characteristics acquired during and through experience of the colonies, but of traits, very often in the nature of a complex, already in existence in a latent and repressed form in the European's psyche, traits which the colonial experience has simply brought to the surface and made manifest. Social life in Europe exerts
a certain pressure on the individual, and that pressure keeps the personality in a given shape; once it is removed, however, the outlines of the personality change and swell, thus revealing the existence of internal pressures which had up to then passed unnoticed.

Of course that is simply a metaphor; what I want to bring out is that what happens to a European when he becomes a colonial is the result of unconscious complexes, and these I propose to analyse. The shape assumed by a deep-sea fish when it is brought up to the surface is due to differences in pressure, certainly, but it is also due to its own internal anatomical structure. Logically, what my theory amounts to is this, that a person free from complexes — if such a person can be imagined — would not undergo change as a result of experience of the colonies. He would not in the first place feel the urge to go to the colonies, but even should he find himself there by chance, he would not taste those emotional satisfactions which, whether consciously or unconsciously, so powerfully attract the predestined colonial.

These complexes are formed, necessarily, in infancy; their later history varies according to whether they are resolved, repressed, or satisfied in the course of a closer and closer contact with reality as the age of adulthood is reached. The best description of them is to be found in the works of some of the great writers who projected them on to imaginary characters placed in situations which, though imaginary, are typically colonial. The material they drew directly from their own unconscious desires. This is proof enough that the complexes exist even before the colonial situation is experienced. . . . [Two omitted paragraphs discuss Daniel Defoe's *Robinson Crusoe*.]

Shakespeare's *The Tempest* — he, too [like Defoe], wrote it in his old age — presents a situation which is, psychoanalytically, almost identical with that of Crusoe. We can be sure that Shakespeare had no other model but himself for his creation of Prospero.

It is characteristic of this type of story — the remark applies equally, for instance, to the *Odyssey*, *Sinbad the Sailor* and *Gulliver's Travels* — that the hero has to face either the perils or the miseries of exile; they are either punishments or, as it were, scarecrows, the two ideas being easily linked in that of prohibition. The reason for them is usually a wrongdoing, deliberate or otherwise, and it constitutes disobedience of the gods, the customs, or more generally the father. Prospero had neglected the duties of his office and had been betrayed by his brother
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in complicity with a king – psychoanalytically a king is a father-image. Even the real travellers, Baudelaire,¹ Trelawny² and many others, obediently conform to the unconscious schema.³ The story-book travellers encounter parental prohibitions in the form of monsters: Cyclops, the Roc bird, the cannibals. They are full of regrets – ‘Ah, how much better it would have been…!’ and so on. When they get back they have nothing but misfortunes to relate: ‘So we worked at the oar’ says Robinson, ‘and the wind driving us towards the shore, we hastened our destruction with our own hands…’ Nevertheless their adventures rouse envy in their stay-at-home readers, especially if they are young.

Prospero is the least evolved of all these literary figures, according to the criteria of psychoanalysis, for he is endowed with magical power, and so is not required to display those virile and adult qualities to which Ulysses and Crusoe owe their salvation. Crusoe is psychologically the least archaic, as is shown by his faith in technical skill – he is a veritable Jack-of-all-trades. He is in line with the current of ideas flowing from Locke to the Encyclopaedists; Prospero, on the other hand, is reminiscent rather of Bacon, who thought in terms of experiment but dreamed of magic; nor is he the only character in the play to repudiate technique, for there is Gonzalo, too, the Utopist. Between them, therefore, these characters appear to cover the whole of the subject we are studying. Chronology is of no importance, and so we shall begin with an analysis of Crusoe and see what we can learn from it.

First, it is very significant that he is much less unhappy when he is absolutely alone than when he is afraid he may not be. I must dwell on this paradox, for our familiarity with it dulls our surprise at it; man is afraid because he is alone and his fear is the fear of other men. Fear of solitude is fear of intrusion upon that solitude. (It is the same in The Tempest: Prospero’s solitude is finally broken in upon.) Contemporary critics even pointed out this ‘contradiction’ in Robinson Crusoe. But perhaps it was not a contradiction, after all.

At all events, every sign of another living thing, a goat, a footprint, anything put fear into the heart of Crusoe – even his parrot, which was

1 Charles Baudelaire (1821–67), French poet and critic
2 Edward John Trelawny (1792–1881), English writer
3 [Mannoni:] A particularly interesting traveller to study is R.L. Stevenson. In his story Ariel and Caliban are called Jekyll and Hyde. In the remote Pacific he found courage to grapple with the image which had driven him that far, and began writing the Weir of Hermiston. He died before victory was won, leaving his chef-d’oeuvre incomplete.

334
nonetheless a first configuration of the companion he both dreaded and
desired in an ambivalent complex of feelings. (It will be easier to under­
stand the role of this parrot, which learns to talk, after reading the pas­
sage from the *Serious Reflections*, which I shall quote later on.) In fact,
Daniel Defoe’s story recounts the long and difficult cure of a misanthropic
neurosis. His hero, who is at first at odds with his environment, gradu­
ally recovers psychological health in solitude. He comes to accept the
presence of creatures upon whom he tries to project the image – at once
terrifying and reassuring – of another. Then he has a friend, ‘dumb’ at
first, like his parrot. Later he has the courage to fight against the ‘others’
in the form of hostile cannibals. Finally he has to deal with a terribly
bad lot who are, however, more akin to himself, and he manages to
subdue them by his authority. He even assumes the title of governor
of the island. His cure is assured; he is even reconciled with the
father-image, and, by the same token, with God (God is also mentioned
in the *Serious Reflections*). So, then, Crusoe can return, like Ulysses,
‘plein d’usage et raison’ – and money, too, gained chiefly through slave­
trading.

Let us leave Defoe’s case for a moment to consider that of his reader
and discover why he finds Defoe’s book so interesting. His interest itself
is enough to show that there is in the child some trait which is partly
misanthropic, or at any rate anti-social, a trait which, for lack of a bet­
ter term, I would call ‘the lure of a world without men’. It may be
repressed to a greater or less extent, but it will remain, nonetheless, in
the unconscious.

It is the existence of this trait which makes the idea of the desert
island so attractive, whereas in reality there is little to be said for it, as
the real Robinsons discovered. The desert islands of the imagination
are, it is true, peopled with imaginary beings, but that is after all their
raison d’être. Some of the semi-human creatures the unconscious cre­
ates, such as Caliban or the Lilliputians, reveal their creator’s desire to
denigrate the whole of mankind. Others are a compound of the bad crea­
tures on whom the child projects his own desire to be naughty and the
parents who forbid him to be naughty – for the father who tells his child
that the wicked bogey-man will get him if he does not behave, himself
becomes a bogey-man in the eyes of the child. And all external dangers,
such as the wolf and the policeman, are felt to be his allies, especially as
they are specifically referred to by the parents. We have already seen
how often this fusion occurs in the dreams of Malagasy children where the ‘naughty’ (i.e. guilty) child is pursued by the wicked Senegalese soldier who is at the same time his father, both being represented by the bull.1

It is a fact, however, that in spite of prohibitions, or perhaps because of them, the child longs to escape. Some time after he is four years of age he makes surreptitious attempts to venture out alone. Sometimes he ‘loses’ himself or he makes a tour of a block of houses and returns to his starting-point, as if he wanted to verify some topographical intuition or prove that the world is round. Sometimes he longs to be invisible, and hides himself; when his mother calls him anxiously he does not reply. She calls these escapades silly, but perhaps they are something more than mere games. Or again the child may long to go and hide far away from everybody, and so he goes to the bottom of the garden to play at being Robinson; the fact that several children may take part in this game makes no difference – it is still a flight from mankind, and intrusion must be guarded against.

The real attraction of solitude, however, is that if the world is emptied of human beings as they really are, it can be filled with the creatures of our own imagination: Calypso, Ariel, Friday. But if we are to achieve a complete and adult personality it is essential that we should make the images of the unconscious tally, more or less, with real people; flight into solitude shows that we have failed to do so. In *The Tempest*, when Miranda cries:

\[
\ldots\text{O brave new world, } \\
\text{That has such people in't}
\]

we realize, with an emotion which reveals the importance of the fact, that she has accomplished in one step that adjustment of the archetypes to reality which her neurotic father had so surely missed. His scornful reply, ‘’Tis new to thee’, proves that he is not yet cured. Where there is a preference for Ariel or Friday to real persons, it is clear that there has been a failure in adaptation, resulting usually from a grave lack of sociability combined with a pathological urge to dominate. These characteristics, which are traceable in the unconscious of Prospero–Shakespeare and Crusoe–Defoe, are very probably present in all

1 discussed by Mannoni on pp. 89–93 of *Prospero and Caliban*
children too, but they may develop in one of many ways. . . . [The omitted section pertains to *Robinson Crusoe*.]

[A] tendency towards misanthropy, which may first be expressed in a flight from other people, may, it is clear, later lead to a serious rupture of the image of these others or to a failure in the process of synthesis whereby that image is formed. The image falls into two parts which recede farther and farther from one another instead of coalescing; on the one hand there are pictures of monstrous and terrifying creatures, and on the other visions of gracious beings bereft of will and purpose — Caliban and the cannibals at one extreme (Caliban is surely a deliberate anagram); Ariel and Friday at the other. But man is both Ariel and Caliban; we must recognize this if we are to grow up. For a period during childhood we refuse to believe it, and it is the traces of this phase which remain in the unconscious that led Defoe and Shakespeare to write the works to which I have referred. The same unconscious tendency has impelled thousands of Europeans to seek out oceanic islands inhabited only by Fridays or, alternatively, to go and entrench themselves in isolated outposts in hostile countries where they could repulse by force of arms those same terrifying creatures whose image was formed in their own unconscious.

It would, of course, be possible to put forward all sorts of historical reasons to explain the success of colonization, and there is no denying the importance of the phenomena of economic expansion. But these causes were brought to bear on minds psychologically prepared, and if my analysis is correct no one becomes a real colonial who is not impelled by infantile complexes which were not properly resolved in adolescence. The gap between the dependent personality of the native and the independent personality of the European affords these complexes an opportunity of becoming manifest; it invites the projection of unconscious images and encourages behaviour which is not warranted by the objective situation, but is ultimately explainable in terms of the most infantile subjectivity. Colonial countries are still the nearest approach possible to the archetype of the desert island, and the native still best represents the archetype of the *socius* and the enemy, Friday and the cannibals. So, then, colonial life is simply a substitute to those who are still obscurely drawn to a world without men — to those, that is, who have failed to make the effort necessary to adapt infantile images to adult reality.
This is the conclusion to which my analysis of Robinson Crusoe has led me: I shall now consider the case of Prospero, and the reader will find my interpretation confirmed and given more precision.

The colonial situation is even more clearly portrayed in The Tempest than in Robinson Crusoe, which is the more remarkable in that Shakespeare certainly thought less about it than did Defoe. Shakespeare's theme is the drama of the renunciation of power and domination, which are symbolized by magic, a borrowed power which must be rendered up. Man must learn to accept himself as he is and to accept others as they are, even if they happen to be called Caliban. This is the only wise course, but the path towards wisdom is long and infinitely painful for Prospero.

There is no doubting the nature of Prospero's magical power, for at his side we find his obedient daughter — and magic is the child's image of paternal omnipotence. Whenever his absolute authority is threatened, and however slight the threat, Prospero — our aspirant to wisdom — always becomes impatient and almost neurotically touchy. The essence of the problem is revealed at the outset; Prospero lays down his magic garment and prepares to tell Miranda the story of his life. In other words, he tries to treat Miranda as an equal; but he fails. He begins with 'Obey and be attentive,' and the recital is punctuated with other orders of the same kind, all absurd and quite unwarranted; later in the play he even goes so far as to threaten Miranda with his hatred. It is the same with Ariel; Prospero has promised him his liberty, but fails to give it to him. He constantly reminds Ariel that he freed him from the knotty entrails of a cloven pine in which the terrible mother, Sycorax, had confined him. This again means that Prospero has the absolute authority of the father. Caliban is the unruly and incorrigible son who is disowned. Prospero says he was 'got by the devil himself'. At the same time he is the useful slave who is ruthlessly exploited. But Caliban does not complain of being exploited; he complains rather of being betrayed, in Künkel's sense of the word: he says, explicitly,

... When thou camest first,
Thou strok'dst me, and mad'st much of me; wouldst give me

2 Fritz Künkel, a German psychoanalyst on whose works Mannoni drew in Part I
Water with berries in’t; and teach me how
To name the bigger light, and how the less,
That burn by day and night: and then I lov’d thee,

but now

. . . you sty me
In this hard rock, whiles you do keep from me
The rest o’ the island.

Caliban has fallen prey to the resentment which succeeds the break­down of dependence. Prospero seeks to justify himself: did Caliban not attempt to violate the honour of his child? After such an offence, what hope is there? There is no logic in this argument. Prospero could have removed Caliban to a safe distance or he could have continued to civi­lize and correct him. But the argument: you tried to violate Miranda, therefore you shall chop wood, belongs to a non-rational mode of think­ing. In spite of the various forms this attitude may take (it includes, for instance, working for the father-in-law, a common practice in patriar­chal communities), it is primarily a justification of hatred on grounds of sexual guilt, and it is at the root of colonial racialism.

I was given a clue to the explanation of this racialism while ques­tioning a European colonial, who expressed the belief that the black race had become inferior to the white through excessive masturbation! The man himself was troubled by parental prohibitions in this respect. The ‘inferior being’ always serves as scapegoat; our own evil intentions can be projected on to him. This applies especially to incestuous intentions; Miranda is the only woman on the island, and Prospero and Caliban the only men. It is easy to see why it is always his daughter or his sister or his neighbour’s wife (never his own) whom a man imagines to have been violated by a negro; he wants to rid himself of guilt by putting the blame for his bad thoughts on someone else. Caliban, in this hopeless situation, begins plotting against Prospero – not to win his freedom, for he could not support freedom, but to have a new master whose ‘foot­licker’ he can become. He is delighted at the prospect. It would be hard to find a better example of the dependence complex in its pure state. In the play the complex must be a projection, for where else could it have come from? The dependence of colonial natives is a matter of plain fact. The ensuing encounter between the European’s unconscious and a
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reality only too well prepared to receive its projections is in practice full of dangers. Colonials live in a less real social world, and this diminished reality is less able to wake the dreamer...

Among the castaways in The Tempest there is one rather strangely-drawn character: Gonzalo. The list of dramatis personae describes him as an ‘honest old counsellor’. He once rendered Prospero great service, and Prospero treats him with immense respect. He is, however, simply a variant of Polonius, a garrulous old dotard, but more of a caricature. The Tempest repeats, in order to resolve it, the Hamlet situation: brother ousted by brother, guilty father (here the King of Naples), hatred of the mother, brooding instead of action – the latter a regression due to loss of real power. And in both cases, alongside the father, there is the uncle or some other father-image: a doddering and impotent old man. Gonzalo, the Utopist, dreams of turning the island into a Land of Cockaigne:

All things in common nature should produce
Without sweat or endeavour...

no toil, no government, no institutions. His attitude is in fact identical with Prospero’s and shows the same infantile regression – but he lacks the omnipotence of the father, that is to say magic, the power which is the cause of all difficulties and must be rejected. How reluctantly Prospero gives up his daughter to Ferdinand! And he cannot restore Ariel to liberty without asking him to perform yet one more task. He forgives his enemies, but only after he has avenged himself on them and thoroughly humiliated them. In Milan, where he will be duke in name only, he says, ‘Every third thought shall be my grave’. In the Epilogue Prospero declares

Now my charms are all o’erthrown,
And what strength I have’s mine own;
Which is most faint.

In this, his will, he gives back everything he acquired by magic – all, that is, that he lost by betrayal, including his birthright. Surely the man

1 Land of Cockaigne: an imaginary place of idyllic luxury. [Mannoni:] We shall see in a later chapter (Part III, Ch. V) with regard to the Fokon ‘olona, how the image of the Land of Cockaigne emerges after the suppression of paternalist power, like a more distant memory of childhood.
who wrote this play must have harboured in his unconscious a strange and potent desire to possess power over men, if only by prestige, and this desire must in its way have been as powerful and as difficult to overcome as that of Defoe.

In any case it was from the unconscious that the two islands of these tales emerged. The parallels between the two works assure us that we are in the presence of archetypes: Ariel, Friday, Caliban, the cannibals. And as in these works of art these archetypes governed the imagination, so in real life they govern behaviour. The typical colonial is compelled to live out Prospero's drama, for Prospero is in his unconscious as he was in Shakespeare's; only the former lacks the writer's capacity for sublimation. The colonial's personality is wholly unaffected by that of the native of the colony to which he goes; it does not adapt itself, but develops solely in accordance with its own inner structure. It is inevitable, therefore, that misunderstandings should arise, for there can be no harmony between monads.

It is always worth while considering the opinions of the colonialists, for they are necessarily very revealing, and in this case they confirm my views. What they say in effect is: there is no misunderstanding to clear up; it would not be worth the trouble, anyway; the Malagasy personality is whatever you like to make of it – for in fact it does not exist; ours alone counts. In other words, they do not acknowledge the Malagasy personality. Nothing outside themselves affects them. After all, what sorts of personalities have Miranda, Ariel, and Friday? None at all, so long as they remain submissive. Caliban, it is true, asserts himself by opposing, but he is mere bestiality.

What the colonial in common with Prospero lacks, is awareness of the world of Others, a world in which Others have to be respected. This is the world from which the colonial has fled because he cannot accept men as they are. Rejection of that world is combined with an urge to dominate, an urge which is infantile in origin and which social adaptation has failed to discipline. The reason the colonial himself gives for his flight – whether he says it was the desire to travel, or the desire to escape from the cradle or from the 'ancient parapets', or whether he says that he simply wanted a freer life – is of no consequence, for whatever the variant offered, the real reason is still what I have called very loosely the colonial vocation. It is always a question of compromising with the desire for a world without men. As for the man who chooses a colonial
career by chance and without specific vocation, there is nevertheless every possibility that he too has a ‘Prospero complex’, more fully repressed, but still ready to emerge to view in favourable conditions. . . .
The majority of biblical citations are taken from the Geneva Bible, which Shakespeare used more frequently in his later years (see Richmond Noble, Shakespeare’s Biblical Knowledge (London, 1935), 75–6), and are so noted in the text. In other cases, citations are from the Bishops’ Bible (London, 1568), which was readily available in parish churches during Shakespeare’s lifetime. Line references for Shakespearean works other than The Tempest are to The Riverside Shakespeare, to which the Harvard Concordance to Shakespeare is keyed. For the reader’s convenience, we have modernized the usage of i, j, u and v and substituted th for the thorn in our quotations from pre-modern texts. Quotations from the Folio retain their original orthography.
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